***This Week in the Missouri Senate* Script  
Vetoed Legislation**

This week in the Missouri Senate, we review those measures from this year’s regular legislative session that have been vetoed…

**Nat Snd 1 :02 Q: and, good catch….**

The three vetoes were made late last week by the executive branch.

Among them is [House Bill 661](https://www.house.mo.gov/bill.aspx?bill=HB661&year=2021&code=R). Senator Lincoln Hough of Springfield handled this legislation in the upper chamber. This proposal sought to make changes to some transportation rules…

**Hough :09 Q: of human trafficking.**

During discussion on May 11, Sen. Angela Walton Mosley of Florissant added an amendment to this bill…

**Mosley :10 Q: of Transportation Committee.**

In his veto letter, the governor cites several portions of House Bill 661 as his reasons for saying “no” to it, including added responsibilities for the [Joint Committee on Transportation](https://www.senate.mo.gov/jcto/); added information to be made public by the Missouri Department of Transportation; and excluding three St. Louis-area counties from emissions inspections…

**Nat Snd 2 :02 Q: with Senate Committee….**

[House Bill 362](https://www.house.mo.gov/bill.aspx?bill=HB362&year=2021&code=R) made several changes related to government transparency.

Senator Paul Wieland of Imperial handled this measure in the Missouri Senate…

**Wieland :11 Q: public, governmental property.**

During discussion on May 3, Sen. Steven Roberts of St. Louis was successful in amending this proposal…

**Roberts :09 Q: their information protected.**

In his veto letter, the governor mentions several reasons for his disapproval of House Bill 362, including creation of a new program that would be overseen by the state auditor; a new program that would be overseen by the Office of Child Advocate; and changes to open records provisions…

**Nat Snd 3 :02 Q: 685, with Senate….**

Senator Justin Brown of Rolla was the Missouri Senate handler of [House Bill 685](https://www.house.mo.gov/bill.aspx?bill=HB685&year=2021&code=R), legislation that sought to make changes to rules for those seeking certain political offices…

**Brown :16 Q: that school district.**

In the veto letter from the executive branch, reasons for saying “no” to House Bill 685 is a section that would have allowed a registered lobbyist to maintain a candidate committee.

In addition, the governor vetoed several line-items of the Fiscal Year 2022 state operating budget, which took effect on July 1.

And, remember, you can follow these and other issues facing the Missouri Senate by visiting our website: [senate.mo.gov](http://www.senate.mo.gov).

Reporting from the State Capitol, I’m Dean Morgan.