

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0509-03
Bill No.: HCS for SB 68
Subject: Department of Economic Development
Type: Original
Date: April 30, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to workforce development and the Missouri Works Program.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
General Revenue	Up to (\$102,059,557)	Up to (\$102,082,136)	Up to (\$102,083,565)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Up to (\$102,059,557)	Up to (\$102,082,136)	Up to (\$102,083,565)

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 16 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
MO One Start Job Development	\$52,500	\$63,000	\$63,000
MO One Start Community College New Jobs Training	\$0	\$0	\$0
MO One Start Community College Job Retention Training	\$0	\$0	\$0
Community Colleges	\$1,365,000 to (\$1,365,000)	\$1,365,000 to (\$1,365,000)	\$1,365,000 to (\$1,365,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$52,500	\$63,000	\$63,000

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Federal	(\$342,489)	(\$342,489)	(\$342,489)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	(\$342,489)	(\$342,489)	(\$342,489)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
General Revenue	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
Federal DWD	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	6 FTE	6 FTE	6 FTE

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§620.511 Workforce Development

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development** anticipate no impact as a result of this proposed legislation.

Oversight notes that Department of Economic Development has stated the provision would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for this section.

§620.800 - §620.809 and §620.2475 Missouri One Start

Officials of the **Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** assume this proposal will not directly impact General Revenue. However, if more projects utilize the training programs, these projects could also apply for other tax credits. If more tax credits are issued, there could be an unknown decrease in General Revenue. Conversely, to the extent this proposal encourages other economic activity, General and Total State Revenue may be impacted, but B&P cannot estimate the induced revenues

Officials of the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** assume these sections would have no fiscal impact on the Department.

In response to very similar language in another proposal (HB 469, LR 1063-1) and after questioning, **DED** stated that currently, the Missouri Job Development Fund, the New Jobs Training Program, and the Job Retention Training Programs are located in the budget of DED's Division of Workforce Development. These programs are being renamed the Missouri One Start Program and a new unit is being built around these existing programs.

DED assumes this bill uses the 3 existing programs - the Missouri Job Development Fund, the New Jobs Training Program and the Job Retention Training Program - and the changes in this bill therefore do not result in an increase to General Revenue. The Governor's Executive budget calls for an increase in funding for these programs however, this is not a result of or in any way correlated to the changes in this bill. DED assumed the several structural changes in the bill would not result in an increase in General Revenue.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume there is no fiscal impact from this part of the proposal.

Oversight notes that DOR has stated this part of the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for this organization.

Oversight notes that this proposal is changing the name of the Missouri Works Training Programs to Missouri One Start Program. Additionally, this proposal is changing the name of the Missouri Works Job Development Fund to the Missouri One Start Job Development Fund. Oversight assumes there is a net zero impact from the name changes.

In response to HB 469 and after questioning, **DED** stated this proposal changes the 15% administrative fee for community colleges to a performance-based measure; however the bill does not call for a change in the total amount that would be spent on administration of the program. DED stated these funds are limited to the core budget appropriation as well, included in HB 7 and in no way changed by this bill.

Oversight notes in §620.800(27)(f) this proposal changes from 15% for administrative expenses to a “reasonable amount determined by the Department.” Oversight notes the Missouri Works New Jobs Training Program spent \$5.6 million in FY 2018 from the Community College New Jobs Training Fund (0563) and the Missouri Works Retained Jobs Training Program spent \$3.5 million in FY 2018 from the Community College Job Retention Training Fund (0717). Under current law \$1,365,000 (15%) could have been spent for administrative expenses of community colleges. DED assumes that by using a performance-based system, they could reduce the administrative expenses and reinvest that savings into other companies. Oversight notes DED could raise or lower the rate and assumes the rate will not exceed 30%, therefore, Oversight will show this as a (\$1,365,000) to \$1,365,000 for community colleges.

Oversight notes that §620.806.3 requires petition review and contracts with community colleges in lieu of the guaranteed 15% payment. Oversight assumes that existing and reallocated staff will be able to handle these additional duties.

