COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:0529-04Bill No.:Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133Subject:Administrative Law; Agriculture; Department of Agriculture; Civil Penalties;
FoodType:July 10, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to agriculture.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 17 pages. L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 2 of 17 July 10, 2019

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | | |
| Agriculture Protection Fund (0970) | \$1,626,284 to \$1,817,972 | \$1,633,401 to \$1,825,089 | \$1,633,401 to \$1,825,089 | | |
| Criminal Records (0671) | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | | |
| Industrial Hemp Fund (0476)* | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pesticide Education Fund | \$0 or Up to \$191,688 | \$0 or Up to \$191,688 | \$0 or Up to \$191,688 | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$1,626,284 to \$2,009,660 | \$1,633,401 to \$2,016,777 | \$1,633,401 to \$2,016,777 | | |

*Revenue and costs net to zero.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 3 of 17 July 10, 2019

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | | | |
| Agriculture Protection Fund | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | | | |
| Industrial Hemp Fund | 5 FTE | 5 FTE | 5 FTE | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 4 FTE | 4 FTE | 4 FTE | | | |

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 | | | | | |
| Local Government Up to \$50,000 Up to \$50,000 Up to \$50,000 | | | | | |

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 4 of 17 July 10, 2019

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§64.002, 65.702 and 89.020 - Zoning - Sawmills and Planing Mills

Officials at the **State Tax Commission** assume these sections have no fiscal impact as sawmills are currently designated as agricultural and horticultural property for assessment purposes under \$135.016.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture** assume there is no fiscal impact from these sections.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated these sections would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact for these sections of the fiscal note.

Oversight notes that sawmills are considered agricultural and horticultural property for property assessment purposes. This proposal would make sure they are agricultural and horticultural property for planning and zoning purposes as well. Oversight assumes this would not have a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Taney County Assessor's Office** assume there is no fiscal impact from these sections.

Oversight notes that the Taney County Assessor's Office stated these sections would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for the Taney County Assessor's Office .

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, county assessors were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to <u>www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.</u>

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 5 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

<u>§§195.740, 195.743, 195.746, 195.749, 195.752, 195.756, 195.758, 195.764 and 195.767 -</u> Industrial Hemp

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture (AGR)** assume these sections will increase annual Total State Revenue (TSR) by the various types of fees; however, AGR assumes any fees will be set to cover program costs and not generate revenue.

AGR assumes this proposal will remove the minimum and maximum acreage requirements for Industrial Hemp. The proposal will increase the number and diversity of grower and handler operations in the state. The department assumes increases in the following areas compared to the FY 2020 Governor's recommended New Decision Item to implement HB 2034 (2018):

- "applications" will increase from 240 to 656;
- "growers" will increase from 150 to 500;
- "propagule and seed permits" will increase from 42 to 156;
- "acres" will increase from 2,000 to 20,000;
- "inspections" will increase from 217 to 656;
- "FTEs" will increase from 2 to 4 (add 2 Program Coordinators);

AGR assumed the need for five (5) additional FTE Program Coordinators for: outreach to a larger and more diversified set of industrial hemp growers; year-round inspections of indoor growing facilities; inspections of the increased number of outdoor growers; continuous application reviews; and continuous improvement of processes used to manage a dynamic industry.

All fees used in this fiscal note are based on the rates found in the currently proposed rule for the Industrial Hemp Pilot Program authorized by HB 2034 in 2018. The proposed rule and fee rates are likely to change if subsequent legislation (such as this bill) is enacted.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 6 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

AGR noted in response to HB 2034 (2018), AGR made the assumption that they would need to purchase \$600,000 of equipment needed for pesticide analysis and the anticipated fee structure used on the fiscal note for HB 2034 (2018) included covering this expense. AGR noted that upon further review, the implementation strategy was revised to lower the fees paid by program participants.

- 1. AGR decided to require growers to pay the costs of gathering and submitting samples to private labs for analysis instead of AGR to perform the testing;
- 2. The revised strategy eliminated the need for additional laboratory staff and equipment including the \$600,000 pesticide testing equipment included in the HB 2034 TAFP fiscal note; and
- 3. The fiscal impact statement for this bill does not include any impact to the Department of Public Safety Missouri Highway Patrol.

AGR's department request for the FY 2020 budget year regarding the Industrial Hemp proposal was for \$347,338 (5 FTE). The Governor's Recommendation was for \$119,200 (2 FTE). All from the Industrial Hemp Fund.

