COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0983-04

Bill No.: Perfected SS for SB 242 Subject: Courts; Surety Bonds

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 29, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0983-04

Bill No. Perfected SS for SB 242

Page 2 of 4 April 29, 2003

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of Attorney General, Office of Administration – Administrative Hearing Commission, – Division of Accounting, Department of Economic Development, Department of Revenue, and the State Treasurer's Office assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006

L.R. No. 0983-04 Bill No. Perfected SS for SB 242 Page 3 of 4 April 29, 2003

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 **\$0 \$0 \$0**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposed legislation could have a fiscal impact on small businesses.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would limit the total appeal bond or equivalent surety of all appellants to fifty million dollars, regardless of the value of the judgment in civil litigation as to any claim relating to tobacco products involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory, or an affiliate of a signatory to the master settlement agreement, in order to secure and protect the monies to be received as a result of the master settlement agreement.

This proposal contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General Office of Administration

- Administrative Hearing Commission
- Division of Accounting

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Economic Development

Department of Revenue

State Treasurer's Office

Mickey Wilen

L.R. No. 0983-04 Bill No. Perfected SS for SB 242 Page 4 of 4 April 29, 2003

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director April 29, 2003