

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4143-04  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SB 655  
Subject: Landlords and Tenants; Housing  
Type: Original  
Date: June 2, 2014

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to property.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §67.281 - Installation of Fire Sprinklers

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development** and the **Department of Public Safety's Division of Fire Safety** assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at **St. Louis County** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Columbia** assumed there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

#### §§441.005, 441.500, 441.760, 441.770, 569.130 - Who may lawfully occupy rental property

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume this proposal would not result in a fiscal impact in excess of \$100,000.

**Oversight** assumes that any costs from CTS relating to this proposal could be absorbed within their current appropriation level.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development's Missouri Housing Development Commission** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

#### §§512.180, 534.060, 534.350, 534.360, 534.380, 535.030, 535.110, 535.160, 535.170, 535.200, 535.210 - Removes the option for a trial de novo in landlord-tenant actions

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials at the **Office of the State Public Defender** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume this proposal would not result in a fiscal impact in excess of \$100,000.

**Oversight** assumes that any costs from CTS relating to this proposal could be absorbed within their current appropriation level.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the **Springfield Police Department** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Columbia Police Department**, the **Jefferson City Police Department**, **Cole County Sheriff's Department**, the **Office of Sheriff of Jackson County** and the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

§516.350 - Allows liens of judgments to be revived

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials at the **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Office of the State Public Defender** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume this proposal would not result in a fiscal impact in excess of \$100,000.

**Oversight** assumes that any costs from CTS relating to this proposal could be absorbed within their current appropriation level.

Bill as a Whole

Officials at the **City of Kansas City**, **Platte County**, the **Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds**, and the **St. Louis Board of Election Commission** each assume there is no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

There could be a potential positive benefit for small business landlords as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development  
Missouri Housing Development Commission  
Department of Public Safety  
Division of Fire Safety  
Office of Attorney General  
Office of Prosecution Services  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Office of the State Public Defender  
Boone County Sheriff Department  
City of Columbia  
City of Kansas City  
Columbia Police Department  
Jackson County Sheriff Department  
Jefferson City Police Department  
Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds  
Platte County  
Springfield Police Department  
St. Louis County  
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
June 2, 2014

Ross Strope  
Assistant Director  
June 2, 2014