# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0775-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 193

<u>Subject</u>: Insurance - General; Insurance Department; Licenses - Professional

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 6, 2001

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
Insurance Dedicated	\$516,875	\$1,173,750	\$1,173,750	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$516,875	\$1,173,750	\$1,173,750	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Department of Insurance (INS) state there would be a revenue loss due to single producer licenses: There are currently 4,200 dual agent/broker licensees who pay \$125 biennially for an agent and a broker license (\$25 agent and \$100 broker). These individuals would now have a single license at a cost of \$75 biennially. Lost revenue is estimated at \$105,000 annually. There are approximately 3,000 brokers with a loss of \$25 each (\$100 to \$75). Revenue loss is estimated at \$37,500 annually. Agency license fee of \$100 biennially is reduced to \$75. This would result in a loss of \$25 for 9,900 agencies. Annual revenue loss is estimated at \$123,750. Due to full reciprocity, certification and clearance fees from non-resident producers will result in a loss of \$425,000 annually. Late fees are capped at double the licensing fee during 12 months of renewal date. Currently they are calculated at \$25 per month with no cap. Estimated loss of revenue is ½ of average annual late fees collected or \$85,000 annually. INS estimates the total annual loss of revenue at \$776,250 from Insurance Dedicated Fund.

INS states there would be a revenue gain due to single producer licenses. INS states there are currently 85,438 licensed individuals (4,200 have dual agent/broker licenses, 3,000 have broker licenses and 78,238 have agent licenses. INS estimates the revenue gain would be \$50 per license on 39,000 agents per year or \$1,950,000.

INS states they would require contract programming cost of \$70,000 to modify the licensing system to go from multiple licenses to a single producer license.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON INSURANCE DEDICATED FUND	<u>\$516,875</u>	<u>\$1,173,750</u>	<u>\$1,173,750</u>
Cost - Department of Insurance Programming costs	(\$70,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Income - Department of Insurance</u> Licensing fees	\$586,875	\$1,173,750	\$1,173,750
INSURANCE DEDICATED FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (6 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004

L.R. No. 0775-01 Bill No. SB 193 Page 3 of 3 February 6, 2001

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (6 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses would expect to be fiscally impacted to the extent they may incur additional licensing fees and administrative costs as a result of the requirements of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would revise the law governing the licensing of insurance agents and brokers. The proposal would remove distinctions between agents and brokers in terms of licensing, referring to each as "insurance producers". The proposal would revise most of the provisions pertaining to licensure including: the courses of study initially required for licensure, continuing education, temporary licensure, the termination and renewal of agency contracts, examination requirements, broker compensation restrictions, suspension and revocation of licenses, penalties for violations, closed and confidential records, and fees for licensure. This proposal is modeled after the Producer Licensing Model Act promulgated by the National Association of Insurance Companies.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Insurance

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

February 6, 2001