# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u> 0601-01 <u>BILL NO.</u> SB 124

<u>SUBJECT</u>: Crimes and Punishment; Registered Sex Offenders.

TYPE: Original

<u>DATE</u>: January 17, 2001

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
General Revenue Fund	(Exceeds \$100,000)	(Exceeds \$100,000)	(Exceeds \$100,000)				
Total Estimated Net Effect on All State Funds	(Exceeds \$100,000)	(Exceeds \$100,000)	(Exceeds \$100,000)				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. NO. 0601-01 BILL NO. SB 124 PAGE 2 OF 3 January 17, 2001

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

In response to a similar proposal from a prior session, officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assumed the costs of risk assessments for sex offenders range from an estimate of approximately \$215 to \$415, depending on whether hourly staff conduct these assessments or whether the service is contracted out. Sex offender cases that were open to probation accounted for 653 persons in calendar year 1998 and 738 persons in 1999. There is an average of 457 sex offenders released from DOC prisons per year.

Costs that may be associated with a multi-discipline committee are daily per-diem expenses for committee members on meeting days, potential costs for meeting rooms, and costs associated with publication of Notice Guidelines. There may be additional unknown costs associated with victim notification. In summary, the potential cost for the DOC is a substantial amount or one that could exceed \$100,000 per year.

**Oversight** assumes the proposed legislation would require the **Department of Public Safety** to disclose information upon request to the public regarding high-risk sex offenders. However, Oversight assumes this will have minimal impact on DPS.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, **Department of Mental Health**, and the **Department of Health** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
GENERAL REVENUE	(10 Mo.)		
	(Exceeds	(Exceeds	(Exceeds
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. NO. 0601-01 BILL NO. SB 124 PAGE 3 OF 3 January 17, 2001

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposal could affect the liability of independent contractors acting at the direction of the agencies outlined in the proposal.

## **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal allows for community notification regarding certain registered sex offenders. The act provides immunity for release of information by law enforcement and by employees of the Departments of Corrections, Public Safety, and Social Services. Prior to releasing a sex offender, the Department of Corrections is required to perform a risk assessment of any offender required to register as a sex offender. The act tailors the extent of any community notification to the assessment results.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
Department of Social Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Health

NOT RESPONDING: Jackson County Sheriff

St. Louis County Sheriff St. Louis City Sheriff Green County Sheriff

**Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners** 

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

January 17, 2001