

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2073-02
Bill No.: Perfected SS for SB 391
Subject: Agriculture; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Counties; County Government; Health, Public; Health and Senior Services Department; Natural Resources Department
Type: Original
Date: April 30, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to county health ordinances.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
General Revenue (Joint Contingent)	(\$1,667 to \$3,333)	(\$2,000 to \$4,000)	(\$2,000 to \$4,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$1,667 to \$3,333)	(\$2,000 to \$4,000)	(\$2,000 to \$4,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Due to time constraints of less than 8 hours, **Oversight** was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner and performed limited analysis. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

Senate Substitute Amendment (SSA) 1 for Senate Amendment (SA) 3 - §21.900 - Joint Committee on Agriculture

Officials from the **Missouri Senate (SEN)** state it is anticipated this proposal will have a negative fiscal impact to the Joint Contingent appropriation as a result of meetings of the Joint Committee on Agriculture. The cost to reimburse travel expenses for ten (10) members of the general assembly to attend committee meetings is approximately \$1,000 per meeting (\$982, rounded up).

Oversight notes Joint Committees generally meet from two to four times per year based on statutory requirements. This proposal does not mandate the number of times the Joint Committee on Agriculture is required to meet. Therefore, Oversight will range costs to the Joint Contingent fund from \$2,000 to \$4,000 annually.

Officials from **Legislative Research (LR)** assumes this proposal will result in no additional costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact for LR for fiscal note purposes.

§192.300 - Agricultural operations and county health ordinances

Oversight notes that the **Department of Agriculture**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Natural Resources** and **St. Louis County** have each stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these organizations.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact for the Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services for fiscal note purposes.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other counties were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.

SSA 1 for SA 1 - §640.715 - New facility construction/expanded facility

Based on the “no fiscal impact” responses received from agencies for this proposal, **Oversight** assumes the provisions of this amendment will have no fiscal impact.

SA 5 - §640.745 - Fees paid to DNR for closure of wastewater lagoons

Based on the “no fiscal impact” responses received from agencies for this proposal, **Oversight** assumes the provisions of this amendment will have no fiscal impact.

SA 12 - Section 1 - Application setback for liquified manure

Oversight notes in response to similar legislation from the current session where there has been promulgation of rules language, officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** have stated “the legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.” Therefore, Oversight assumes these provisions will not result in a fiscal impact to JCAR.

Oversight notes in response to similar legislation from the current session where there has been promulgation of rules language, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** have stated “many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year’s legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements

ASSUMPTION (continued)

should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.”

Therefore, **Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE FUND (JOINT CONTINGENT FUND)			
<u>Costs - Joint Committee on Agriculture</u> (§21.900, SSA 1 for SA 3)			
Meeting costs	<u>(\$1,667 to \$3,333)</u>	<u>(\$2,000 to \$4,000)</u>	<u>(\$2,000 to \$4,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND (JOINT CONTINGENT FUND)	<u>(\$1,667 to \$3,333)</u>	<u>(\$2,000 to \$4,000)</u>	<u>(\$2,000 to \$4,000)</u>
 <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	 FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	 FY 2021	 FY 2022
	 <u>\$0</u>	 <u>\$0</u>	 <u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small agricultural businesses could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal establishes the Joint Committee on Agriculture. Members of the committee shall serve without compensation, but any actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of the committee's official duties shall be paid from the joint contingent fund except for members of the committee who are not members of the general assembly. The committee shall be staffed by legislative personnel as deemed necessary to assist the committee in the performance of its duties.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Natural Resources
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Legislative Research
Missouri Senate
Office of Secretary of State
St. Louis County
Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services



Kyle Rieman
Director
April 30, 2019

Ross Strope
Assistant Director
April 30, 2019