COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:3159-01Bill No.:SB 772Subject:Railroads; Motor VehiclesType:OriginalDate:January 12, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 4 pages. L.R. No. 3159-01 Bill No. SB 772 Page 2 of 4 January 12, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and the **Department of Public Safety** - **Missouri State Highway Patrol** stated there would be no fiscal impact to their agencies as a result of this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** stated there would be no costs to prosecutors from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** indicated there would be no fiscal impact on public defenders.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** stated there would be no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Secretary of State's Office (SOS)** assumed the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Department of Public Safety could require as many as 8 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the *Missouri Register* as in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in the Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$27. The actual costs could be

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3159-01 Bill No. SB 772 Page 3 of 4 January 12, 2004

ASSUMPTION (continued)

more or less the SOS's estimated cost of \$492 for FY 2005. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules, filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal allows commercial transport vehicles or railroad passenger cars that are stopped to load or unload passengers to use alternately flashing warning signals.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3159-01 Bill No. SB 772 Page 4 of 4 January 12, 2004

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation Department of Public Safety Missouri State Highway Patrol Office of Secretary of State Office of Prosecution Services Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director January 12, 2004

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)