

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 2958-01  
Bill No.: SB 884  
Subject: Courts  
Type: Original  
Date: January 27, 2004

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **State Courts Administrator, Attorney General, House of Representatives** and **Senate** stated that the proposal would not affect their agencies, administratively.

Officials of the **Division of Legislative Research** indicated that there would be a few additional pages in the supplement to the Revised Statutes but would not expect any material fiscal impact.

<u><b>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</b></u>	<b>FY 2004 (10 Mo.)</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>

<u><b>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</b></u>	<b>FY 2004 (10 Mo.)</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


DESCRIPTION

This proposal would specify how the Revisor of Statutes would note, in the revised statutes of Missouri, that a statute or part of a statute had been declared unconstitutional by the Missouri supreme court or a federal court with competent jurisdiction.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General  
House of Representatives  
Legislative Research Division  
Senate  
State Courts Administrator



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
January 27, 2004