

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0285-04  
Bill No.: SCS for SB 18  
Subject: Corporations; Taxation and Revenue - General  
Type: Original  
Date: February 4, 2011

Bill Summary: Would limit a corporation's franchise tax liability to the previous year's tax, or to the corporation's first year franchise tax in the case of a new corporation.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
General Revenue	\$0	(\$5,400,000)	(\$11,300,000)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$5,400,000)</b>	<b>(\$11,300,000)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

---

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **University of Missouri, Economic Policy Analysis and Research Center** (EPARC) assume this proposal would cap annual corporate franchise tax at the amount paid in the 2010 tax year for existing corporations, and cap franchise taxes for new corporations at the corporation's first year amount. EPARC officials estimated the fiscal impact of the proposal as follows.

Using the latest data available for Missouri corporations (2008), we estimate that in 2010 total franchise tax due would be \$76,703,327.94. If this proposal was enacted, we would not see an increase for 2011 in franchise tax due for existing corporations, and there would be no impact on Net General Revenue due to this proposal.

Regarding new corporations, the impact would depend on the number and the tax base associated with each new corporation. Both would involve forecasts. It should be noted that the forecast for the tax base of new corporations is shifted to zero. For new corporations in Missouri after 2010, there would be an incentive to legally report no tax base. Since their future tax liabilities are limited to the first year's franchise tax amount, entrants into the Missouri market could incorporate, report zero assets in the state for the first year, and pay no franchise tax for that first year of incorporation. In all years after the first tax reporting period, their tax liability would also be zero.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (DOR) assume this proposal would limit the amount of franchise tax owed by corporations and would result in a reduction in Total State Revenue.

- \* For years ending before December 31, 2010, the annual franchise tax would be one thirtieth of one percent of the corporation's outstanding shares and surplus if the outstanding shares and surplus exceed \$10.0 million. Beginning January 1, 2011, the franchise tax would be equal to one thirtieth of one percent of the corporation's outstanding shares and surplus, but a corporation's franchise tax liability could not exceed the liability of such corporation for the taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2010.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- \* If the corporation had no franchise tax liability for the taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2010 because such corporation was not in existence or doing business in Missouri, the franchise tax for the first taxable year in which such corporation exists would be equal to one thirtieth of one percent of the corporation's outstanding shares and surplus if the outstanding shares and surplus exceed ten million dollars, but in no case could the tax liability for any subsequent year exceed the amount of tax for the first full taxable year such corporation was in existence or doing business in Missouri.

DOR would need to make form changes, and DOR and ITSD-DOR would need to make programming changes to various tax systems.

DOR provided the following estimate of the fiscal impact to the General Revenue fund.

For the years 2002 through 2009, there was an average annual franchise tax increase of 6.23 percent. This increase was calculated on corporations that had in excess of \$10 million in assets and as if all franchise taxes were paid at a rate of one thirtieth of one percent. If future franchise tax due is limited to the tax owed in the corporation's calendar year 2010 franchise tax return, the estimated negative impact would be \$5.4 million in 2011, \$11.3 million in 2012, \$17.5 million in 2013, \$24 million in 2014, and \$31 million in 2015.

DOR officials also provided total franchise tax collections of \$87.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2010.

DOR officials assume that one FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) would be required for every 7,800 errors generated and one FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) would be required for every 2,600 pieces of correspondence generated.

In summary, DOR officials provided an estimate of the administrative cost to implement this proposal including two additional FTE and the related equipment and expense, of \$83,623 for FY 2012, \$81,203 for FY 2013, and \$82,061 for FY 2014.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** assumes that implementation of this proposal would be primarily limited to forms changes and IT processing edits, and that any additional personnel costs could be absorbed with existing resources. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented which increase the DOR workload, resources could be requested through the budget process.

DOR officials also provided an estimate of the IT cost to implement the proposal of \$40,068 based on 1,512 FTE hours of programming time.

**Oversight** assumes that ITSD-DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year, and assumes ITSD-DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, ITSD-DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

**Oversight** notes that franchise tax collections fluctuate from year to year, but we will use the DOR estimate for fiscal note purposes. In addition, we note that a corporation which had lost money would have a lower corporate franchise tax as a result, and that lower franchise tax total would then become their new base. Corporations will also dissolve or move from Missouri over time, and further reduce the franchise tax base. New corporations would likely have a minimal franchise tax liability as described by EPARC.

**Oversight** assumes that this proposal would have a fiscal impact on the General Revenue Fund beginning in FY 2012, since corporations with tax years beginning in January, 2011 would file tax returns in FY 2012 for those tax years.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<u>Revenue reduction - corporate franchise tax</u>	\$0	<u>(\$5,400,000)</u>	<u>(\$11,300,000)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>(\$5,400,000)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$11,300,000)</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal would have a direct fiscal impact to any small business which had a franchise tax liability.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would limit a corporation's franchise tax liability to the previous year's tax, or to the corporation's first year franchise tax in the case of a new corporation.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
University of Missouri  
Economic Policy Analysis and Research Center



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
February 4, 2011