COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u>: 3320-02

BILL NO.: SB 774 with SCA 1

SUBJECT: Drug Courts Coordinating Commission

TYPE: Original

DATE: February 9, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. NO. 3320-02 BILL NO. SB 774 with SCA 1 PAGE 2 OF 3 February 9, 2000

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of the Attorney General, the Office of the State Treasurer, the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Office of Administration, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Social Services, and the Department of Mental Health assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS)** assume the proposal would create a Drug Court Commission to oversee all drug courts in the state of Missouri. The Commission would consist of eight members, one to be selected by the director of the Department of Public Safety. DPS assumes the expenses incurred by the commissioner appointed by DPS (i.e., mileage, meals, and hotel) would cost their agency approximately \$4,800 annually.

Oversight assumes that all of the members of the Drug Court Commission would be selected by state agencies which have offices geographically located in Jefferson City, Missouri, and the proposal does not require meetings to be held outside of Jefferson City. Therefore, Oversight assumes that any mileage, meal, and hotel expenses would be minimal and could be absorbed with existing resources.

Oversight assumes that any costs associated with the creation of the Drug Court Resources Fund would be minimal and could be absorbed with existing resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. NO. 3320-02 BILL NO. SB 774 with SCA 1 PAGE 3 OF 3 February 9, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would establish a Drug Courts Coordinating Commission to evaluate resources available for assessment and treatment and for the operation of drug courts. The Commission would also secure funds and services desirable to facilitate drug court operation and allocate resources among the various drug courts operating within the state.

The Commission would be comprised of one member selected by the Department of Corrections, the Department of Social Services, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Public Safety, and the State Courts Administrator, as well as three members selected by the Supreme Court, one of which shall be a public member. The Supreme Court would also designate the chairman of the Commission. A Drug Court Resources Fund would be established in the state treasury, to be administered by the Commission.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Office of the State Treasurer
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of Administration
Department of Mental Health
Department of Public Safety
Department of Corrections
Department of Social Services

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

February 9, 2000