COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 1119-02	
Bill No.: SB 201	
Subject: Fees; Motor Vehicles; Licenses - Motor Vehicle; Department of	of Revenue;
Transportation	
<u>Type</u> : #Updated	
<u>Date</u> : April 9, 2019	
#Updated Department of Revenue response based upon Department of Transp	oortation data

Bill Summary: This proposal replaces the current motor vehicle registration fee system with a system based on fuel economy.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMA	ATED NET EFFE	CT ON GENERA	AL REVENUE FU	ND
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
General Revenue	Could exceed (\$400,000) to (\$791,613)	\$0 to (\$403,155)	\$0 to (\$406,560)	\$0 to (\$409,994)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Could exceed (\$400,000) to (\$791,613)	\$0 to (\$403,155)	\$0 to (\$406,560)	\$0 to (\$409,994)

ESTI	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)	
State Road Fund (0320)#	\$0	\$0	\$26,053,137	\$32,344,339	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds#	\$0	\$0	\$26,053,137	\$32,344,339	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 15 pages.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 2 of 15 April 9, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
General Revenue	0 or 5 FTE			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0 or 5 FTE			

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
Local Government#	\$0	\$0	\$8,684,379	\$10,781,447

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 3 of 15 April 9, 2019

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

This proposal eliminates passenger registration fees based on horsepower, motorcycle, and motortricycle registration fees.

This proposal provides that passenger registration fees are to be a base registration fee of \$24. Vehicles are subject to the base fee plus an additional fee of \$6 for each mile the vehicle is rated above 29 MPG. The fees are as follows:

Miles per Gallon	Registration Fees
29 and less	\$24
30	\$30
31	\$36
32	\$42
33	\$48
34	\$54
35	\$60
36	\$66
37	\$72
38	\$78
39	\$84
40	\$90
41	\$96
42	\$102
43	\$108
44	\$114
45	\$120

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 4 of 15 April 9, 2019

46	\$126
47	\$132
48	\$138
49	\$144
50	\$150
51	\$156
52	\$162
53	\$168
54	\$174
55	\$180
56	\$186
57	\$192
58	\$198
59	\$204
60	\$210
61 or more	\$210

Administrative Impact

The proposed legislation is effective August 28, 2021, however due to the amount of time required for changes and programming, costs are being shown in FY 2020.

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update the fees in Missouri Transportation Accounting System (MTAS);
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing;
- Update procedures, correspondence letters, motor vehicle fee charts, and the Department website;
- Modify reject letter verbiage;
- Update administrative rules; and
- Train staff.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 5 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Administrative Impact (continued)

FY 2020 - Motor Vehicle Bureau		
Management Analysis Spec I	680 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	= \$12,526
Administrative Office Support Asst.	15 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr.	=\$ 207
Revenue Manager	80 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	=\$ 1,647
Total		= \$14,380
FY 2020 - Personnel Services Bureau	<u>u</u>	
Administrative Analyst III	20 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr.	=\$ 396
Total Cost		= \$14,776

The Department will have to secure a contract with a vendor for software that would enable the Department to extract MPG from VIN numbers in order to determine the appropriate registration fee based on this legislation. This cost is currently unknown, but if substantial enough, could be requested through the appropriations process.

The proposed legislation may cause an increase in telephone inquiries and email correspondence received by the Department. If the volume is more significant than anticipated, additional FTEs will be requested through the appropriations process.

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

The **Department of Revenue** notes OA-ITSD services will be required at a cost of **\$791,613** (10,554.84 hours x \$75 per hour) in FY 2020.

Oversight notes ITSD assumes that every new IT project/system will be bid out because all their resources are at full capacity. For this bill, ITSD assumes they will contract out the programming changes needed to the various DOR systems. ITSD estimates the project would take 10,554.84 hours at a contract rate of \$75 per hour for a total cost to the state of \$791,613. Oversight notes that an average salary for a current IT Specialist within ITSD is \$51,618, which totals roughly \$80,000 per year when fringe benefits are added. Assuming that all ITSD resources are at full capacity, Oversight assumes ITSD may (instead of contracting out the programming) hire 5 additional IT Specialists (10,554.84 / 2,080 = 5.07 FTE) to perform the work required from this bill.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 6 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Administrative Impact (continued)

Therefore, Oversight will range the fiscal impact from the cost of contracting out the work (\$791,613) to hiring 5 additional FTE IT Specialists (roughly \$80,000 for each FTE per year).

