

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3628S.01I
Bill No.: SB 697
Subject: Animals; Cities, Towns and Villages; Political Subdivisions
Type: Original
Date: January 12, 2022

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits villages, towns, cities, and counties from regulating dogs in a breed-specific manner.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Local Government	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **City of Springfield** assume a negative impact from this proposal. Currently, the City has heightened ownership requirements for pit bulls, including registration, muzzling while not on owner’s property, and posting a sign on the property. The City would lose approximately \$25,000 in registration fees annually, and there may be additional unquantifiable costs related to animal control issues.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Agriculture**, the **City of Kansas City** and the **City of St. Louis** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that have been received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and counties were requested to respond to this proposed legislation, but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions is available upon request.

Oversight notes there are several cities with ordinances in place regarding registration, proper confinement and the posting of signs for certain breeds of dogs. Such cities include Springfield, Liberty, Independence, Florissant, Ferguson and Carthage Missouri. Oversight is unclear on how much the cities charge for registration and how many dogs are registered in those cities. Oversight assumes that should this proposal be enacted, registration fees in those cities and possibly others not listed could be eliminated from the cities revenue. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown, greater than \$25,000 negative impact to local political subdivisions (LPS) for this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Loss</u> – LPS – potential loss of fees generated from registering breed-specific dogs	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Under this act, a village, town, city, or county may prohibit dogs from running at large or further control or regulate dogs within its boundaries so long as the ordinance, order, policy, or regulation is not breed specific.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Springfield
 Missouri Department of Agriculture
 City of Kansas City
 City of St. Louis



Julie Morff
 Director
 January 12, 2022



Ross Strobe
 Assistant Director
 January 12, 2022