# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0431-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 144

Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 23, 2001

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
<b>Local Government</b>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)				

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, **Department of Corrections** and the **Office of the Attorney General** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** state that the proposed legislation would have a minor fiscal impact on MDC funds because of training requirements. MDC states they do not routinely stop traffic violators and the fiscal impact to their agency would be unknown.

**Oversight** assumes that the MDC would be able to implement the proposed legislation with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assumes this proposal would be implemented with existing resources.

Officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** assumed the costs to implement the proposal would be minimal since virtually all vehicle stops are traffic related with their department.

Officials from the **City of Jefferson City Police Department** state that because of the myriad of reasons an officer might conduct a vehicle stop not classified as a traffic violation, but as criminal (i.e. wanted person, stolen vehicle, attempt to locate, trespassing, etc.), the number of stops and costs to implement is unknown. The costs to implement would include clerical and administrative personnel to tabulate and data enter, software creation or purchase, and additional officer time at every stop.

Officials from the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (StLPD)** stated this proposal would require additional costs for their agency to implement. The StLPD estimates that additional reports for stopping a motor vehicle outside of a violation of a motor vehicle statute are estimated at over 50,500 per year. The StLPD estimates that the additional time requirements at the average hourly rate of police officers is estimated to total \$82,300 in additional costs.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal will impact local law enforcement agencies as they will be required to collect, compile, and report annually additional information to the attorney general.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 (10 Mo.)

RAS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0431-01 Bill No. SB 144 Page 3 of 4 January 23, 2001

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **DESCRIPTION**

Currently, peace officers are required to report certain information concerning each stop of a driver of a motor vehicle for a violation of a traffic law or ordinance, including the racial identity of the person stopped, the violations alleged, the circumstances surrounding any resulting search and whether any arrest was made. The act requires such information for each stop of a motor vehicle, regardless of the purpose of the stop.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of the Attorney General
Missouri Department of Conservation
City of Jefferson Police Department
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department
Boone County Sheriff's Office
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION** (continued)

RAS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0431-01 Bill No. SB 144 Page 4 of 4 January 23, 2001

**NOT RESPONDING:** Cole County Sheriff

Kansas City Police Department Columbia Police Department

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

January 23, 2001