Revenue Impact

The **Department of Revenue** states this proposal replaces the current horsepower-based registration fee for passenger motor vehicles with a miles-per-gallon (MPG) based registration fee.

Based on current passenger vehicle information, and MPG model revenue* based on the number of current fleet (obtained from the Department of Transportation), this legislation would result in a significant increase in passenger registration fee collection. This increase will continue to inflate past the scope of this fiscal note due to passenger vehicles becoming more fuel efficient.

This legislation has a delayed implementation date of August 28, 2021; therefore the revenue impact will start in FY 2022.

Fiscal Year	MPG Model Revenue*	DOR Current Passenger Registration with 2% Inflation	Net Impact
FY 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A
#FY 2022	#\$137,608,000	\$94,633,753	#\$42,974,247
FY 2023	#\$140,986,000	\$96,526,428	#\$44,459,572

	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022 (10 Months)	FY 2023
Increase in Revenue	N/A	N/A	#\$36,026,744	#\$44,459,572
#DOR 3% Collection	N/A	N/A	#\$1,289,227	#\$1,333,787
Highway Fund (75%)	N/A	N/A	#\$26,053,137	#\$32,344,339
Cities (15%)	N/A	N/A	#\$5,210,627	#\$6,468,868
Counties (10%)	N/A	N/A	#\$3,473,752	#\$4,312,579

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 7 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

#Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** defer to the Department of Revenue. However, for this proposal, MoDOT assumes the following:

#This fiscal note utilizes the Missouri 2016 "snap shot" of decoded VINs provided by DOR. This snapshot consists of all registered Missouri vehicles on December 31, 2016. This snap shot represents the only complete decoded VIN data set available at this time. Performing additional VIN decoding on previous years is cost and time prohibitive for this fiscal note. The 2016 VIN data set was used to find trend lines to forecast vehicle and MPG growth in Missouri for purposes of implementing an MPG based vehicle registration fee.

#Missouri Department of Revenue provided FY 2014 through FY 2018 total number of registered passenger vehicles. The average growth rate over this period was found to be 0.88% annually (table 1). This rate was applied to find forecasted passenger vehicles through 2026 (table 2).

#Table 1: Existin	g Passenger Vehicles
-------------------	----------------------

Fiscal Year	Vehicle registrations processed *
2018	3,724,782
2017	3,697,476
2016	3,661,126
2015	3,626,147
2014	3,567,415
Rate	0.8822%

*2 year registrations are counted as 2 items; 1 year registrations are counted as 1 item

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 8 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

#Table 2: Forecast Passenger Vehicles

Fiscal Year	Vehicle registrations processed
2017	3,697,476
2018	3,724,782
2019	3,757,644
2020	3,790,795
2021	3,824,240
2022	3,857,979
2023	3,892,016
2024	3,926,353
2025	3,960,993
2026	3,995,939

#Using the 2016 snap shot, VINs were disaggregated by model year and MPG. The trend has been increased fuel efficiency with newer vehicles. The decreasing percent of vehicles getting less than 30 MPG was established from 2012 through 2016 (table 3) and applied to years 2017 through 2026 (table 4).

#Table 3: Existing Passenger Vehicles (less than 30 MPG)

Fiscal Year	% of Vehicles Less than 30 MPG
2012	83.54%
2013	81.97%
2014	84.94%
2015	83.84%
2016	81.15%
Rate	0.82972274

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 9 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

#Table 4: Forecast Passenger Vehicles (less than 30 MPG)

Fiscal Year	% of Vehicles Less than 30 MPG
2017	80.53%
2018	79.92%
2019	79.31%
2020	78.70%
2021	78.10%
2022	77.51%
2023	76.91%
2024	76.33%
2025	75.75%
2026	75.17%

#The 2016 VINs were then used to forecast vehicle sales by MPG and model year with a 1% growth rate applied. This provided a percent of total vehicles that would be sold in each MPG category through 2026. Using the forecasted passenger vehicles (table 2) and holding the less than 30 MPG vehicles constant (table 4) the remaining passenger vehicles (30 MPG and greater) were distributed using the forecasted vehicles sale percentages.*Note: all vehicles 55 MPG and above are classified as plugin hybrid electric vehicles.

