COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0830S.04C Bill No.: SCS for SB 100

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Property; Counties; Cities, Towns and Villages; Political

Subdivisions

Type: Original

Date: February 15, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to certain property tax liabilities.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on General				
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 0830S.04C Bill No. SCS SB 100 Page **2** of **8** February 15, 2021

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

 \square Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
		\$0 or	\$0 or	
	(Unknown, Could be	(Unknown, Could be	(Unknown, Could be	
Local Government	substantial)	substantial)	substantial)	

L.R. No. 0830S.04C Bill No. SCS SB 100 Page **3** of **8** February 15, 2021

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** and the **Department of Revenue**, each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of the State Auditor** and the **Department of Social Services** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** assume under this proposal, if any county or city issues an order or ordinance restricting the use of real property in a county or city, then the total tax bill for any real property in the county or city would be reduced of the total real property taxes owed only to the county or city, but the real property taxes owed to the individual taxing districts, such as school districts, would not be reduced. The taxpayer would receive a credit against property taxes owed. The credit is equal to the percentage of the calendar year that the restrictions on the use of the property were in place. The act states "real property" which consists of three subclasses, residential, commercial and agricultural. The potential negative fiscal impact on counties or cities that have adopted such restrictions is unknown, however a large urban/suburban county such as St Louis County, has a total of 391,033 parcels in the three subclasses (17,200 commercial parcels, 372,956 residential parcels and 877 agricultural parcels). Additionally the fiscal impact would be dependent on the duration, and the definition of the restriction.

As an example of a likely subclass (commercial) affected by restrictions, County A issues a sixmonth county-wide order restricting the use of real property in County A. Commercial Property Y has a market value of \$100,000 and an assessed value of \$32,000 (\$100,000 x .32 = \$32,000). The tax rate in County A is 6.5%. Commercial Property Y has a total property tax liability of \$2,080 (\$32,000 x .065 = \$2,080). Of that total, \$200 is the portion for property tax liabilities owed only to County A. The amount of taxes owed only to County A due to the six-month county-wide order would be \$100. Commercial Property Y has a reduced total property tax liability of \$1,980. The amount of taxes owed for the same six-month period to the taxing districts, such as the school districts, would not be reduced, but the county's amount of tax revenue would be reduced by fifty percent.

Officials from the **City of St. Louis Assessor's Office** state there is not enough information to even "guestimate" the fiscal impact of this proposed legislation, but the impact could be substantial, if not exorbitant for the City. That is because the City is under many citywide ordinances that restrict or prohibit uses and occupancy on properties.

L.R. No. 0830S.04C Bill No. SCS SB 100 Page **4** of **8** February 15, 2021

Officials from the **City of Springfield** anticipate a negative fiscal impact from this bill. Approximately 42% of the City's assessed valuation comes from commercial property, but the City has no data to identify how much of the commercial property is used for retail activities. The City anticipates that the negative fiscal impact from a credit for six months of occupancy restrictions would be greater than \$0 but less than \$4,579,089 based on 2020 property taxes for a six month credit.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **City of Kansas City** assumed this proposal would have a negative fiscal impact of an indeterminate amount on Kansas City.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **City of Hale** and the **St. Clair Fire Protection District** each assumed the proposal <u>would have a fiscal impact</u> on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Newton County Health Department** assumed the impact would be the loss in revenue from any enacted ordinance that would initiate reduction in property taxes.

Officials from the City of Claycomo, City of Corder, City of Hughesville, City of O'Fallon and Lincoln County each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the City of Ballwin, Crawford County 911 Board and the Nodaway County Ambulance District each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes the following city and county restrictions:

Per the City of Kansas City's <u>website</u>, the following restrictions are in place and will remain in effect until it is modified or rescinded:

- "All indoor gatherings are limited to 10 people maximum.
- Restaurants, taverns, and all other venues, including wedding and other event spaces, shall close at 10:00 PM and shall limit the number of occupants indoors to no more than 50 percent of room occupancy.
- Indoor and outdoor patrons at these facilities must be seated, and also masked at all times except when actively eating or drinking. Indoor and outdoor parties are limited to a maximum of 10 people and parties shall be spaced with no less than six feet of distance between themselves and individuals from any other parties. Operators must report any COVID cases among staff or patrons to the Department of Health.
- Masks must be worn in all indoor spaces with more than one person per room, and outdoor spaces where social distancing cannot be maintained.
- Patrons of gyms, fitness, and recreational centers, including city, school, and other publicly-owned and managed facilities, must wear masks, and are limited to no more than 50 percent capacity."

Per the City of Springfield's <u>website</u>, the following restrictions have been implemented for retail and dining facilities:

- "As of July 16, any business engaged in retail sales or personal care services to the public must limit the number of customers in any particular business or retail location at any one time to a maximum of:
- The result of the total square feet of that part of the building devoted to the subject business divided by 30 times 50%.
- Face coverings are required for both staff and patrons in areas open to the public."

