COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3707-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 1028

Subject: Administration, Office of

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 26, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Various state funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 2 of 9 January 26, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FY 2006				
Unknown				
Unknown				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Division of Fire Safety, Missouri Veterans Commission, Capitol Police, State Highway Patrol, State Emergency Management Agency, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Social Services, the Department of Economic Development, Public Service Commission, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Revenue, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Mental Health, and the Department of Higher Education assume this proposal would have no financial impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume this proposal could result in some undetermined savings to the state and to the Conservation Commission Fund depending on how the reverse auctions are conducted.

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 3 of 9 January 26, 2004

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing and Materials Management**, (DPMM) assume this proposal would authorize DPMM to utilize a reverse auction procurement process when it is in the best interest of the state. DPMM assumes it would help to expedite the bid award process and provide an opportunity to obtain costs savings on behalf of the state. DPMM assumes that additional software and staff training would be necessary to operate the reverse auction system. However, DPMM does not view this as a significant effort. Long -range implications for the reverse auction process would include cost savings and expediting the bid award process.

The change in the competitive bid threshold will not have a direct impact on DPMM since the authority to procure items less than twenty-five thousand dollars has been delegated to the state agencies.

Long-range implications for the change in the competitive bid threshold is an expedited small purchases process for state agencies since they will not have to solicit bids for purchases under \$ 5 thousand.

This legislation would have a fiscal impact to the DPMM. The usual cost methodology of reverse auction can be calculated in two ways. The first method is a flat fee based on the purchase order amount. The second method is to apply a predetermined percentage charge based on the purchase order amount. Neither option would be used unless there is a significant cost savings to the agency.

Oversight assumes that the proposal could result in long-term savings to the state through expedited purchasing procedures and use of a reverse auction process where it results in lower net cost to the state. Oversight assumes that DPMM would use this authority when it would be advantageous to the state, and that significant savings might be achieved over the long term. Oversight also assumes there would be some transaction cost involved in the reverse auction process. Oversight has shown unknown savings net of transaction costs beginning in FY 2006 in this fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal in the prior session, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume this bill would require the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management to promulgate rules to enact this legislation. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations, and forms issued by the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management could require as many as 8 pages in the Code of State Regulations and half again as many pages in the Missouri Register because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the Register is \$23 and the estimated cost of a page in the Code is \$27. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given.

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 4 of 9 January 26, 2004

The impact of the legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn. ((8x\$27)+(12x\$23)=\$492)

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 5 of 9 January 26, 2004

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** and the **Department of Corrections** did not respond to our request for information on this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
Revenue - DPMM Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost Reduction - DPMM Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost Reduction - state agencies Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost reduction - state agencies Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost - agencies Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 6 of 9 January 26, 2004

OTHER STATE FUNDS

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Cost - agencies Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Cost reduction - state agencies Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost Reduction</u> - state agencies Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost Reduction - DPMM Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Revenue - DPMM Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 7 of 9 January 26, 2004

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
FEDERAL FUNDS	,		
Revenue - DPMM Reverse auction charges to agencies	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost Reduction - DPMM Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
Cost Reduction - state agencies Administrative cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost reduction</u> - state agencies Service and Commodity cost	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost</u> - agencies Reverse auction charges	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could affect small businesses by expanding their bidding opportunities and by encouraging more and wider competition for state purchases.

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 8 of 9 January 26, 2004

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would modify state procurement laws. Upon passage of the proposal, the commissioner of administration could authorize the use of the reverse auction procurement method to procure goods or nonprofessional services if the commissioner believes that practice would result in savings to the state. The office of administration would promulgate rules regarding the handling of the reverse auction process. The proposal would also eliminate certain restrictions on recycled content and bidding practices.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture

Department of Conservation

Department of Economic Development

Public Service Commission

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Higher Education

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Public Safety

Office of the Director

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri Veterans Commission

Capitol Police

State Emergency Management Agency

State Highway Patrol

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Services

L.R. No. 3707-01 Bill No. SB 1028 Page 9 of 9 January 26, 2004

NOT RESPONDING

Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Corrections

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

January 26, 2004