#The forecasted annual MPG registration fee is shown below in table 5.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 10 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Fiscal Year	Annual MPG Revenue
2017	\$108,755,000
2018	\$123,603,000
2019	\$126,613,000
2020	\$131,066,000
2021	\$134,786,000
2022	\$137,608,000
2023	\$140,986,000
2024	\$147,280,000
2025	\$146,537,000
2026	\$148,904,000

#Table 5: Forecast Annual MPG Revenue

MoDOT goes on to state that while there is an overall net increase to the state revenue derived from highway users (SRHU), this revenue is considered new and is now subject to the Hancock Amendment. Therefore SRHU collected under the MPG-based registration fee structure would be subject to Hancock allocations distributing a higher share of funds to cities and counties (25%). Cities and counties would then receive a greater share than the previous (THP) distribution method, as MoDOT now retains on average 82 to 92 percent of those THP-based registration fee funds, depending on fee category.

#Oversight met with DOR and MoDOT regarding the methodologies used to determine the revenue impact of this proposal. MoDOT recalculated the data model they used to determine the increase in fuel efficient vehicles. Previously, the model was based on national averages. In the updated model, the model is based on actual numbers of current fuel efficient vehicles. The updated model resulted in less revenue than previously estimated. Oversight has reflected the updated revenue on the fiscal note.

Oversight assumes the first full year of implementation will be FY 2023.

#For consistency purposes, Oversight will not reflect the 3% collection fee to DOR.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 11 of 15 April 9, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MHP has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MHP.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Oversight assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

Page 12 of 15 April 9, 2019				
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 110.)	112021	112022	(1 1 2023)
Cost - DOR - software to enable DOR to extract MPG from VIN p. 5	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - DOR - ITSD costs (ranged from contracting out programming (\$791,613) to hiring	¢° ° ° (°	ţ	Ψ.º	
an additional 5 FTE	(\$400,000) to	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
IT Specialists) p. 5-6	(\$791,613)	(\$403,155)	(\$406,560)	(\$409,994)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	Could exceed (\$400,000) to (\$791,613)	\$0 to (\$403,155)	\$0 to (\$406,560)	\$0 to (\$409,994)
Estimated net FTE change to the General Revenue Fund	0 or 5 FTE	0 or 5 FTE	0 or 5 FTE	0 or 5 FTE

#ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE STATE ROAD FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$26,053,137</u>	<u>\$32,344,339</u>
# <u>Revenue</u> - MoDOT - increase in passenger registration fees p. 6- 10	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$26,053,137</u>	<u>\$32,344,339</u>
STATE ROAD FUND				
<u>FISCAL IMPACT -</u> <u>State Government</u> (continued)	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
Bill No. SB 201 Page 13 of 15 April 9, 2019				

L.R. No. 1119-02

L.R. No.	1119-02				
Bill No.	SB 201				
Page 14 of 15					
April 9, 2019					

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022	Fully Implemented (FY 2023)
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS				
# <u>Revenue</u> - Cities (15%) - increase in passenger registration fees p. 6- 10	\$0	\$0	\$5,210,627	\$6,468,868
# <u>Revenue</u> - Counties (10%) - increase in passenger registration fees p. 6- 10	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,473,752</u>	<u>\$4,312,579</u>
#ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$8,684,379</u>	<u>\$10,781,447</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The Department of Revenue notes there could be an increased cost to small businesses that would have to pay an increased fee for their fleet as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act replaces the current registration fee system for certain motor vehicles, based on horsepower, with a fee system that is based on the vehicles' combined city/highway fuel economy.

Noncommercial motor vehicles rated at less than 29 miles per gallon (MPG), as defined in the act, shall pay a base fee of \$24. Vehicles rated at more than 29 but less than 61 MPG shall pay an additional fee of \$6 per MPG by which the vehicle exceeds 29 MPG.

L.R. No. 1119-02 Bill No. SB 201 Page 15 of 15 April 9, 2019

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Vehicles rated at 61 MPG or more shall pay a fee equivalent to the fee for a vehicle rated at 60 MPG. Vehicles subject to the alternative fuel decal fee shall pay registration fees equal to those of vehicles rated at greater than 61 MPG, in addition to the fees required for the alternative fuel decal. The act does not modify registration fees for motorcycles, motortricycles, or autocycles.

The Director of the Department of Revenue shall determine vehicles' MPG rating based on the vehicles' combined city/highway miles per gallon rating as included on the fuel economy label provided by the Environmental Protection Agency, or as obtained using a vehicle identification number decoding system. If a MPG rating can not be determined, the motor vehicle shall be rated at 29 MPG, or shall not be rated if it is subject to the alternative fuel decal requirement.

This act takes effect on August 28, 2021.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue Department of Transportation Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Office of the Secretary of State Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Kpc Rime

Kyle Rieman Director April 9, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 9, 2019