Per the St. Louis County <u>website</u>, the following restrictions are in effect beginning January 4, 2021:

D. Businesses are subject to the following requirements:

- 1. All Businesses that provide goods or services to the public are limited to twenty-five percent (25%) or less of the entity's authorized fire or building code occupancy and must:
 - a. Provide employees and volunteers working in the Businesses' facility with Face Coverings or supplies to make Face Coverings; and
 - b. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, Disinfection Processes and follow any additional applicable requirements as determined by DPH and posted on stlcorona.com or specifically given to the Business related to general and Business-specific operating standards, guidelines and protocols.
- 2. All restaurants and Drinking Establishments are limited to twenty-five percent (25%) or less of the entity's authorized fire or building code occupancy OR are limited to the number of tables in the restaurant where individual groups are able to sit 6 feet of distance away from other individual groups, whichever is less, and must:
 - a. Close by 10 p.m. for indoor and outdoor service. Carry-out and delivery are not subject to the 10 p.m. curfew.
 - b. Provide employees and volunteers working in the Businesses' facility with Face Coverings or supplies to make Face Coverings.
 - c. Require customers to wear Face Coverings at all times they are interacting with or being served by a restaurant employee.
 - d. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, Disinfection Processes and follow any additional applicable requirements as determined by DPH and posted on stlcorona.com related to general and Business-specific operating standards, guidelines and protocols.
 - e. Assist DPH with contact tracing when asked.
 - f. All restaurants and Drinking Establishments are encouraged to continue to provide outdoor service, carryout and delivery.
- 3. Banquet facilities, hotel conference rooms and facilities, as well as other businesses that provide similar facilities are subject to the capacity limitation of 25% of the entity's

L.R. No. 0830S.04C Bill No. SCS SB 100 Page **6** of **8** February 15, 2021

authorized fire or building code occupancy OR 50 people or less if the entity's authorized fire or building code would allow 200 or more individuals. These facilities must comply with all Food Establishment Bar Operating Guidelines. All meals and drinks must be served at tables and guests must remain seated at all times in groups of 10 or less and such facilities must:

- a. End service by 10 p.m. for indoor and outdoor services.
- b. Provide employees and volunteers working in the Businesses' facility with Face Coverings or supplies to make Face Coverings.
- c. Require customers to wear Face Coverings at all times they are interacting with or being served by hotel or banquet staff.
- d. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, Disinfection Processes and follow any additional applicable requirements as determined by DPH and posted on stlcorona.com related to general and Business-specific operating standards, guidelines and protocols.
- e. Assist DPH with contact tracing when asked."

Oversight assumes the effective date of this proposal is January 1, 2021, with impacted revenues occurring in FY 2022 (December 2021). Based on the restrictions currently in place, Oversight will show a loss of revenue occurring in FY 22. For the remaining years, Oversight will show a range of impact of \$0 (no city-wide or county-wide restrictions) to an unknown loss in revenue to cities and counties that impose restrictions on the use of real property.

Oversight assumes there could be costs associated with implementing and tracking property tax credits for eligible properties. Oversight will show a range of impact \$0 (the cost can be absorbed with existing resources) to an unknown cost to cities and counties to implement and track.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	substantial)	substantial)	substantial)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	Could be	Could be	Could be
	(Unknown,	(Unknown,	(Unknown,
		\$0 or	\$0 or
		·	
§139.305	substantial)	substantial)	substantial)
impose real property restrictions -	Could be	Could be	Could be
from property taxes credits in areas that	(Unknown,	(Unknown,	(Unknown,
Revenue (Loss) - Cities and Counties -		\$0 or	\$0 or
		,	,
and track property tax credits - §139.305	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Costs - Cities and Counties - to implement	\$0 or	\$0 or	\$0 or
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
1 ISCALL HATTACT LOCAL GOVERNMENT	1 1 2022	1 1 2023	112021
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Oversight assumes there could be a positive fiscal impact to small businesses that are located in areas with use restrictions and receive a credit toward property taxes owed.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Beginning January 1, 2021, this act allows a taxpayer that is a resident of a city or county that imposes any city-wide or county-wide ordinance or order prohibiting or restricting the use of the taxpayer's real property to receive a credit against property taxes owed on such affected property.

The amount of the credit shall be a percentage of the property tax liability that is equal to the percentage of the calendar year that the restrictions on the use of the property were in place. A taxpayer shall pay his or her property taxes in full prior to submitting a statement to the county collector requesting the credit authorized by the act. Within thirty days of the receipt of such statement, the city or county shall issue the credit to the taxpayer.

The credit authorized by this act shall only apply to real property tax liabilities owed to a city or county imposing such an ordinance, and shall not apply to property tax liabilities owed to any other taxing jurisdiction.

This act contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0830S.04C Bill No. SCS SB 100 Page **8** of **8** February 15, 2021

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration - Budget and Planning Department of Revenue Department of Social Services **State Tax Commission** City of Hale City of Springfield City of Kansas City City of Claycomo City of Corder City of Hughesville City of O'Fallon City of St. Louis St. Clair Fire Protection District Newton County Health Department City of Ballwin Crawford County 911 Board Nodaway County Ambulance District

Julie Morff Director

February 15, 2021

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 15, 2021