COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.:2990-01BILL NO.:SB 550SUBJECT:Revenue Dept.; Taxation and Revenue-GeneralTYPE:CorrectedDATE:January 24, 2000# Corrected to reflect unknown loss to local political subdivisions.

#FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
Various State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds*	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)			
*Costs and revenue loss	es expected to exceed \$1	00,000 annually				
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			

Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

#ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
Local Government	#(UNKNOWN)	#(UNKNOWN)	#(UNKNOWN)			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. NO. 2990-01 BILL NO. SB 550 PAGE 2 OF 4 January 24, 2000

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Insurance**, Attorney General's Office and Secretary of State's Office state this proposal would not fiscally impact their agencies.

Department of Revenue (DOR) officials state this legislation places the burden of proof on the Department of Revenue in cases with large corporations and in cases with exemptions and credits.

DOR staff state the impact of this legislation is unknown and the revenue loss is expected to be significant.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPACT:

The administrative impact of this legislation is unknown. The proposed legislation may have a significant impact on the Division of Taxation and Collection's ability to conduct and collect audits. The Division of Taxation indicates this legislation could delay audits by 10%. Therefore, to maintain the same level of audit coverage and collections, the Division of Taxation estimates the cost of a 10% impact to be \$1.3 to \$4 million annually (additional payroll and time costs estimated at \$1.3 million and lost collections on audits estimated at \$3 million).

The General Counsel's office has indicated this legislation would greatly increase the burden on the Department in hearings by requiring more extensive legal discovery prior to hearings. Additional attorneys would be needed, along with the corresponding expense and equipment. However, the overall impact on the General Counsel's office is unknown at this time.

<u>Oversight</u> assumes this proposal expands the "burden of proof" language in the statue to apply to tax exemptions, tax credits and large corporate taxpayers in general. This expansion could place additional responsibility on the DOR to prove amounts on tax billings and assessments. Administrative costs and revenue reduction related to this proposal cannot be estimated with any degree of confidence. However, even a slight change would exceed \$100,000 annually.

This proposal would result in a decrease in Total State Revenues.

L.R. NO. 2990-01 BILL NO. SB 550 PAGE 3 OF 4 January 24, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003		
VARIOUS STATE FUNDS	(2222)				
Loss - Various State Funds Burden of Proof*	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON VARIOUS STATE FUNDS	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)		
* Losses expected to exceed (\$100,000) annually.					
#FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003		
	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)		

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses would be expected to be fiscally impacted to the extent that on tax issues the "burden of proof" in cases of tax credits and exemptions would be shifted from the taxpayer to the taxing authority.

DESCRIPTION

HB 516 (1999) changed the burden of proof in tax cases involving individuals. This act allows partnerships, corporations and trusts the same protection as currently provided for individual taxpayers. In addition, the Director of Revenue would have the burden of proof in cases where tax exemptions and credits are challenged.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

RB:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2990-01 BILL NO. SB 550 PAGE 4 OF 4 January 24, 2000

Department of Revenue Department of Insurance Office of Secretary of State Office of the Attorney General

Gerrett

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA Director January 24, 2000