

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0850-03  
Bill No.: HCS for SB 302  
Subject: Economic Development; Political Subdivisions  
Type: Original  
Date: April 21, 2017

---

Bill Summary: This proposal allows a port authority to establish an advanced industrial manufacturing zone in an area within the port authority's ownership or control, and allows a port authority to expand or contract the area of an AIM zone by resolution.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §68.057 Port Authority

Officials at the **Office of the State Auditor** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation filed this year, HB 629, officials at the **Office of Administration's Division of Purchasing and Materials Management** and the **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation filed this year, HB 629, officials at **St. Louis County** and the **City of Kansas City** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at Cooper County, Jefferson County, Marion County, New Madrid County, Lincoln County, the City of St. Louis and City of St. Joseph did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

#### §68.075 AIM Zones

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development, Department of Revenue** and **Office of the State Treasurer** each assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to the previous version, officials at the **Missouri Department of Transportation** assumed there was no fiscal impact from this proposal.

**Oversight** notes the Advanced Industrial Manufacturing Zone Act (AIM) was enacted on August 28, 2016 (SB 861) and has no current participants. It established the Port Authority AIM Zone Fund that is to consist of 50% of the state withholding tax from new jobs within the zone after development or redevelopment plans. The money in the fund must be used for expenses to continue expanding, developing, and redeveloping zones identified by the port authority. Currently the Fund has not been set up, since there are no participants in the program.

**Oversight** notes this proposal defines “county average wage” and clarifies the port authority’s jurisdiction. Oversight notes this proposal states that if the county average wage is above the statewide average wage then the statewide average wage is the wage used for determining eligibility. This county average wage definition is used when determining if employees that work less than fifty percent of the time in the facility are considered employees of the facility.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** notes that this change could make it easier for new jobs to qualify for the fifty percent of state tax withholding being diverted from the state's General Revenue Fund (GR). However, since Oversight reflected a \$0 or (Unknown) loss of GR revenue in the fiscal note for SB 861 in 2016, and since there are currently no participants in the program, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact from the changes in this bill.

§135.963 Political Subdivisions

Officials at the **State Tax Commission** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development  
Department of Revenue  
City of Kansas City  
Kansas City Board of Election Commission  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Office of Administration  
    Division of Purchasing and Materials Management  
Office of the State Auditor  
Office of the State Treasurer  
State Tax Commission  
St. Louis County



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
April 21, 1017

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
April 21, 1017