L.R. No. 2844-01 Bill No. SB 657 Page 1 of 3 December 18, 2001

# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2844-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 657

Subject: Political Subdivisions and General Assembly: Religion

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: December 18, 2001

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
			_				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Representatives of the **Office of State Courts Administrator** would expect a number of cases to test the parameters of the proposal but would not expect a significant increase in the number of civil cases, over time.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would require that a test of compelling state interest be applied to all state and local laws in cases which would affect the exercise of religion. No law or regulation could restrict a person's free exercise of religion unless it were in the form a rule of general applicability and did not discriminate against religion or among religions and it furthered a compelling governmental interest in the least restrictive way.

This proposal would apply to all state and local laws, resolutions and ordinances adopted before or after the effective date of this proposal.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal would not affect Total State Revenues.

L.R. No. 2844-01 Bill No. SB 657 Page 3 of 3 December 18, 2001

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

State Courts Administrator

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

December 18, 2001