SECOND REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL NO. 1253

102ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR THOMPSON REHDER.

KRISTINA MARTIN, Secretary

AN ACT

To repeal section 34.042, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to competitive bidding.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 34.042, RSMo, is repealed and one new section enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as section 34.042, to read as follows:

34.042. 1. When the commissioner of administration 2 determines that the use of competitive bidding is either not 3 practicable or not advantageous to the state, supplies may be procured by competitive proposals. 4 The commissioner shall state the reasons for such determination, and a report 5 6 containing those reasons shall be maintained with the 7 vouchers or files pertaining to such purchases. All 8 purchases in excess of ten thousand dollars to be made under 9 this section shall be based on competitive proposals.

10 2. On any purchase where the estimated expenditure
11 shall be one hundred thousand dollars or over, the
12 commissioner of administration shall:

(1) Advertise for proposals in at least two daily
newspapers of general circulation in such places as are most
likely to reach prospective offerors and may advertise in at
least two weekly minority newspapers and may provide such
information through an electronic medium available to the
general public at least five days before proposals for such

4615S.01I

SB 1253

19 purchases are to be opened. Other methods of advertisement, 20 however, may be adopted by the commissioner of 21 administration when such other methods are deemed more 22 advantageous for the supplies to be purchased;

23

(2) Post notice of the proposed purchase; and

24 (3) Solicit proposals by mail or other reasonable
25 method generally available to the public from prospective
26 offerors.

All proposals for such supplies shall be mailed or delivered to the office of the commissioner of administration so as to reach such office before the time set for opening proposals. Proposals shall be opened in a manner to avoid disclosure of contents to competing offerors during the process of negotiation.

3. The contract shall be let to the lowest and best 33 34 offeror as determined by the evaluation criteria established 35 in the request for proposal and any subsequent negotiations conducted pursuant to this subsection. In determining the 36 37 lowest and best offeror, as provided in the request for 38 proposals and under rules promulgated by the commissioner of 39 administration, negotiations may be conducted with 40 responsible offerors who submit proposals selected by the commissioner of administration on the basis of reasonable 41 42 criteria for the purpose of clarifying and assuring full understanding of and responsiveness to the solicitation 43 44 requirements. Those offerors shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for 45 negotiation and subsequent revision of proposals; however, a 46 request for proposal may set forth the manner for 47 determining which offerors are eligible for negotiation, 48 49 including, but not limited to, the use of shortlisting.

2

50 Revisions may be permitted after submission and before award for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. 51 In 52 conducting negotiations there shall be no disclosure of any information derived from proposals submitted by competing 53 The commissioner of administration shall have the 54 offerors. right to reject any or all proposals and advertise for new 55 56 proposals or purchase the required supplies on the open 57 market if they can be so purchased at a better price.

3

58 4. The commissioner shall make available, upon
59 request, to any members of the general assembly, information
60 pertaining to competitive proposals, including the names of
61 bidders and the amount of each bidder's offering for each
62 contract.

5. If identified in the solicitation, the commissioner 63 may award a contract to the lowest and best responsive 64 65 vendor as determined by the evaluation criteria set out in 66 the solicitation, while reserving certain contract provisions for negotiation after the notice of award. 67 The reserved contract provisions for post-award negotiation 68 shall not be provisions that were part of the evaluation 69 70 criteria and scoring or provisions that impacted such 71 criteria or scoring. The timeframe for such post-award 72 negotiations shall be set out in the solicitation itself, 73 and if such negotiations fail, the commissioner may cancel 74 the award and award the contract to the next lowest and best If satisfied with the lowest and best responsive 75 vendor. 76 vendor's proposal, the commissioner may waive post-award 77 negotiations.

 \checkmark