COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u> :	3552-02
<u>Bill No.:</u>	Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644
Subject:	Animals; Business and Commerce; Crimes and Punishment; Disabilities; Health
	Care; Landlords and Tenants
<u>Type</u> :	Original
Date:	June 2, 2020

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies law regarding service animals.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 2 of 7 June 2, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u>				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 3 of 7 June 2, 2020

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§209.150, 209.200, and 209.204 - Service animals

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** state they cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crimes regarding the misrepresentation of a dog as a service dog - a new class C misdemeanor. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight notes over the last three fiscal years, the SPD has lapsed a total of \$153 of General Revenue appropriations (\$2 out of \$28.0 million in FY 2017; \$150 out of \$42.5 million in FY 2018; and \$1 out of \$46.0 million in FY 2019). Therefore, Oversight assumes the SPD is at maximum capacity, and the increase in workload resulting from this bill cannot be absorbed with SPD's current resources.

Adding one additional Assistant Public Defender 1 (APD) with a starting salary of \$47,000, will cost approximately \$74,500 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. One additional APD II (\$52,000 per year; eligible for consideration after 1 year of successful performance at APD I) will cost the state approximately \$81,000 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. When expense and equipment costs such as travel, training, furniture, equipment and supplies are included, Oversight assumes the cost for a new APD could approach \$100,000 per year.

Oversight assumes the SPD cannot absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal within their existing resources and, therefore, will reflect a potential additional cost of (Less than \$100,000) per year to the General Revenue Fund.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 4 of 7 June 2, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (OA/B&P)** state §209.204 classifies misrepresentation of service dogs and assistance animals for the purpose of receiving the accommodations regarding service dogs and assistance animals under the Americans with Disabilities Act or Fair Housing Act as a class C misdemeanor for the first offense, and a class B misdemeanor for any subsequent offenses. Article IX, Section 7 of the Missouri Constitution requires that penalties, forfeitures, and fines collected for violations of state law be distributed to the schools. To the extent any additional such revenues are deposited into the state treasury, TSR may increase.

Oversight assumes the amount of penalty, forfeiture, and/or fine revenue generated by this proposal would not be material and will not reflect an impact on the fiscal note to local school districts.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Oversight notes the **Department of Agriculture**, the **Governor's Office**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Office of Administration**, the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol**, the **Department of Social Services**, and the **St. Louis County Department of Justice Services** have each stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 5 of 7 June 2, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department**, the **Springfield Police Department**, the **St. Louis County Police Department**, and the **Lawson Police Department** stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other police and sheriff's departments were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
<u>Costs</u> - SPD (§§209.150, 209.200, and 209.204) Salaries, fringe benefits, and equipment and expense	<u>(Less than</u> \$100,000)	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 6 of 7 June 2, 2020

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies the definition of a "service dog" to be a dog that is individually trained to do work or perform tasks for the benefit of an individual with a disability, including a physical, sensory, psychiatric, intellectual, or other mental disability. Additionally, this act adds "mental health service dog" to the definition of a service dog. A mental health service dog, or a psychiatric service dog, is a dog that has been individually trained for an owner who has a psychiatric disability, medical condition, or developmental disability. The dog is trained to perform tasks to mitigate or assist the owner with difficulties directly related to the disability.

Under this act, any person knowingly misrepresenting a dog as a service dog, as described in the act, for the purposes of receiving accommodations regarding service dogs under the Americans with Disabilities Act shall be guilty of a Class C misdemeanor for the first offense and a Class B misdemeanor for each subsequent offense. Additionally, any person knowingly misrepresenting any animal as an assistance animal, as described in the act, for the purposes of receiving accommodations regarding assistance animals under the Fair Housing Act or the Rehabilitation Act shall be guilty of a Class C misdemeanor for the first offense and a Class B misdemeanor for each subsequent offense.

The Governor's Council on Disability shall prepare and make available online a placard for posting in a front window or door of a business stating that service dogs are welcome and that misrepresenting a service dog is a violation of Missouri law. The Council shall also prepare and make available a brochure detailing guidelines regarding service dogs and assistance animals.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3552-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 644 Page 7 of 7 June 2, 2020

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Mental Health Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Department of Public Safety -Missouri State Highway Patrol Department of Social Services Governor's Office Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of Administration Office of Administration - Budget and Planning Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Secretary of State State Public Defender's Office Boone County Sheriff's Department Springfield Police Department St. Louis County Police Department St. Louis County Department of Justice Services Lawson Police Department

Julie Mo

Julie Morff Director June 2, 2020

Cum A Data

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 2, 2020