

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3707-01
Bill No.: Perfected SB 1028
Subject: Administration, Office of
Type: Original
Date: February 10, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Various state funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Various federal funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Division of Fire Safety, Missouri Veterans Commission, Capitol Police, State Highway Patrol, State Emergency Management Agency, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Social Services, the Department of Economic Development, Public Service Commission, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Insurance, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Revenue, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Mental Health, and the Department of Higher Education** assume this proposal would have no financial impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume this proposal could result in some undetermined savings to the state and to the Conservation Commission Fund depending on how the reverse auctions are conducted.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing and Materials Management**, (DPMM) assume this proposal would authorize DPMM to utilize a reverse auction procurement process when it is in the best interest of the state. DPMM assumes it would help to expedite the bid award process and provide an opportunity to obtain costs savings on behalf of the state. DPMM assumes that additional software and staff training would be necessary to operate the reverse auction system. However, DPMM does not view this as a significant effort. Long -range implications for the reverse auction process would include cost savings and expediting the bid award process.

The change in the competitive bid threshold will not have a direct impact on DPMM since the authority to procure items less than twenty-five thousand dollars has been delegated to the state agencies.

Long-range implications for the change in the competitive bid threshold is an expedited small purchases process for state agencies since they will not have to solicit bids for purchases under \$ 5 thousand.

This legislation would have a fiscal impact to the DPMM. The usual cost methodology of reverse auction can be calculated in two ways. The first method is a flat fee based on the purchase order amount. The second method is to apply a predetermined percentage charge based on the purchase order amount. Neither option would be used unless there is a significant cost savings to the agency.

Oversight assumes that the proposal could result in long-term savings to the state through expedited purchasing procedures and use of a reverse auction process where it results in lower net cost to the state. Oversight assumes that DPMM would use this authority when it would be advantageous to the state, and that significant savings might be achieved over the long term. Oversight also assumes there would be some transaction cost involved in the reverse auction process. Oversight has shown unknown savings net of transaction costs beginning in FY 2006 in this fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal in the prior session, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume this bill would require the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management to promulgate rules to enact this legislation. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations, and forms issued by the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management could require as many as 8 pages in the Code of State Regulations and half again as many pages in the Missouri Register because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the Register is \$23 and the estimated cost of a page in the Code is \$27. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given.

L.R. No. 3707-01
Bill No. Perfected SB 1028
Page 4 of 9
February 10, 2004

The impact of the legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn. $((8 \times \$27) + (12 \times \$23) = \$492)$

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections** did not respond to our request for information on this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Revenue - DPMM			
Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost Reduction</u> - DPMM			
Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost Reduction</u> - state agencies			
Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost reduction</u> - state agencies			
Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost</u> - agencies			
Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
---	---------------------	---------	---------

OTHER STATE FUNDS

Revenue - DPMM

Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
-------------------------------------	-----	---------	---------

Cost Reduction - DPMM

Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
---------------------	-----	---------	---------

Cost Reduction - state agencies

Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
---------------------	-----	---------	---------

Cost reduction - state agencies

Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
----------------------------	-----	---------	---------

Cost - agencies

Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
-------------------------	------------	------------------	------------------

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON

OTHER STATE FUNDS

<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
-------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
FEDERAL FUNDS			
Revenue - DPMM			
Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost Reduction</u> - DPMM			
Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost Reduction</u> - state agencies			
Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost reduction</u> - state agencies			
Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost</u> - agencies			
Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could affect small businesses by expanding their bidding opportunities and by encouraging more and wider competition for state purchases.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would modify state procurement laws. Upon passage of the proposal, the commissioner of administration could authorize the use of the reverse auction procurement method to procure goods or nonprofessional services if the commissioner believes that practice would result in savings to the state. The office of administration would promulgate rules regarding the handling of the reverse auction process. The proposal would also eliminate certain restrictions on recycled content and bidding practices.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Department of Conservation
Department of Economic Development
 Public Service Commission
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Higher Education
Department of Insurance
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Mental Health
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Public Safety
 Office of the Director
 Division of Fire Safety
 State Highway Patrol
 Missouri Veterans Commission
 Capitol Police
 State Emergency Management Agency
State Highway Patrol
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services

L.R. No. 3707-01
Bill No. Perfected SB 1028
Page 9 of 9
February 10, 2004

NOT RESPONDING

Department of Corrections

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized 'M' and 'W'.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 10, 2004