# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION 

FISCAL NOTE
L.R. No.: 2680-01

Bill No.: $\quad$ SB 880
Subject: Credit and Bankruptcy; Banks and Financial Institutions; Consumer Protection
Type: Original
Date: $\quad$ January 7, 2004

## FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on <br> General Revenue <br> Fund |  |  |  |  |


| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on All <br> State Funds |  |  |  |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on All <br> Federal Funds |  |  |  |


| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
| Local Government | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ |

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Department of Economic Development - Division of Finance (DED-FIN) stated this proposal imposes no new duties, therefore there is no fiscal impact to DED-FIN.

Officials from the Department of Economic Development - Division of Credit Unions indicated their agency may be involved in enforcement of the proposal, but there would be no fiscal impact to their agency.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government

| FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(10$ Mo. $)$ |  |  |

## $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$

$\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government
FY 2005
$(10 \mathrm{Mo}$ )
$\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$
$\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$
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## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies the maximum penalties a consumer must pay for late payments on certain credit transactions. A lender may charge a late payment of $2 \%$ of the minimum payment due or $\$ 15$, whichever is greater, on a small loan payment which is fifteen days or more in default. A minimum charge of $\$ 5$ is allowed. Charges assessed by an institution for processing a refused instrument plus a handling fee shall not exceed $\$ 5$ (reduced from $\$ 25$ ).

This proposal also modifies the late charge on retail credit sales. The maximum late charge that can be charged is $\$ 5$ (reduced from $\$ 10$ ) or $\$ 2$ (reduced from $\$ 5$ ) when the monthly installment due is less than $\$ 25$. In addition, the charges assessed by any institution for processing a refused instrument plus a handling fee may not exceed $\$ 5$ (reduced from $\$ 15$ ).

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.
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