In response to HB 469 and after questioning, **DED** noted this proposal removes the limit on how much can be spent to market the program; however, this proposal does not call for additional funds for this purpose. These funds would be spent out of the program's core budget, which is included in HB 7 and in no way changed by this bill.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes this proposal, in §620.803.5, removes the limit of \$50,000 to contract with external entities for advertising, marketing and promoting this program. DED stated they have not used funding for external contracting for marketing but will need to do so if Missouri One Start can compete with other states to effectively market regionally and nationally. Oversight notes that any funding used for marketing would be an increase in administrative costs to the program. Oversight assumes \$50,001 - \$250,000 will be spent for external marketing.

In response to HB 469 and after questioning, **DED** noted this proposal adds the ability to add interest if determined to be needed for clawback repayments. Over the last 5 years, \$562,520 has been collected in clawback repayments. Of that amount, \$344,967 was collected from New Jobs withholding repayments and the funds were returned to General Revenue DOR's withholding unit. The remaining \$217,553 was collected from Missouri Job Development Fund Projects, and deposited into the Missouri Job Development Fund (0600). This interest would be paid to the state, and would not result in a negative fiscal impact to the state.

Oversight notes this proposal in §620.803.8 allows DED to recapture all benefits awarded under the MO One Start Program. DED stated all three existing programs have Clawback provisions which pertain to them - Missouri Job Development Fund is a grant program and New Jobs Training/Job Retention Training Programs use the diversion of withholding credits. There have been occasions in the past when DED recovered funds. The new language strengthens the Clawback provision to include the ability to add interest if necessary when repayment is required. Repayment would be in play if the company didn't create/maintain the jobs and/or moved the jobs out of state. Oversight assumes a 5% interest rate pursuant to DOR's interest rate and estimates an increase in interest revenue for each fund and notes that \$5,625 ($\$562,620 \times 5\% / 5$ years) annually could have been recouped previously. Oversight notes that any money that is clawed back from the New & Retained Jobs Funds is withholding tax money and would be forwarded to DOR to be deposited with all other withholding funds. Any interest recovered from the withholding tax would be forwarded to General Revenue. Any funds from the Job Development program would be deposited into the Job Development program and any interest from that program would be also deposited into the fund.

Officials of the **Office of the State Treasurer (STO)** assume this proposal is taking interest earnings from General Revenue and placing them with the renamed Missouri One Start Job Development Fund (0600). Currently, earnings on this fund are posted to General Revenue. Estimated costs to General Revenue are:

FY 2020	\$52,500
FY 2021	\$63,000
FY 2022	\$63,000

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight will show the loss to General Revenue and gain to the Missouri One Start Job Development Fund of the interest estimated by the STO.

In response to HB 469 and after questioning, **DED** noted there are currently 8 staff assigned to the 3 programs that will form Missouri One Start. In addition, 4 staff from the Division of Workforce Development Administration currently provide some support to these three programs, and will be reassigned organizationally. These positions are and will continue to be funded out of federal workforce development funds. Their positions will not be back-filled at the Division of Workforce Development, resulting in no net change in General Revenue. Funding for these FTEs is included in HB 7, and in no way changed by this bill.

Oversight notes that DED states the transfer of 4 FTE would not have a fiscal impact; however, there could be an increase. The Executive Budget for FY 2020 proposes moving the current 8 FTE to the MO One Start Program and additionally moving 1 FTE from General Revenue and 3 from Federal Funds to the MO One Start Program. Oversight assumes these 4 positions were no longer needed due to policy decisions that are external to the provisions of this bill. Oversight will show the transfer of the 4 new employees (salary, fringe and equipment) to the program in the fiscal note.

Oversight notes that §620.809(4) allows employees in retained jobs with a company to train with the potential employees that will be filling the new jobs. Oversight is unable to determine if this would result in a decrease in the number of training programs that could be funded.

Officials at the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** assumes this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond current appropriations.

