# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.:2682-01BILL NO.:SB 660SUBJECT:Education, Elementary and Secondary: School AttendanceTYPE:OriginalDATE:January 18, 2000

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003				
State School Moneys	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)	(GREATER THAN \$100,000)				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
Local Government	GREATER THAN \$100,000	GREATER THAN \$100,000	GREATER THAN \$100,000			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. NO. 2682-01 BILL NO. SB 660 PAGE 2 OF 3 January 18, 2000

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would result in no fiscal impact to the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DOS)** assume the proposal would result in no fiscal impact to the agency. However, DOS officials assume there could be a small increase in educational neglect hotline calls because the mandatory school attendance age would be lowered from 7 to 5. Since the bill would only affect one school district, the Division of Family Services would probably not need additional resources.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume the proposal would result in a potential increase to fully fund the formula. DESE officials believe this increase could be in excess of \$100,000 annually. The increase would be to the State Schools Moneys Fund. DESE official state there is not enough data available to provide a specific estimate. There would be an increase in state aid to the Kansas City School District through the formula.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
Cost-Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)			
State Aid	(GREATER	(GREATER	(GREATER
	THAN	THAN	THAN
	<u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
SCHOOL DISTRICT			
Income-Kansas City School District			
State Aid	GREATER	GREATER	GREATER
	THAN \$100,000	THAN \$100,000	THAN \$100,000
	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

JM:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 2682-01 BILL NO. SB 660 PAGE 3 OF 3 January 18, 2000

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### DESCRIPTION

The proposal would establish a pilot program of urban early compulsory school attendance in each urban school district containing most or all of a city with a population greater than 350,000 inhabitants.

Every parent would be required to enroll a child between the ages of five and seven in a program of academic instruction. Only children determined to be mentally or physically incapacitated could be excused from school attendance. Violating these provisions would be a class C misdemeanor.

The school board of each district would provide a report to the Commissioner of Education no later than December 1, 2002 regarding the effectiveness of the program.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Department of Social Services Office of State Courts Administrator

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA Director January 18, 2000

JM:LR:OD:005 (9-94)