AGR expects they will be able to adequately function and meet industrial hemp program expenses with the FY20 appropriation of \$348,645 from the Industrial Hemp Fund. Revenue deposited into the Industrial Hemp Fund will come from fees assessed to hemp producers and agricultural hemp propagule and agricultural hemp seed permit holders. MDA will publish a fee schedule in revised promulgated regulations. MDA's goal is to calibrate fees to cover program expenses while keeping the Industrial Hemp Fund revenue neutral. Program needs may evolve due to pending U.S. Department of Agriculture industrial hemp regulations which are anticipated to be published in the fall of 2019. Program needs are also subject to the accuracy of MDA's stated assumption of 656 applications, 500 growers, 156 permit holders, and 656 annual inspections. With information currently available, MDA anticipates being able to adequately administer the industrial hemp program within the FY20 appropriated amount.

Oversight inquired of AGR regarding the current proposed fee revenue of \$201,667 indicated for HB 824 as compared to the fee revenue of \$1,006,100 estimated in HB 2034 (2018), which established the industrial hemp program. AGR notes the proposed fees listed above might be likely to change again due to any legislation impacting the program as the rules have not been approved yet.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 7 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The original proposal, HB 2034 (2018), was intended to be revenue neutral, meaning the estimated fees charged were calculated to cover the estimated program expenses of \$1,006,100. Any new rules proposed could also be adjusted to reflect program costs; therefore, Oversight will reflect the fiscal impact as revenue neutral (net impact \$0, proposed program fees of \$348,645 updated so that additional participants in the program would still result in revenue equaling expected program costs of \$348,645 to the Industrial Hemp Fund).

AGR notes the fund number for the Industrial Hemp Fund is 0476.

Oversight notes this is a new fund and does not appear on the monthly State Treasurer's fund balance reports as of May 2019 as no revenues have been received.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume these sections add a new definition for "agricultural hemp propagule" and states that if required by federal law, any applicant for a permit would have to request a fingerprint criminal history background check.

For each background check, the Patrol would collect \$31.25, of which it would retain \$22 and pass through the remaining \$9.25 to the FBI. The Patrol has no way to determine the number of potential applicants for this permit; therefore, the impact is unknown.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to MHP's; therefore, Oversight will range the fiscal impact from \$0 (federal law does not require a background check) to a positive "Unknown" fiscal impact (revenue collected from fees for background checks) to the Criminal Records Fund as required by Section 43.530, RSMo.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education (DHE)** assume these sections will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that DHE has stated these sections would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for DHE.

Officials from the **University of Missouri**, **Missouri State University**, **Crowder College** and **State Technical College of Missouri** each assume these sections will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 8 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated these sections would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other colleges and universities were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to <u>www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.</u>

Officials from the **St. Louis County of Justice Services** and **Springfield Police Department** each assume these sections will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other law enforcement agencies were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to <u>www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.</u>

§196.352 - Civil Penalties for Violations of Law Pertaining to Eggs

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assume this section provides for collection of civil penalties that will have a positive impact to General Revenue. Penalties are reserved for more severe violations. Severe violations will vary year to year from zero to possibly five businesses per year, with up to a total of 100 violations at those five businesses.

AGR assumes this section would not require any new employees or equipment. Reduction of expenses may occur by a more efficient method of enforcement. Violations resulting in fines could vary widely from year to year, from no fines to possibly 100 violations at \$500 max/violation (range = 0 - 50,000 to local school districts).

Oversight notes that civil penalties collected are distributed to the school district where the violation occurred; therefore, Oversight will reflect a positive fiscal impact of "Up to \$50,000" to local school districts on the fiscal note.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 9 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that an increase in revenue collected from fines distributed to schools in one year increases the deduction in the foundation formula for the following year. For simplicity purposes, Oversight will not reflect this fiscal impact to the foundation formula.

Officials from the **Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC)** assume that this section will not significantly alter its caseload. If similar bills pass, resulting in more cases, there will be a fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes the AHC is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes the AHC will request additional appropriation if its caseload is significantly altered; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact to the AHC on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** and **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume this section will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

<u>§§261.140, 264.061, 266.031, 266.165, 266.190, 281.035, 281.037, 281.038, 281.050, 281.260 &</u> <u>281.265 Plant Industries Fees</u>

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture (AGR)** assume there will be a total net revenue increase of \$1,842,389 as a result of these sections.

