COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

LR No.:104-01Bill No.:SB 116Subject:Commercial Code: Uniform LawsType:OriginalDate:March 5, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004		
General Revenue	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004		
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004		
Local Government	\$0	\$0	(Unknown)		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Attorney General** assume there would be no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials of the **Department of Economic Development- Divisions of Finance, and Credit Unions** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** assume there would be no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Department of Insurance**, **Office of Administration**, **and the Department of Revenue** assume there would be no fiscal impact to their agencies.

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State-Division of Uniform Commercial Code** assume this proposal would have fiscal impact to the UCC Division. Officials stated that there are no aggregate totals available from the counties that would indicate the number of filings made annually. Therefore, it is not possible to estimate the amount of revenue that would be generated by this proposal. Income from filing fees is Unknown

Officials stated that costs for their division would come from several sources: 1) technological changes required for filing of UCC material could not be implemented in the current mainframe environment. The costs of technology equipment that would be required cannot be estimated at this time; 2) depending upon the number of new filings, the division could require additional FTE along with additional equipment and expense and storage space.. Section 400.9-519(h) requires UCC to process all filings within 2 business days after receipt. In order to comply with this provision additional FTE would be required. The number of FTE required is indeterminable. 3) Section 400.9-526 requires the SOS to adopt and publish rules to implement this proposal. Section 400.9-526 (b) requires such rules to be in harmony with the rules of other states. Further the rules must be such that not only the practices, but also the technology of the UCC Division must be in harmony with the practices and technologies of other jurisdictions. There will be a significant time and expense involved in developing such rules, and it is not possible to predict the total number of pages would be used in publishing the new rules. The costs for publishing rules in the Missouri Code is \$27.00 per page, and \$23.00 per page in the Missouri Register. Further, to the extent the technology of this office is required to be compatible with the technology of other jurisdictions would have significant expense. Officials stated it is not possible to predict this expense; 4) this proposal requires the SOS to report annually to the Governor and General Assembly on the operation of the UCC Division. The report shall include a statement comparing Missouri rules to the rules of other filing offices in other jurisdictions that L.R. No. 104-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 3 of 6 March 5, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

have enacted all or substantially all of this act, along with reasons for variations and also report on any rules not in harmony with the most recent revision of the Model Rules promulgated by the International Association of Corporate Administrators. Preparation of this report would require significant legal resources if in fact it is contemplated that the laws of all 50 states must be researched and compared to Missouri's law. Current SOS legal staff would not be able to undertake this sort of comprehensive analysis of the laws of all 50 states. Officials assume that additional legal FTE along with necessary equipment and expense would be required to produce this report. **5**) Officials noted that current fees include a \$5.00 fee, (the Technology Fund). The fee charged under this section is scheduled to sunset on 12/31/01, after which time all current fees would be reduced by \$5.00.

Officials of the **County Employees Retirement System (CERF)**, stated that CERF receives \$6 for each UCC filing. This proposal eliminates this source of revenue for CERF. CERF conducted a survey of County Recorders. The findings of the survey show that the number of 1997 UCC filings recorded in counties that participate in CERF was approximately 112,000. Based on that data, Aon Consulting projected the amount of income that CERF would lose because of the elimination of filings is in FY: 2002 \$835,000; 2003 \$873,000; and 2004 \$912,000.

Oversight notes that the loss of investment income to the County Employees Retirement System are not considered local funds for fiscal note purposes. The only contributions to the system are from fees established by statute.

Officials of the **Office of Recorder of Deeds of St. Louis County** estimates the annual loss to the County's General Revenue Fund to be \$85,000 annually.

Oversight assumes that County Recorder of Deeds would realize some administrative impact from not being required to make UCC filings. Oversight assumes the savings in time and supplies would be insignificant on a per county basis.

L.R. No. 104-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 4 of 6 March 5, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Income to Secretary of State from UCC filing fees	\$0	\$0	Unknown
<u>Cost</u> to Secretary of State for FTE, Equipment and Expense	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Estimated Net Effect to State's General Revenue Fund	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
	<u>(Unknown)</u> FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	<u>(Unknown)</u> FY 2003	<u>(Unknown)</u> FY 2004

* County Employees Retirement System is not considered local funds for fiscal note purposes, therefore, fiscal impact does not include CERF's statement of fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses that engage in transactions subject to the Uniform Commercial Code would in certain instances, realize less paperwork necessary to document a transaction subject to the UCC. A majority of those transactions would be made with only one UCC filing at the Secretary of State's Office as opposed to dual filing at both the state and county level.

L.R. No. 104-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 5 of 6 March 5, 2001

DESCRIPTION

This act substantially revises Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code regulating secured transactions. The scope of Article 9 is expanded to include additional types of property in which a security interest can be taken by a creditor and additional kinds of collateral, including sales of payment intangibles and promissory notes, security interests created by governmental debtors, health insurance receivables, consignments, and commercial tort claims.

The act clarifies that filing a financing statement perfects a security interest, even if another method of perfection exists. Current law authorizes control, in which the debtor cannot transfer the property without the creditor's consent, as the method of perfection or only investment property. The act also allows control as a method of perfection for letter of credit rights and deposit accounts.

Automatic perfection for a purchase money security interest is increased from the current ten days to twenty days. Attachment of a purchase money security interest is perfection for the duration of the twenty-day period, then another method of perfection is necessary to continue the perfected security interest. A purchase money security interest in consumer goods, however, remains perfected automatically for the duration of the security interest.

Current law establishes a basic choice of law rule, as to which state's law governs perfection, its effect, and a creditor's priority, of the state in which the collateral is found. The act chooses the state that is the location of the debtor. If the debtor is an entity created by state registration, the location of the debtor is the location in which the entity is created by registration. If the entity is a corporation, the location of the debtor is the state in which the corporate charter is filed or registered.

The act allows the transition from paper filing to electronic filing. The only local filing of financing statements occurs in the real estate records for fixtures; all other filings are centralized with the Secretary of State's office.

The act changes certain aspects of enforcing a security interest that is included in a consumer transaction: a consumer cannot waive redemption rights in a financing agreement; a consumer is entitled to disclosure of the amount of any deficiency assessed against him or her; a consumer buyer of goods who pre-pays in whole or in part has an enforceable interest in the purchased goods and may obtain the goods as a remedy; and a secured creditor cannot accept collateral as partial satisfaction of a consumer obligation.

The act also provides new rules for guarantors, for the interests of subordinate creditors with security interests in the same property, and for aspects of enforcement when the debtor is a consumer debtor.

WB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 104-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 6 of 6 March 5, 2001

DESCRIPTION (continue)

The repeal of the existing Article 9 provisions and the enactment of the new provisions take effect July 1, 2003.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General Secretary of State Department of Revenue Department of Economic Development- Division of Finance Department of Labor and Industrial Relations County Employees Retirement Fund Office of Administration- Division of Budget and Planning Department of Insurance St. Louis County Recorder of Deeds

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA Director March 5, 2001

WB:LR:OD (12/00)