# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3290-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 922

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elementary and Secondary Education

Dept; Boards

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 8, 2004

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3290-01 Bill No. SB 922 Page 2 of 4 March 8, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State - Elections Division** stated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** indicated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency. Election costs to local governments would depend upon the number of petitions file and the resulting number of elections held.

Officials from the **Jefferson County Commission** and the **Platte County Board of Elections** indicated there would be no known fiscal impact resulting from this proposal.

Officials from the **Saint Louis Public Schools** indicated there would be fiscal impact to their district.

Officials from **LaClede County** stated there would be costs involved in verifying petitions and would depend on the number of signatures to verify. The cost to schools for the election would vary depending on the date of the election and other entities who call an election at the same time.

Officials from the **St Louis County Directors of Elections** stated the cost would be indeterminate, but acceptable. Costs would be in the form of man-hours, which would vary from petition to petition, necessary to verify petition signatures.

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3290-01 Bill No. SB 922 Page 3 of 4 March 8, 2004

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Kansas City Election Board** stated that they are unclear as to which entity has the authority to verify petitions. Costs for an election are as much as \$1,500 per polling place.

Oversight assumes the costs of verifying petitions can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Kansas City Missouri School District** stated the cost of a scheduled school board election to their district is about \$95,000.

**Oversight** assumes that a recall election would be held at the same time as regular school elections; therefore, there should be no additional costs for a recall election.

Official from **Cole County** and the **St Louis City Board of Elections** did not respond to a request for fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal formulates a new procedure of recalling school board members in addition to the methods for recall outlined in the academically deficient school statute. Upon a petition signed by at least 25% of the number voting in the last school board election, a recall election will be held. The proposal specifies the requirements for the filing, format, and verification of the petition.

L.R. No. 3290-01 Bill No. SB 922 Page 4 of 4 March 8, 2004

### **DESCRIPTION** (continued)

If a majority votes to retain the recalled member, the member remains in office and cannot be subject to another recall, except as provided in the academically deficient school statute. If the member is recalled, a successor will be chosen in the same manner as vacancies are filled for any 7-member district.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Office of Secretary of State
Elections Division
St Louis County Board of Election Commissioners
Platte County Board of Elections
Kansas City Board of Elections
Jefferson County Commission
Laclede County Clerk
St Louis Public Schools
Kansas City Missouri School District

#### **NOT RESPONDING**

**Cole County Clerk St Louis City Board of Elections** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 8, 2004