The table below indicates the current fees and the proposed fees for the various plant industries fees collected annually.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 10 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

| Citation | License/Registration Affected | Number of Payers | Current Fee | Proposed Fee | Estimated Revenue Increase |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| §264.061 | Apiary import permit | 11 | \$5 | \$10 | \$55 |
| §266.031 | Vegetable, Owner, No storage | 1,976 | \$5 | \$20 | \$29,640 |
| §266.031 | Retail seed license | 1,556 | \$15 | \$60 | \$70,020 |
| §266.031 | Wholesale seed license | 263 | \$100 | \$400 | \$78,900 |
| §266.165 | Feed licenses | 1,978 | \$25 per year | \$35 | \$19,780 |
| §266.190 | Tonnage fees | 5,154,975 tons of feed | \$0.10 per ton (with minimum \$5) | \$0.14 per ton (with minimum \$5) | \$206,199 |
| §266.190 | Feed product registration | 9,687 | \$25 | \$90 | \$629,655 |
| §281.035 | Certified commercial applicator | 5,278 | \$50 | \$65 | \$79,170 |
| §281.037 | Certified non-commercial applicator | 1,062 | \$25 | \$35 | \$10,620 |
| §281.038 | Pesticide technician | 2,512 | \$25 | \$35 | \$25,120 |
| §281.050 | Pesticide dealer | 863 | \$25 | \$35 | \$8,630 |
| §281.260 | Registered pesticide | 13,692 | \$150 | \$200 | \$684,600 |
| Annual To | tal increased fee revenue | | | | \$1,842,389 |

Source: Department of Agriculture

The number of payers is based on 2018 figures.

AGR notes the division's computer system, MOPlants, will require updates to change the fee amounts and structures. The estimated cost of this contracted work is **\$10,000**.

Additional appropriation authority will be requested to address program needs if this proposal is passed.

AGR notes Section 261.200 creates the Agriculture Protection Fund which states any moneys or fees collected by AGR shall be deposited into the Fund.

KB:LR:OD

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 11 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes the change in Section 266.031 makes that section agree with Section 261.200.

Oversight notes the Agriculture Protection Fund (0970) had a fund balance of \$4,075,212 as of May 2019. Oversight also notes \$9.4 million in receipts was deposited into the Agriculture Protection Fund in FY 2018. **AGR** noted, however, that only \$1.3 million of those receipts applied to the Plant Industries Division.

Oversight notes up to 7% of the annual registration fees for pesticides may (§281.260.3) be deposited into the newly created Pesticide Education Fund (§281.265) at the discretion of the director of the Department of Agriculture. Oversight will reflect a fiscal impact of \$0 (AGR does not deposit funds into the Pesticide Education Fund) or "Up to 191,688" for the Pesticide Education Fund (13,692 payers x \$200 registration fee = \$2,738,400 x 7% = \$191,688). Additionally, Oversight will reflect the revenue increase to the Agriculture Protection Fund (0970) from \$1,650,701 (AGR deposits 7% of the pesticide annual registration fee into the Pesticide Education Fund) or \$1,842,389 (AGR deposits all revenue from the fee increases into the Agriculture Protection Fund).

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer** assume these sections will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that the Office of the State Treasurer has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for the Office of the State Treasurer.

<u>§§280.005, 280.010, 280.020, 280.030, 208.035, 280.037, 280.038, 280.040, 280.050, 280.060,</u> 280.070, 280.080, 280.090, 280.095, 280.100, 280.110, 280.120, 280.130 and 280.140 - Repeal of the Missouri Treated Timber Law

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture (AGR)** assume these sections would eliminate the Treated Timber Law. Based on FY 2018 figures, AGR will no longer collect \$17,300 in annual license revenue. Net annual program expense savings of \$246,889 will go to unmet current needs in the Plant Industries Division.

Historically, the Treated Timber program has been supported by Pesticide Product Registration fees. However, the Pesticide Product Registration fees will no longer be used to support the Feed, Seed and Treated Timber program; therefore, funding from that particular fee will stay within the Pesticide Control and Plant Pest programs.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 12 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The savings from eliminating the Treated Timber program will be reallocated to the Pesticide Control and Plant Pest programs and be used for priority items, like the two unfilled Pesticide Use Investigators FTE and necessary IT upgrades.

| | 2015 Actual | 2016 Actual | 2017 Actual to Date | 2017 Projected | 2018 Projected | 2019 Projected | 2020 Projected |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Treated Timber Producers | 55 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 55 |
| Treated Timber Dealers | 847 | 849 | 840 | 850 | 855 | 860 | 865 |

Oversight notes the following from AGR's FY 2019 budget request:

Oversight notes treated timber producers must pay an annual license fee of \$200 and treated timber dealers must pay an annual fee of \$15.

Oversight notes the Agriculture Protection Fund (0970) had a fund balance of \$4,075,212 as of May 2019.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to AGR's assumptions; therefore, Oversight will reflect AGR's loss of revenue of \$17,300 and program savings of \$246,889 on the fiscal note.