Oversight assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding

ASSUMPTION (continued)

for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

§620.2005, §620.2010 & §620.2020 Missouri Works Tax Credit Program (Enactment 8/28/2019)
Officials at the **B&P** assume to the extent businesses qualify for additional tax credits this proposal could impact General and Total State Revenues and the calculation pursuant to Article X, Section 18(e). Additionally, to the extent this proposal encourages other economic activity, General and Total State Revenue may increase, but B&P cannot estimate the induced revenues. B&P notes the proposal requires a net positive benefit to the state.

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume that tax subsidies reduce the state's tax revenues and decrease the amount of money available for public schools and all public school students.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development** assume this proposal increased the program cap for retention projects to \$75 million. The current cap is \$6 million. As a result this could cost the state up to \$69 million annually. DED would need to hire 2 Economic Development Incentive Specialist III (\$51,108) to administer this new cap.

Oversight notes that §620.2020.8 changes the cap on the withholding tax that can be claimed by companies. Currently the cap is \$6 million annually (in §620.2015.1 & .2). This proposal raises the cap to \$75 million. Oversight will show the loss of revenue to the state from the increase in the cap and will show the need for the DED FTE.

DED noted that if the language was amended as outlined below, then it is our interpretation that the amended legislation will place a cap of \$75 million on the withholding tax that may be authorized for the creation of new jobs by qualified companies with a project facility base employment of at least fifty, which currently has no cap. If this change is made, DED anticipates no fiscal impact as a result of the legislation.

8. For all fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 2020, the maximum total amount of withholding tax that may be authorized for retention **for the creation of new jobs** under the provisions of sections 620.2000 to 620.2020 by qualified companies with a project facility base employment of at least fifty shall not exceed seventy-five million dollars for

each fiscal year. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to withholding tax authorized for retention **for the creation of new jobs** by qualified companies with a project facility base employment of less than fifty.

DED provided the following information regarding the history of the Missouri Works Retained Withholdings

Missouri Works Retained Withholdings				
Year	50 or Fewer Employees	50 or More Employees	Annual Total	Percent of Total to 50 or Fewer Employees
2013	\$5,999,808	\$25,366,597	\$31,366,405	19%
2014	\$16,637,464	\$38,041,673	\$54,679,137	30%
2015	\$36,836,113	\$19,120,612	\$55,956,725	66%
2016	\$38,511,168	\$31,992,441	\$70,503,609	55%
2017	\$34,577,310	\$36,674,555	\$71,251,864	49%
2018	\$47,812,911	\$37,923,837	\$85,736,748	56%
YTD 2019	\$11,090,436	\$523,873	\$11,614,309	95%
TOTAL	\$191,465,209	\$189,643,588	\$381,108,797	50%

Source: Department of Economic Development - they note this table shows retained withholdings benefits only and 2019 data is year to date only.

Officials at the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** assume a potential unknown decrease in premium tax revenues as a result of the expansion of the Missouri Works tax credit. Premium tax revenue is split 50/50 between General Revenue and County Foreign Insurance Fund except for domestic Stock Property and Casualty Companies who pay premium tax to the County Stock Fund. The County Foreign Insurance Fund is later distributed to school districts throughout the state. County Stock Funds are later distributed to the school district and county treasurer of the county in which the principal office of the insurer is located. It is unknown how each of these funds may be impacted by tax credits each year.

Oversight notes the MO Works Program was created in 2013 in HB 184. It replaced the Development tax credit in §32.100, the Rebuilding Communities tax credit in §135.535, the Enhanced Enterprise Zones tax credit in §135.950 and the Quality Jobs tax credit in §620.1875.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

MO Works was given a \$106 million cap in FY 2014, a \$111 million cap in FY 2015 and a \$116 million cap in FY 2016 and all subsequent years. This \$116 million cap includes outstanding authorizations under the previous tax credits.

To qualify for a tax credit under MO Works a company must create or retain a minimum number of jobs at the project facility with average wages of 80%, 90%, 120% or 140% of the county average wage and pay at least 50% of health insurance premiums. These projects are considered Zone Works, Rural Works, Statewide Works, and Mega Works (120 & 140).

