# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.3395-01BILL NO.SB 772SUBJECT:Contracts and Contractors; State DepartmentsTYPE:OriginalDATE:January 28, 2000

### FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
General Revenue	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
None						
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses This fiscal note contains 4pages. L.R. NO. 3395-01 BILL NO. SB 772 PAGE 2 OF 4 January 28, 2000

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **Department of Transportation (DHT)** and the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume they would work with the Office of Administration - Division of Design and Construction (COA) on any contractors wishing to bid on state building contracts. Therefore, they assume they would not be impacted by the proposal, since they would follow COA's guidance.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume the bill would allow the Division of Design and Construction to promulgate rules for the conduct of the design-build process. Based on experience with this Division, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Division if they were promulgated, would be minimal and the cost could be absorbed.

Officials from the **Coordinating Board for Higher Education (CBH)** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on the CBH, because they do not work directly with any construction contracts. However, it could have an impact on the colleges and universities.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Design and Construction (COA)** assume they would incur annual costs of approximately \$100,000. This would be the worst case scenario if five qualified proposers would participate in the bid of one Design-Build project, if each proposer is responsive, if the design-build proposer does not desire to retain all rights and interest in their design and the stipend paid to each proposer is approximately \$25,000 (maximum foreseeable amount).

**Oversight** assumes that combining the design and construction of a facility into one contract may affect the total cost of the project. Savings could be realized since one "design-builder" is responsible for the total project, and Division of Design and Construction (D&C) would not have to contract out the design and construction functions separately. However, Oversight further assumes there may be additional costs associated with this proposal, as it requires D&C to pay a stipend to each unsuccessful proposer in return for their rights in the design. Because designbuilders may choose to forfeit this fee to retain the rights to their design, the costs for these fees cannot be estimated.

MLW:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3395-01 BILL NO. SB 772 PAGE 3 OF 4 January 28, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
GENERAL REVENUE			
Savings due to design-build contracts	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Costs - Stipend for rights to designs	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	Unknown to <u>(Unknown)</u>	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to <u>(Unknown)</u>
		0	0 111110 1111 00

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal may affect small businesses as it may decrease the number of businesses capable of bidding on both the design and construction of a facility.

# DESCRIPTION

This proposal allows the Division of Design and Construction of the Office of Administration to simultaneously contract for the design and construction of a building. Proposals would be solicited in a three-phase process. Phase I would be the solicitation of qualifications of the design-build team; phase II would be the solicitation of a technical proposal including conceptual design for the project; and phase III would be the proposal of the construction cost. An evaluation team would review the submittals of the proposers and assign points to each proposal in accordance with this legislation. The proposer with the highest total number of points would be awarded the contract. The Division would pay a stipend to all unsuccessful proposers and it would retain all rights to the design.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

### MLW:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3395-01 BILL NO. SB 772 PAGE 4 OF 4 January 28, 2000

Department of Transportation Missouri Department of Conservation Department of Natural Resources Office of the Secretary of State Coordinating Board for Higher Education Office of Administration - Division of Design and Construction

Serrett

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA Director January 28, 2000

MLW:LR:OD:005 (9-94)