Additionally, Oversight will show the department's reallocation of \$246,889 to the Pesticide Control and Plant Pest programs for IT costs and two currently vacant FTE that will be filled with the savings from the repeal of this program.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assume these sections will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that OSCA has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for OSCA.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 13 of 17 July 10, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000.

The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Oversight assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services, Livingston County Health Center and Perry County Health Department each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 14 of 17 July 10, 2019

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2020 (10 Mo.) | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AGRICULTURE PROTECTION FUND | | | |
| <u>Revenue</u> - AGR - §§264.061 - 281.260 - Increase in various Plant Industries fees p. 10 | \$1,650,701 to \$1,842,389 | \$1,650,701 to \$1,842,389 | \$1,650,701 to \$1,842,389 |
| <u>Savings</u> - AGR - §§280.005 - 280.140 - program savings from the repeal of the Treated Timber Law p. 12 | \$205,741 | \$246,889 | \$246,889 |
| <u>Cost</u> - AGR - §§280.005 - 280.140 reallocation of funds from the repeal of the Treated Timber Law (remaining funds after filling two vacant positions) p. 12-13 | (\$107,943) | (\$128,611) | (\$127,681) |
| <u>Cost</u> - AGR - §§280.005 - 280.140 - filling of two vacant positions due to the reallocation of funds from the repeal of the Treated Timber Law p. 12-13 | | | |
| Personal Services | (\$58,847) | (\$71,322) | (\$72,035) |
| Fringe Benefits Total Costs - AGR | <u>(\$38,951)</u> (\$97,798) | <u>(\$46,956)</u> (\$118,278) | $\frac{(\$47,173)}{(\$119,208)}$ |
| FTE Change - AGR | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |
| <u>Cost</u> - AGR - §§264.061 - 281.260 - vendor cost to update system p. 11 | (\$10,000) | \$0 | \$0 |
| Loss - AGR - §§280.005 - 280.140 loss of annual fees for treated timber p. 12 | <u>(\$14,417)</u> | <u>(\$17,300)</u> | <u>(\$17,300)</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE AGRICULTURE PROTECTION FUND | \$1,626,284 to <u>\$1,817,972</u> | \$1,633,401 to <u>\$1,825,089</u> | \$1,633,401 to <u>\$1,825,089</u> |
| Estimated Net FTE Change to the Agriculture Protection Fund | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 15 of 17 July 10, 2019

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| (continued) | (10 Mo.) | | |

CRIMINAL RECORDS FUND

| <u>Revenue</u> - MHP - §195.740 - fees from background checks p. 7 | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE CRIMINAL RECORDS FUND | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> | <u>\$0 to Unknown</u> |

INDUSTRIAL HEMP FUND

| <u>Revenue</u> - §§195.740 - 195.767 - increased fees due to increased number of applicants - ranged from fees changing so that AGR only collects enough to cover additional costs - to new estimate of applicants at currently proposed fee schedule p. 4-7 | \$348,645 | \$348,645 | \$348,645 |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| <u>Cost</u> - §§195.740 - 195.767 - AGR p. 5 Program expenses including 5 FTE's | (\$348,645) | (\$348,645) | <u>(\$348,645)</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE INDUSTRIAL HEMP FUND* *Revenues and cost may net to zero | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| Estimated Net FTE Change to the Industrial Hemp Fund | 5 FTE | 5 FTE | 5 FTE |

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 16 of 17 July 10, 2019

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued) | FY 2020 (10 Mo.) | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PESTICIDE EDUCATION FUND | | | |
| <u>Revenue</u> - AGR - §281.260 - from the annual registration fees for pesticides p. 11 | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE PESTICIDE EDUCATION FUND | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> | \$0 or Up to <u>\$191,688</u> |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2020 (10 Mo.) | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
| <u>Revenue</u> - §196.352 - civil penalties for violations of §§196.311 - 196.361 p. 9 | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> | <u>Up to \$50,000</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small agricultural businesses could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies provisions relating to agriculture.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0529-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SB 133 Page 17 of 17 July 10, 2019

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture Office of the State Courts Administrator Administrative Hearing Commission Attorney General's Office State Tax Commission Department of Higher Education University of Missouri Missouri State University State Technical College of Missouri Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Office of the Secretary of State Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Springfield Police Department St. Louis County Police Department St. Louis County Department of Justice Services Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services Livingston County Health Center Perry County Health Department Department of Health and Senior Services Office of the State Treasurer Crowder College Taney County Assessor's Office

Kpc Rime

Kyle Rieman Director July 10, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director July 10, 2019