In addition to the tax credits, a company is allowed to retain withholding tax based on the creation of new jobs and retained jobs. There is no annual cap on the amount of withholding tax that can be retained.

Oversight notes according to the Tax Credit Analysis submitted by the Department of Economic Development regarding this program, the Missouri Works - Business Incentive tax credit program had the following activity;

	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019 (projected)	FY 2020 (projected)
Certificates Issued	4	17	31	92	103
Projects	136	144	141	140	142
Amount Authorized	\$114,719,436	\$155,506,188	\$185,732,973	\$172,254,336	\$183,158,205
Amount Issued	\$23,741,677	\$35,547,214	\$45,830,250	\$95,576,322	\$110,506,953
Amount Redeemed	\$12,075,789	\$35,065,683	\$56,398,909	\$93,664,796	\$108,296,814

Amount Outstanding - \$1,559,276.84 Amount Authorized but Unissued - \$632,066,458.08

Oversight notes these provisions become effective August 28, 2019 (FY 2020).

Oversight notes this proposal in §620.2020.7 reduces the \$116 million cap to \$106 million; however the program is not at its issuance cap and therefore, this will not result in a savings to the state. Additionally, this proposal creates a new \$10 million cap for infrastructure projects. This will result in a cost to the state of up to \$10 million annually.

Oversight notes that §620.2010.6 would allow a qualified company to receive tax credits equal to 9% of new payroll. This proposal caps the amount that can be issued under §620.2010.6 to no more than 21.5% of the \$106 million (\$22,790,000). This proposal would expand the companies that would be eligible for this tax credit and increase the utilization of the program, Oversight

ASSUMPTION (continued)

will show the impact as \$0 (no additional companies authorized) to \$22,790,000 as only \$45.8 million in credits were issued in FY 2018.

Oversight assumes the changes to this program outlined in this proposal may have a positive impact on the state. However, Oversight considers this to be an indirect impact of the proposal and will not reflect it in this fiscal note.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Loss - STO §620.806- Fund investment interest now retained by the MO One Start Job Development Fund</u> p. 6	(\$52,500)	(\$63,000)	(\$63,000)
<u>Transfer In - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest from MO One Start CC New Jobs Training Fund</u> p. 6	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625
<u>Transfer In - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest from MO One Start CC Retained Jobs Training Fund</u> p. 6	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625
<u>Cost - DED §620.800 one FTE MO One Start Division (1 FTE)</u> p. 7	(\$65,912)	(\$65,912)	(\$65,912)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> DED §620.2020.7(2) creation of new MO Works tax credit for infrastructure projects p. 10	\$0 to (\$10,000,000)	\$0 to (\$10,000,000)	\$0 to (\$10,000,000)
<u>Revenue Reduction - DED §620.2010.6 & §620.2020.9 - expansion of qualified companies</u> p. 11	\$0 to (\$22,790,000)	\$0 to (\$22,790,000)	\$0 to (\$22,790,000)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> (continued)	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE (continued)			
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - DED §620.2020.8 increase in withholding tax cap from \$6 million to \$75 million on retained jobs page 8	Up to (\$69,000,000)	Up to (\$69,000,000)	Up to (\$69,000,000)
<u>Cost</u> - DED §620.2020.8 p. 8			
Personal Service	(\$85,180)	(\$103,238)	(\$104,271)
Fringe Benefits	(\$46,974)	(\$56,681)	(\$56,995)
Equip & Exp	(\$18,991)	(\$3,305)	(\$3,387)
<u>Total Cost</u> -	<u>(\$151,145)</u>	<u>(\$163,224)</u>	<u>(\$164,653)</u>
FTE Change	2 FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	<u>Up to</u> <u>(\$102,059,557)</u>	<u>Up to</u> <u>(\$102,082,136)</u>	<u>Up to</u> <u>(\$102,083,565)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change on General Revenue	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
 MISSOURI ONE START JOB DEVELOPMENT FUND			
<u>Revenue</u> - STO §620.806- Fund investment interest retained by the Fund	\$52,500	\$63,000	\$63,000
<u>Administrative Increase</u> - DED §620.803.5 - removal of cap on what marketing contracts can cost	\$50,001 to \$250,000	\$50,001 to \$250,000	\$50,001 to \$250,000
<u>Program Distribution Decrease</u> - DED 620.803.5 marketing contract increased administrative costs	<u>(\$50,001 to</u> <u>\$250,000)</u>	<u>(\$50,001 to</u> <u>\$250,000)</u>	<u>(\$50,001 to</u> <u>\$250,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON MISSOURI ONE START JOB DEVELOPMENT FUND	<u>\$52,500</u>	<u>\$63,000</u>	<u>\$63,000</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> (continued)	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
--	---------------------	---------	---------

