

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1541-03  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed and Finally Passed SCS for SB 384  
Subject: Licenses–Professional; Department of Economic Development  
Type: Original  
Date: May 1, 2001

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>                          |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2002</b> | <b>FY 2003</b> | <b>FY 2004</b> |
| None  | \$0            | \$0            | \$0            |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated<br/>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br/>State Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>                          |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2002</b> | <b>FY 2003</b> | <b>FY 2004</b> |
| None  | \$0            | \$0            | \$0            |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated<br/>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br/>Federal Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b> |                |                |                |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                       | <b>FY 2002</b> | <b>FY 2003</b> | <b>FY 2004</b> |
| <b>Local Government</b>                    | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

ASSUMPTION

Officials with the **Department of Economic Development–Division of Professional Registration**, the **Office of State Public Defender**, and the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on the agencies.

In response to similar previous legislation, officials with the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact.

This bill adds additional criteria for the denial or revocation of dieticians' licenses. In response to similar previous legislation, officials with the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assumed the Division of Professional Registration would promulgate rules to implement this bill. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Division of Professional Registration could require as many as six pages in the Code of State Regulations. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register as in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23.00. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27.00. The actual costs could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded and withdrawn.

**Oversight** assumed the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

|   |                     |         |         |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2002<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2003 | FY 2004 |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|

|  |            |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
|  | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|--|------------|------------|------------|

|   |                     |         |         |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2002<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2003 | FY 2004 |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|

|  |            |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
|  | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|--|------------|------------|------------|

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

**DESCRIPTION**

This act revises certain licensing regulations for dietitians. Currently the State Committee of Dietitians may refuse licensure for specific reasons. New language clarifies that it may be denied when disciplinary action has been taken against the licensee. In addition, if the person is found or has plead guilty or no contest to a criminal prosecution related to his or her profession. Incompetence, negligence, fraud, or unlawful possession of a controlled substance is also grounds for refusal. Procedures are revised to allow probation for five years and suspension for three years. Individuals with revoked licenses must wait one year before applying for re-licensure. Finally, the Committee no longer needs to notify complainants of the status of files and no longer needs to recommend certain violations for prosecution.

This act is substantially similar to HB 1476 (2000).

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Economic Development  
Division of Professional Registration  
Office of State Courts Administrator  
Office of State Public Defender

**NOT RESPONDING**

Office of Prosecution Services  
Office of the Secretary of State



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director

May 1, 2001