

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1873-02  
Bill No.: SB 566  
Subject: Agriculture and Animals  
Type: Original  
Date: March 10, 2003

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
General Revenue	(\$978,599)	(\$1,139,009)	(\$1,169,554)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>(\$978,599)</b>	<b>(\$1,139,009)</b>	<b>(\$1,169,554)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>	<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>FY 2006</b>
Conservation Commission Fund	\$0	\$130,000	\$130,000
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$130,000</b>	<b>\$130,000</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

---

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

##### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue and Office of Secretary of State** assume no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume this proposal will reduce MDC's revenue from big game hunting preserves and breeder permits by approximately \$20,000 annually. Also, approximately \$150,000 is currently spent on staff time and administrative activities associated with hunting preserves and breeder operations.

This proposal may also impinge on the authority of the Conservation Commission to manage the fish, forest and wildlife resources of the state of Missouri.

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture (AGR)** assume this proposal will require the Department of Agriculture to take over the cervid surveillance program which currently resides with the Department of Conservation. If cervids are included in the definition of livestock, any member of the cervid family raised in captivity would fall within the jurisdiction of MDA, including all captive whitetail deer. The deer herds would be required to participate in a Chronic Wasting Disease program which will increase the responsibility of the Department of Agriculture

to ensure the health and well-being of these animals. This proposal will require cervid producers

ASSUMPTION (continued)

to comply with all meat inspection and surveillance requirements in order to engage in interstate commerce. To ensure that these animals meet the requirements of the surveillance program, additional staff would be required. The Department of Conservation has staff in almost every county of the state who monitor and maintain the cervid surveillance program. Inspectors will be required to make onsite visits to verify data and perform physical examinations of the animals including the retrieval of brain stem tissue for CWD testing. Inspectors will need to be trained to extract brain stem tissue for CWD testing.

**Oversight** has reduced the estimated FY 2004, 2005 and 2006 equipment expenditure by \$1,650, \$1,700 and \$1,750 respectively since system furniture would incorporate a cabinet. Oversight assumes the Department could absorb the office space cost and any other expense and equipment cost from existing resources.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
<b>GENERAL REVENUE</b>			
<u>Cost</u> - Department of Agriculture			
Personal Service (15 FTE)	(\$433,370)	(\$533,045)	(\$543,371)
Fringe Benefits	(\$156,057)	(\$191,950)	(\$196,748)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$389,532)</u>	<u>(\$414,014)</u>	<u>(\$426,435)</u>
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED COST TO GENERAL REVENUE</b>	<b><u>(\$978,959)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$1,139,009)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$1,169,557)</u></b>

**MISSOURI CONSERVATION  
COMMISSION FUND**

<u>Loss</u> - Department of Conservation			
Big Game Hunting Preserves & Breeder Permits	\$0	(\$20,000)	(\$20,000)
<u>Savings</u> - Department of Conservation			
Big Game Hunting Preserves & Breeder Operations	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>

**TOTAL ESTIMATED SAVINGS  
MISSOURI CONSERVATION  
COMMISSION FUND**

<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$130,000</b></u>	<u><b>\$130,000</b></u>
-------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government

FY 2004  
(10 Mo.)

FY 2005

FY 2006

<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal will require cervid producers to comply with all meat inspection surveillance requirements in order to engage in interstate commerce. These requirements may have some fiscal impact on cervid producers.

DESCRIPTION

This act removes the term "elk" from the definition of livestock and adds the term "cervidae".

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture  
Office of Secretary of State  
Department of Revenue  
Department of Conservation

L.R. No. 1873-02  
Bill No. SB 566  
Page 5 of 5  
March 10, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
March 10, 2003