**MISSOURI ONE START
 COMMUNITY COLLEGE NEW
 JOBS TRAINING FUND**

<u>Revenue</u> - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest payments	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

<u>Transfer Out</u> - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest is withholding taxes and forwarded to General Revenue	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON
 MISSOURI ONE START
 COMMUNITY COLLEGE NEW
 JOBS TRAINING FUND**

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

**MISSOURI ONE START
 COMMUNITY COLLEGE JOB
 RETENTION TRAINING FUND**

<u>Revenue</u> - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest payments	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625	\$0 to Could exceed \$5,625
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

<u>Transfer Out</u> - DED §620.803.8 - clawback money with interest is withholding taxes and forwarded to General Revenue	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Could exceed \$5,625)</u>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON
 MISSOURI ONE START
 COMMUNITY COLLEGE JOB
 RETENTION TRAINING FUND**

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
(continued)	(10 Mo.)		

FEDERAL FUNDS

<u>Cost - DED §620.800 three FTE to MO</u> One Start Division (3 FTE)	<u>(\$342,489)</u>	<u>(\$342,489)</u>	<u>(\$342,489)</u>
--	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>(\$342,489)</u>	<u>(\$342,489)</u>	<u>(\$342,489)</u>
--	---------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

Estimated Net FTE Change on Federal Funds - DWD	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
--	-------	-------	-------

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

<u>Administrative Change - §620.800(27)</u> removal of the 15% cap on administrative expenses	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>
---	---	---	---

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON COMMUNITY COLLEGES	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>	<u>\$1,365,000 to</u> <u>(\$1,365,000)</u>
---	---	---	---

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
	(10 Mo.)		

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses that qualify for these programs could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill modifies provisions relating to the Missouri Works Training Program and changes the name of the program to the Missouri One Start Program. The bill also renames the associated funds.

Currently, the program allows administrative expenses to 15% of total training costs. This bill limits such expenses to a reasonable amount determined by the Department of Economic Development. In creating rules and regulations governing the Missouri One Start Training

Program, this bill requires the department to consider such factors as the potential number of new jobs to be created, the amount of new capital investment in new facilities and equipment, the significance of state benefits to the qualified company's decision to locate or expand in Missouri, the economic need of the affected community, and the importance of the qualified company to the economic development of the state. This bill allows the department to require a qualified business to repay all benefits if such business fails to maintain the new or retained jobs within five years of approval of benefits or if such business leaves the state within five years of approval of benefits.

The bill allows the department to contract with other entities, including businesses, industries, other state agencies, and political subdivisions of the state for the purpose of implementing a training project under the program.

Upon appropriation of funds to the Missouri One Start Job Development Fund, this bill allows a local education agency to petition the department to utilize the Fund to create or improve training facilities, equipment, staff, expertise, programming, and administration. The department may award moneys from the Fund for reimbursement of training project costs and services as it deems necessary.

This bill gives the department the discretion to determine the appropriate amount of funds to allocate to a training project from the Missouri One Start Community College New Jobs and Retained Jobs Training funds.

Any agreement or obligation entered into by the department that was made under the provisions of the Missouri Works Training Program prior to the effective date of this bill shall remain in effect according to the provisions of such agreement or obligation.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Revenue
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Treasurer



Kyle Rieman
Director
April 30, 2019

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
April 30, 2019