SECOND REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL NO. 1507

102ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR WASHINGTON.

KRISTINA MARTIN, Secretary

AN ACT

To amend chapter 67, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to digital assets.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows: Section A. Chapter 67, RSMo, is amended by adding thereto 2 one new section, to be known as section 67.2060, to read as follows: 3 67.2060. 1. This section shall be known and may be 2 cited as the "Blockchain Basics Act". As used in this section, the following terms mean: 3 2. 4 (1) "Blockchain", data that is: 5 Shared across a network to create a ledger of (a) 6 verified transactions or information among network 7 participants linked using cryptography to maintain the 8 integrity of the ledger and to execute other functions; and 9 Distributed among network participants in an (b) 10 automated fashion to concurrently update network 11 participants on the state of the ledger and any other 12 functions; "Blockchain protocol", any executable software (2) 13 14 deployed to a blockchain composed of source code that is publicly available and accessible including, but not limited 15 to, a smart contract or any network of smart contracts; 16 17 (3) "Consumer Price Index", the Consumer Price Index 18 for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), 1982-1984 = 100, not seasonally adjusted, as defined and officially recorded by 19

5991S.01I

20 the United States Department of Labor, or its successor 21 agency, from January first of the current year compared to 22 January first of the preceding year;

(4) "Digital asset", virtual currency,
cryptocurrencies, natively electronic assets including, but
not limited to, stablecoins and nonfungible tokens, and
other digital-only assets that confer economic, proprietary,
or access rights or powers;

(5) "Digital asset mining", using electricity to power
 a computer or node for the purpose of securing a blockchain
 network;

(6) "Digital asset mining business", a group of
 computers working that consumes more than one megawatt of
 electricity for the purpose of securing a blockchain
 protocol;

(7) "Discriminatory rates", the charging of rates for
 electricity that are substantially different from the rates
 charged for other industrial uses of electricity in similar
 geographic areas;

39 (8) "Hardware wallet", a physical device that is not 40 continuously connected to the internet and that allows an 41 individual to secure and transfer digital assets and under 42 which the owner of the digital assets retains independent 43 control over the digital assets;

(9) "Home digital asset mining", digital asset mining
 in an area zoned for residential use;

(10) "Node", a computational device that communicates
with other devices or participants, or both, on a blockchain
to maintain consensus and integrity of that blockchain,
creates and validates transaction blocks, and also contains
and updates a copy of a blockchain but does not exercise

51 discretion over transactions initiated by the end users of 52 the blockchain protocol;

(11) "Self-hosted wallet", a digital interface used to
secure and transfer digital assets under which the owner of
the digital asset retains independent control over the
digital assets that are secured by such digital interface;

57 (12) "Staking", the act of committing digital assets
58 for a period of time to validate and secure a specific
59 blockchain protocol;

(13) "Staking as a service", the provision of
technical staking services, including, but not limited to,
the operation of nodes and the associated infrastructure,
that are necessary to facilitate participation in blockchain
protocols' consensus mechanisms.

3. The state shall not prohibit, restrict, or
otherwise impair the ability of an individual to:

67 (1) Use digital assets to purchase legal goods or
68 services; or

69 (2) Self-custody digital assets using a self-hosted70 wallet or a hardware wallet.

4. Digital assets used as a method of payment shall
not be subject to any additional tax, withholding,
assessment, or charge by the state or a political
subdivision that is based solely on the use of the digital
asset as the method of payment.

Digital assets used as a method of payment shall 76 5. not be subject to capital gains tax subject to a two-hundred-77 dollar limit per transaction. The limitation amount shall 78 be adjusted for inflation on January first annually based on 79 the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index. 80 This 81 subsection shall not be construed to prohibit the state or a political subdivision from imposing or collecting a tax, 82

withholding, assessment, or charge that would otherwise be
offered if the transaction had taken place with United
States legal tender.

6. (1) Neither the state nor a political subdivision
thereof shall prohibit an individual from participating in
home digital asset mining as long as such individual
complies with all local noise ordinances.

90 (2) No political subdivision shall place any specific
91 limit on sound decibels generated from home digital asset
92 mining that is more restrictive than other limits set for
93 sound pollution enforced by the political subdivision.

94 7. (1) Neither the state nor a political subdivision
95 thereof shall prohibit a digital asset mining business from
96 operating in any area zoned for industrial use.

97 (2) No political subdivision shall place any specific 98 limit on sound decibels generated from a digital asset 99 mining business that is more restrictive than other general 100 limits set for sound pollution in areas zoned for industrial A digital asset mining business shall comply with 101 use. 102 general limits for sound pollution set by the political 103 subdivision.

8. No political subdivision shall impose any
requirement on a digital asset mining business that is not
also a requirement for data centers in its jurisdiction.

9. 107 No political subdivision shall change the zoning of a digital asset mining business without satisfying proper 108 109 notice and comment requirements. A digital asset mining 110 business shall be able to appeal a change in zoning to a court with proper jurisdiction. A judge shall find a 111 112 violation of this section and nullify such a change in 113 zoning if the judge determines the change was made to discriminate against a digital asset mining business. 114

10. The public service commission may approve rates
reflective of cost to serve but shall not approve a rate
schedule for digital asset mining that creates
discriminatory rates for digital asset mining businesses.

119 11. Anyone engaged in home digital asset mining or a 120 digital asset mining business, staking business, staking as 121 a service, or exchanging digital assets for another digital 122 asset on a blockchain protocol business shall not be 123 considered a money transmitter under sections 361.700 to 124 361.727.

125

12. The state shall not prohibit an individual from:

(1) Operating a node for the purpose of connecting to
a blockchain protocol or a protocol built on top of a
blockchain protocol and transferring digital assets on a
blockchain protocol; or

130

(2) Participating in staking on a blockchain protocol.

131 13. An individual or business operating a node or a
132 series of nodes on a blockchain protocol shall not be
133 required to obtain a money transmitter license under
134 sections 361.700 to 361.727 to engage in such activity.

135 14. No business offering to provide digital asset
136 mining or staking as a service for individuals or to other
137 businesses shall be considered as an offering of a security
138 or investment contract under state law.

139 15. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the
140 contrary, no individual or entity shall face liability
141 related to a specific transaction merely by validating such
142 transaction if such individual or entity:

143

Engages in digital asset mining;

144 (2) Operates a node or series of nodes on a blockchain
 145 network; or

146 (3) Provides digital asset mining or staking as a
147 service for individuals or other businesses.