COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0161-03 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 107

Subject: Children and Minors; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Public

Safety.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 14, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | |
| General Revenue | (\$91,612) | (\$59,055) | (\$60,553) | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | (\$91,612) | (\$59,055) | (\$60,553) | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | |
| None | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 0161-03 Bill No. SB 107 Page 2 of 6 January 14, 2003

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | |
| None | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 |
| Local Government | (Unknown) | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of Administration - Office of Information Technology and the Office of Attorney General each assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** state this proposal establishes both local and statewide abduction-alert programs. MoDOT states it is not designated as a state-emergency-alert-system broadcaster, however, MoDOT can voluntarily utilize its message devices (Changeable Message Signs and Dynamic Message Signs) for displaying abduction-alert messages. MoDOT assumes that it will not purchase new devices for the primary purpose of displaying such messages, and therefore, assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** assume there would be costs due to additional publishing duties related to the Department of Public Safety's authority to promulgate rules, regulations, and forms. SOS estimates the division could require as many as 10 new pages of regulations in the Code of State Regulations at a cost of \$27.00 per page, and 15 new pages in the Missouri Register at a cost of \$23.00 per page. Costs due to this proposal are estimated to be

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0161-03 Bill No. SB 107 Page 3 of 6 January 14, 2003

\$615,

ASSUMPTION (continued)

however, the actual fiscal impact would be dependent upon the actual rule-making authority and may be more or less. Financial impact in subsequent fiscal years would depend entirely on the number, length, and frequency of the rules filed, amended, rescinded, or withdrawn. SOS does not anticipate the need for additional staff as a result of this proposal, however, the enactment of more than one similar proposal may, in the aggregate, necessitate additional staff.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** state their Division of Drug and Crime Control (DDCC) would require 1 FTE as a result of this legislation. Duties would include serving as coordinator for the AMBER alert program, running the program for small agencies, verifying the accuracy of data provided, maintaining the rules, creating, maintaining and purging files and maintaining statistics on the program.

In addition, it would be necessary for the current staff to develop an initial set of rules. Since this is one-time only, the MHP's DDCC assumes it could be handled through overtime, rather than with an additional or part-time FTE. Therefore, an overtime cost of approximately \$2,080 ($$13.00 \times 160 \text{ hrs}$) would be incurred.

The MHP assumes the cost to implement this proposal would be \$91,612 in the first year (which includes the purchase of car and other necessary equipment for the new FTE), and then roughly \$60,000 per year thereafter.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** state that their department would be responsible to coordinate with local law enforcement agencies and public commercial television and radio broadcasters. Currently, the Highway Patrol coordinates the Missouri ALERT system, therefore this proposal would not fiscally impact the Director's Office.

Officials from the **Taney County Sheriff's Office** states there would be costs to the local governments by requiring all currently existing alert programs operating as of August 28, 2003 to become compliant with the Department of Public Safety's system by January 1, 2004. The cost estimate is unknown and depends upon the requirements that DPS will have for the program.

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0161-03 Bill No. SB 107 Page 4 of 6 January 14, 2003

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Springfield Police Department** and the **Columbia Police Department** each state this proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the Kansas City Police Department, Monroe County Sheriff, and the Buchanan County Sheriff did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes the proposal would result in some cost to local political subdivisions if they must make changes to their alert systems to come into compliance with the new Amber Alert Program established in this proposal. These alert systems must become compliant by January 1, 2004, therefore, Oversight has shown an unknown cost to local political subdivisions in FY 2004.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2004 (10 Mo.) | FY 2005 | FY 2006 |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
| <u>Costs</u> - Missouri Highway Patrol | | | |
| Personal Service (1 FTE) | (\$27,275) | (\$33,549) | (\$34,387) |
| Fringe Benefits | (\$17,251) | (\$21,220) | (\$21,750) |
| Equipment and Expenses | <u>(\$47,086)</u> | <u>(\$4,286)</u> | <u>(\$4,416)</u> |
| <u>Total Costs</u> - Missouri Highway Patrol | (\$91,612) | (\$59,055) | (\$60,553) |
| ECTIMATED NET EFFECT ON | | | |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | (\$01 (12) | (\$50.055) | (\$60 552) |
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND | <u>(\$91,612)</u> | <u>(\$59,055)</u> | <u>(\$60,553)</u> |
| | | | |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 |
| POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | (10 Mo.) | | |
| Costs - to make current alert systems compliant with new Amber Alert Program | (Unknown) | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT FOR LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | (Unknown) | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0161-03 Bill No. SB 107 Page 5 of 6 January 14, 2003

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal creates a statewide AMBER Alert Program. The Department of Public Safety (DPS) shall work in coordination with federal, state, local and media entities to develop a program to locate abducted persons.

A statewide "AMBER Alert Board" is created to aid in the implementation and operation of the Amber Alert Program. The Board shall consist of the coordinator of the Amber Alert Program, the Attorney

General and representatives from each of the Amber Alert regions.

The state will be divided into regions and each region is a coordinated effort between federal, state and local law enforcement agencies and local media within the region. In the event that there is credible evidence that an abduction suspect has traveled or is traveling out of one AMBER jurisdiction, DPS will coordinate the activation of other AMBER Alerts in the vicinity where the abductor is thought to be heading.

If a location currently has an existing AMBER program operating as of the effective date of this proposal, it will be required to be compliant with the statewide program by January 1, 2004. The Department of Public Safety is authorized to make rules for the operation of the Amber Alert system.

An AMBER Alert Coordinator will be designated within the Department of Public Safety to coordinate the efforts among participating entities.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal may duplicate other programs that are currently in place. Alert Missouri, similar to the AMBER Alert program, is currently administered by the Department of Public Safety and was issued by Executive Order 02-17.

L.R. No. 0161-03 Bill No. SB 107 Page 6 of 6 January 14, 2003

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Missouri Highway Patrol
Director's Office
Office of Administration
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the Secretary of State
Missouri Department of Transportation
Columbia Police Department
Springfield Police Department
Taney County Sheriff

NOT RESPONDING: Kansas City Police Department, Monroe County Sheriff,

Buchanan County Sheriff

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

January 14, 2003