# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:0787-01Bill No.:SB 157Subject:Workers' CompensationType:OriginalDate:February 20, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal creates new provisions relating to workers' compensation probationary periods.

## FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMA	TED NET EFFECT ON	N GENERAL REVENU	JE FUND
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
General Revenue	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 2 of 7 February 20, 2019

EST	IMATED NET EFFEC	T ON FEDERAL FUN	NDS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Unemployment Trust Fund	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)	\$0 to (Less than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ES	TIMATED NET EFFE	ECT ON LOCAL FUNI	DS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Local Government	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000	\$0 to Less than \$100,000

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 3 of 7 February 20, 2019

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration** state that under current law, employers are not required to pay unemployment benefits if an employee has worked for the employer for less than 28 days, and the employer reported the employee as a probationary employee. This would extend the period to 90 days. This bill could have a positive fiscal impact if OA utilized this provision. However, OA does not have many employees who separate from employment within the first 90 days. In the last quarter of 2018, OA paid unemployment benefits totaling \$11,683 to eight employees. Only one of those employees had been employed less than 90 days at the time of separation. Utilizing this provision would have saved OA \$1,460 for that quarter (assuming all employees were paid equal amounts). However, it is unknown how many employees this would be applicable to in the future. Therefore, the impact of this bill is \$0 to a positive unknown.

**Oversight** notes the proposal does not impact the eligibility requirements to receive unemployment benefits, however the proposal increases the period in which employers can evaluate probationary workers.

**Oversight** notes that according to DOLIR the only way to recognize if a probationary worker was employed 28 days or less is if the employer checks the box on the wage report as required by regulation and provides the starting date and ending date. At this time, there are no beginning and ending employment date reporting requirements for non probationary workers. Therefore there is not currently any data to estimate how many employment security benefits have been paid out to persons employed between 28-90 days.

**Oversight** notes under this proposal charges shall not be made against the unemployment benefits account of an employer with respect to benefits paid to any individual unless that individual was employed for longer than a probationary period of 90 days. This change could result in potential minimal savings to the state and local political subdivisions of an unknown amount in certain circumstances. Additionally, this proposal could result in potential minimal loss of revenue to the Unemployment Trust Fund for those instances in which individuals become unemployed during the 28-90 day probationary period. Oversight is unable to determine how many employees the provision would be applicable to in the future. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero to less than \$100,000 positive fiscal impact to general revenue and a zero to less than \$100,000 negative fiscal impact to the unemployment trust fund.

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 4 of 7 February 20, 2019

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, **Missouri Department of Conservation**, **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, **Department of Transportation**, and **Office of Administration** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Jackson County Election Board** and **St. Louis County Board of Elections** both assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Springfield Public Schools** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization, but could result in minimal savings with the extension of the probationary period in eligibility criteria.

Officials from the **Kirksville R-III**, **University of Central Missouri**, **State Technical College of Missouri**, **University of Missouri**, and **Missouri State University** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** notes that the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Missouri Department of Conservation, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Transportation, Office of Administration, Jackson County Election Board, St. Louis County Board of Elections, Kirksville R-III, University of Central Missouri, State Technical College of Missouri, University of Missouri, and Missouri State University have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, schools, and colleges were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to <u>www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.</u>

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 5 of 7 February 20, 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Savings</u> - potential less unemployment benefits paid for probationary employees between 28 and 90 days	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	\$0 to Less than <u>\$100,000</u>
UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND			
Loss - DOLIR Employment Security Benefits from non-charged employers	\$0 to (Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	\$0 to (Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	\$0 to (Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
non-charged employers			
NET EFFECT ON THE UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND	\$0 to (Less <u>than \$100,000)</u>	\$0 to (Less <u>than \$100,000)</u>	\$0 to (Less <u>than \$100,000)</u>
NET EFFECT ON THE UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	•	•	•
NET EFFECT ON THE UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND	<u>than \$100,000)</u> FY 2020	<u>than \$100,000)</u>	<u>than \$100,000)</u>
NET EFFECT ON THE UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	<u>than \$100,000)</u> FY 2020	<u>than \$100,000)</u>	<u>than \$100,000)</u>

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 6 of 7 February 20, 2019

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Under current law, charges shall not be made against the unemployment benefits account of an employer with respect to benefits paid to any individual unless that individual was employed for longer than a probationary period of 28 days.

This act extends that probationary period to 90 days.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Missouri Department of Conservation Department of Transportation Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Office of Administration Jackson County Election Board St. Louis County Board of Elections Springfield Public Schools Kirksville R-III University of Central Missouri State Technical College of Missouri University of Missouri Missouri State University

Kp Rime

Kyle Rieman Director February 20, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 20, 2019

SK:LR:OD

L.R. No. 0787-01 Bill No. SB 157 Page 7 of 7 February 20, 2019