COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u>: 2750-03

BILL NO.: Perfected SCS for SB 683

SUBJECT: Construction Zones

TYPE: Original DATE: April 6, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
State Road Fund	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
None	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. NO. 2750-03 BILL NO. Perfected SCS for SB 683 PAGE 2 OF 4 April 6, 2000

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume that the legislation would require two additional warning signs in each work zone if the additional fine is to be applied. Initially, MoDOT will purchase signs for all construction work zones, which would cost approximately \$40,000 per year for phase in acquisitions over three years. Purchases of signs for maintenance work zones would cost an additional \$60,000 per year to phase in over three years. Officials also assume the legislation would create a cost savings for MoDOT through the reduction of injuries and related expenses. MoDOT cannot estimate a yearly savings due to the unknown severity that each potential accident has. However, MoDOT's Risk Management Division estimates that the proposal would prevent at least one serious accident per year, which they estimate should negate any costs related to purchasing warning signs. MoDOT officials note that in response to similar proposals in prior years, they did not consider the issue of cost savings due to increased safety and reduced injury expenses; however, they note this should be included to completely and accurately consider the effects of the proposal on the department. MoDOT officials assume net minimal fiscal impact as a result of the proposal.

Oversight notes that costs assumed by MoDOT for a similar proposal in the prior year were \$220,000 in the first fiscal year, assuming 550 construction work zones annually, two signs per work zone, and costs of \$200 per sign. They assumed that the life of the signs would be three years, after which signs would be replaced. According to MoDOT officials, they assumed in the prior year that all signs would be purchased and installed immediately upon the proposal's effective date, whereas they are currently assuming that they would implement the requirements over a three-year period. Based on the current costs shown above of \$100,000 per year assuming a phase-in, if all signs were purchased and installed as soon as practical after the proposal's effective date, total costs would be \$300,000 for the first year, with no other annual costs during the fiscal note period (assuming replacement of signs after three years). According to MoDOT officials, the higher sign cost is due to the estimates in the prior year not allowing for the right type of posts required to install the signs. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight has reflected annual costs of \$100,000, representing MoDOT's intention to install the required signs over a three-year period. No cost savings are reflected, since any savings that may result, if any, are unknown and could be addressed through the normal budget process. Oversight further assumes that although this proposal does not expressly require MoDOT to install signs in work zones, in order for the additional fines authorized to be assessed, the signs must have been placed by either MoDOT, their contractors, political subdivisions, or their contractors. Therefore, Oversight assumes that MoDOT would place signs in their work zones.

Officials of the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** would not expect any appreciable

PLH:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2750-03 BILL NO. Perfected SCS for SB 683 PAGE 3 OF 4 April 6, 2000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

increase in the volume of traffic cases presented. They note it is not possible to predict any increased revenue to the school fund as a result of the higher fines.

Officials of the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, State Public Defender, Office of Prosecution Services,** and the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact to their agencies.

Oversight assumes that over time the combination of increased fines and signs placed by MoDOT would result in fewer violations and any impact on revenues in the form of increased fines would likely be minimal. Therefore, no revenue impact to the state or local governments, due to fines, is reflected in the fiscal note.

	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	(10 Mo.)		

STATE ROAD FUND

Costs-Department of Transportation Placement of signs	<u>(\$100,000)</u>	<u>(\$100,000)</u>	<u>(\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposal would require that a \$250 fine, in addition to any other fine authorized to be imposed by law, be imposed on any person convicted of speeding in a posted construction zone while workers are present. Penalties may only be assessed if MoDOT, or the appropriate political subdivision or contractor performing work for either MoDOT or the political subdivision, has erected signs around the construction or work zone which are clearly visible and which state "Warning: \$250 fine for speeding in this work zone." The proposal provides for an

PLH:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2750-03 BILL NO. Perfected SCS for SB 683 PAGE 4 OF 4 April 6, 2000

DESCRIPTION (continued)

additional \$75 fine for speeding in construction zones in excess of the speed limit established by county or municipal ordinance by 20 mph or more. These penalties may only be assessed if political subdivisions or their contractors erect signs substantially stating "Warning: \$75 fine for speeding in this work zone."

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of State Courts Administrator
State Public Defender
Office of Prosecution Services
Department of Revenue

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director April 6, 2000