# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2341-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 2

<u>Subject</u>: Taxation and Revenue - Income

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: September 10, 2003

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
General Revenue	\$0	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund*	\$0	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2341-01 Bill No. SB 2 Page 2 of 4 September 10, 2003

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

# **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume this bill would disallow the deduction for property tax paid to another state on nonresident tax returns. Based on data from the IRS Statistics of Income, BAP makes the following assumptions:

- In Missouri in 2001, those with itemized deductions who claim a real estate (property) tax deduction have an average deduction of \$1,677 and 91% of those with itemized deductions claim a real estate tax deduction.
- In 2001, there were 120,000 nonresident returns that claimed itemized deductions.
- Assuming that 91% of these returns claim a real estate (property) tax deduction, there would be 109,200 nonresident returns claiming a \$1,677 deduction for real estate (property) tax paid to another state.

Based on these assumptions, Missouri would gain about \$183 million in taxable income by disallowing this deduction. Assuming that this would be taxed at the 6% tax rate, the revenue gain would be about \$11 million.

L.R. No. 2341-01 Bill No. SB 2 Page 3 of 4 September 10, 2003

# <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Assuming this provision would not be effective until tax year 2004, the revenue impact would be manifest upon the filing of returns in FY 2005 and each fiscal year thereafter.

BAP assumes property taxes paid to other states will be greater in 2004 relative to 2001, thus increasing the impact of this proposal, but BAP does not have the requisite data to make such an estimate. This bill would have no impact on BAP.

Officials of the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state this legislation requires nonresident taxpayers to include in the calculation of Missouri nonresident adjusted gross income any property taxes paid to another state. This legislation will become effective on January 1, 2004.

Taxpayers will be required to add any property taxes paid to another state on the Nonresident Income Schedule. The Division of Taxation will add another line to that schedule, but does not currently key the information on the schedule. Therefore, there is no additional impact to DOR.

## This proposal could increase Total State Revenues.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (9 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Income - General Revenue			
Disallowance of property tax paid to other states on nonresident tax returns	<u>\$0</u>	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
other states on nonresident tax returns	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$11,000,000</u>	\$11,000,000
ECTIMATED NET REPECT ON THE	<b>60</b>	¢11 000 000	¢11 000 000
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$11,000,000</u>	<u>\$11,000,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
	(9 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	=	=	<b>==</b>

L.R. No. 2341-01 Bill No. SB 2 Page 4 of 4 September 10, 2003

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

Currently, in certain cases, a nonresident may receive an itemized deduction on their federal return for property taxes paid to another state. Current Missouri law does not require that this amount be "added-back" on the Missouri return. Therefore, the deduction for property taxes paid to another state carries through to apply against the Missouri income tax of a nonresident. This act eliminates this deduction by requiring nonresidents to add-back the amount of the federal deduction on their Missouri tax return.

This legislation contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

September 10, 2003