# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0235-03

Bill No.: SCS for SB 140

Subject: Notary Public; Secretary of State

Type: Original Date: May 1, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies law relating to notaries public.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Legal Expense (0692)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140

Page 2 of 7 May 1, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140 Page 3 of 7 May 1, 2019

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - General Services** assume section 486.805 appears to create a new cause of action and damages. If a claim were successfully brought against a state agency or a state employee alleging a violation of this legislation, the Legal Expense Fund could be required to pay such claim or claims. However, the number of potential claims, the severity of those claims, and the ultimate costs associated with any settlement or judgment resulting from those claims cannot be forecasted with any degree of assurance to their accuracy.

The state self-assumes its own liability under the state Legal Expense Fund, Section 105.711, RSMo. It is a self-funding mechanism whereby funds are made available for the payment of any claim or judgment rendered against the state in regard to the waivers of sovereign immunity or against employees and specified and individuals. Investigation, defense, negotiation or settlement of such claims is provided by the Office of the Attorney General. Payment is made by the Commissioner of Administration with the approval of the Attorney General.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** will reflect the potential liability to the state as described by OA as \$0 (state not liable or no successful suits against the state) to an unknown loss to the State Legal Expense Fund.

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140 Page 4 of 7 May 1, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** state they cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with any of the proposed new crimes regarding Notaries - all new Misdemeanors. In FY 2019, SPD did not have any cases under RSMo 486. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

**Oversight** notes over the last three fiscal years, the SPD has lapsed a total of \$152 of General Revenue appropriations (\$0 out of \$36.4 million in FY 2016; \$2 out of \$28.0 million in FY 2017; and \$150 out of \$42.5 million in FY 2018). Therefore, **Oversight** assumes the SPD is at maximum capacity and the increase in workload resulting from this bill cannot be absorbed within SPD's current resources.

Adding one additional Assistant Public Defender 1 (APD) with a starting salary of \$47,000, will cost approximately \$74,500 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. One additional APD II (\$52,000 per year; eligible for consideration after 1 year of successful performance at APD I) will cost the state approximately \$81,000 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. When expense and equipment costs such as travel, training, furniture, equipment and supplies are included, Oversight assumes the cost for a new APD could approach \$100,000 per year.

**Oversight** assumes the SPD cannot absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal within their existing resources and, therefore, will reflect a potential additional cost of (Less than \$100,000) per year to the General Revenue Fund.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** (**JCAR**) stated this legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

**Oversight** assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140 Page 5 of 7 May 1, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. The enactment of new crimes creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may in turn result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Transportation, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Corrections, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, and Department of Public Safety-Missouri State Highway Patrol each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police** and **Missouri Department of Conservation** both assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from the St. Louis County Board of Elections, Jackson County Election Board, Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds, Buchanan County, City of Springfield, Springfield Police Department, St. Louis County Police Department, Boone County Sheriff's Department, and Jackson County Sheriff's Department each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, police and sheriff departments were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to <a href="https://www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov">www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov</a>.

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140

Page 6 of 7 May 1, 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020 (6 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Costs - Office of the State Public Defender (§578.700)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
LEGAL EXPENSE FUND			
<u>Costs</u> - Potential state liability for damages caused by notaries	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE LEGAL EXPENSE FUND	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2020 (6 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies provisions relating to legal documents.

The provisions of this act become effective January 1, 2020.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0235-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 140 Page 7 of 7 May 1, 2019

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of Administration-General Services

Office of the State Public Defender

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of Prosecution Services

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Public Safety-Missouri State Highway Patrol

Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police

Department of Transportation

Department of Corrections

Missouri Department of Conservation

Office of State Courts Administrator

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

St. Louis Board of Elections

Jackson County Election Board

Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds

**Buchanan County** 

City of Springfield

Springfield Police Department

St. Louis County Police Department

Boone County Sheriff's Department

Jackson County Sheriff's Office

City of Kansas City

The Rion

Kyle Rieman

Director

May 1, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 1, 2019