SECOND REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL NO. 918

103RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR BURGER.

3835S.01I

KRISTINA MARTIN, Secretary

ANACT

To repeal section 490.065, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to expert witnesses.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 490.065, RSMo, is repealed and one new

- 2 section enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as section 490.065,
- 3 to read as follows:
 - 490.065. 1. In actions brought under chapter 451,
- 2 452, 453, 454, or 455 or in actions adjudicated in juvenile
- 3 courts under chapter 211 or in family courts under chapter
- 4 487, or in all proceedings before the probate division of
- 5 the circuit court, or in all actions or proceedings in which
- 6 there is no right to a jury trial:
- 7 (1) If scientific, technical or other specialized
- 8 knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the
- 9 evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness
- 10 qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience,
- 11 training, or education may testify thereto in the form of an
- 12 opinion or otherwise;
- 13 (2) Testimony by such an expert witness in the form of
- 14 an opinion or inference otherwise admissible is not
- 15 objectionable because it embraces an ultimate issue to be
- 16 decided by the trier of fact;

EXPLANATION-Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in this bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

SB 918 2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

37

38

39

40

- 17 (3) The facts or data in a particular case upon which
 18 an expert bases an opinion or inference may be those
 19 perceived by or made known to him at or before the hearing
 20 and must be of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in
 21 the field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject
 22 and must be otherwise reasonably reliable;
 - (4) If a reasonable foundation is laid, an expert may testify in terms of opinion or inference and give the reasons therefor without the use of hypothetical questions, unless the court believes the use of a hypothetical question will make the expert's opinion more understandable or of greater assistance to the jury due to the particular facts of the case.
- 2. In all actions except those to which subsection 1of this section applies:
- 32 (1) A witness who is qualified as an expert by
 33 knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may
 34 testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if the
 35 proponent demonstrates to the court that it is more likely
 36 than not that:
 - (a) The expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue;
 - (b) The testimony is based on sufficient facts or data;
- 41 (c) The testimony is the product of reliable 42 principles and methods; and
- 43 (d) The [expert has reliably applied] expert's opinion 44 reflects a reliable application of the principles and 45 methods to the facts of the case;
- 46 (2) An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in 47 the case that the expert has been made aware of or 48 personally observed. If experts in the particular field

SB 918 3

49 would reasonably rely on those kinds of facts or data in

- 50 forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be
- 51 admissible for the opinion to be admitted. But if the facts
- 52 or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of
- 53 the opinion may disclose them to the jury only if their
- 54 probative value in helping the jury evaluate the opinion
- 55 substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect;
- (3) (a) An opinion is not objectionable just because
- 57 it embraces an ultimate issue.
- (b) In a criminal case, an expert witness shall not
- 59 state an opinion about whether the defendant did or did not
- 60 have a mental state or condition that constitutes an element
- of the crime charged or of a defense. Those matters are for
- 62 the trier of fact alone;
- 63 (4) Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may
- 64 state an opinion and give the reasons for it without first
- 65 testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert
- 66 may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-
- 67 examination.
- 3. The provisions of this section shall not prevent a
- 69 person, partnership, association, or corporation, as owner,
- 70 from testifying as to the reasonable market value of the
- 71 owner's land.

./