COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:2824-01Bill No.:SJR 24Subject:Agriculture and Animals; Conservation DepartmentType:OriginalDate:January 2, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
General Revenue	(\$34,850)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(\$34,850)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2824-01 Bill No. SJR 24 Page 2 of 4 January 2, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume that statewide newspaper publication of state statutes cost approximately \$1,161 per column inch based on an estimate provided by the Missouri Press Service. Since three printings are required by the constitution and state statute, the cost is $$1161 \times 3 = $3,485$ per column inch. The estimated total number of inches for this amendment is 10 inches including title header and certification paragraph, therefore the total estimated publication cost is \$3,485 \times 10 inches = \$34,850. These costs are based on 2003 figures and are subject to change.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Accounting** and **Division of Budget and Planning**, and the **Office of the Attorney General** assume this proposal would have no impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** assume this proposal would have an unknown impact on their organization.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2824-01 Bill No. SJR 24 Page 3 of 4 January 2, 2004

Oversight assumes that any potential impact to the Department of Conservation would be the subject of additional legislation or the state rule publication process and has not assigned any direct fiscal impact to this proposal. Oversight assumes the referendum called for in this proposal would be held in conjunction with the November 2004 general election.

FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
<u>(\$34,850)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>(\$34,850)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
(10 Mo.)		<u>\$0</u>
	(10 Mo.) (<u>\$34,850)</u> (<u>\$34,850)</u> FY 2005	(10 Mo.) (\$34,850) \$0 (\$34,850) \$0 (\$34,850) \$0 FY 2005 FY 2006 (10 Mo.) FY 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would submit to the voters a constitutional amendment which would ensure the opportunity of individual citizens to harvest wild fish and game animals.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2824-01 Bill No. SJR 24 Page 4 of 4 January 2, 2004

Office of the Attorney General Office of Administration Division of Accounting Division of Budget and Planning Department of Conservation

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director January 2, 2004

SS:LR:OD (12/02)