

Journal of the Senate
NINETY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
OF THE
STATE OF MISSOURI
SECOND REGULAR SESSION
VETO SESSION

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2016

The Senate was called to order in Veto Session by Lieutenant Governor Peter Kinder.

Reverend Carl Gauck offered the following prayer:

“Send out your light and truth, that they may lead me.” (Psalm 43:3)

Wondrous God we come together in a bitter sweet time as we have begun saying goodbye to our colleagues who will be leaving us. We are grateful to have served this time with them and grateful to You, O God, that You have called each of us to serve our people. We ask that if we have been faithful You bless what we have done and that blessing remain with those who leave us. We are also here to fulfill our constitutional obligation, addressing the veto bills that are before us. We know that our actions affect our people and so we need Your guidance to direct our decisions knowing that our vote witnesses to whom we are and what we believe and the reason that we are here. Be with us throughout this day and be a light to the path that we must walk. In Your Holy Name we pray. Amen.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was recited.

The following Senators were present during the day’s proceedings:

Present—Senators

Brown	Chappelle-Nadal	Cunningham	Curls	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman
Holsman	Kehoe	Kraus	Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder
Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf
Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Schupp	Sifton	Silvey	Wallingford
Walsh	Wasson	Wieland—31				

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

The Lieutenant Governor was present.

Senator Kehoe announced photographers from Missourinet, KMOV-TV, Gasconade County Republican, KRCG-TV, KOLR-TV, Columbia Missourian, KSDK-TV, KTVI Fox 2, Jefferson City News Tribune, St. Louis Post-Dispatch, KSPR and Fox 26 KNPN were given permission to take pictures in the Senate Chamber.

RESOLUTIONS

Senator Kehoe offered the following resolution, which was read and adopted:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 1

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate that the Secretary of Senate inform the House of Representatives that the Senate is duly convened and is now in session as provided by Article III, Section 32 of the Constitution and is ready for the consideration of its business.

Senator Kehoe offered the following resolution, which was read and adopted:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 2

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate that the rules of the Senate, as adopted by the Ninety-eighth General Assembly, Second Regular Session, be declared to be the rules of the Veto Session of the Ninety-eighth General Assembly.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE GOVERNOR

The following communications, regarding vetoed Senate Bills, were received by the Secretary of State, reading of which was waived:

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102

June 28, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Senate Bill No. 1025 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal section 144.010, 144.018, and 144.020, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof three new sections relating to the taxation of instructional classes.

I disapprove of Senate Bill No. 1025. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Senate Bill No. 1025 would exclude any amount paid for instructional classes from the definition of sale at retail for purposes of the Missouri sales tax law. Its intent is to exempt "instructional classes" offered at businesses like dance studios, martial art studios and gymnastic centers from sales tax, thereby overruling long-standing Missouri Supreme Court precedent. Because Senate Bill No. 1025 seeks to create an exemption from established law and its unaccounted-for budgetary impact is unsound fiscal policy, it fails to receive my support.

Senate Bill No. 1025 is another attempt to subvert the law that applies state and local sales tax to places of recreation and amusement like dance studios and gyms. It does so by creating a loophole for instructional classes that are conducted at these entities. Proponents disingenuously claim this provision is necessary to clarify a confusing area of the law. What they are really seeking is to chip away at an area of law that has consistently been applied by the Missouri Supreme Court and diligently followed by the department of revenue over the course of previous and current administrations. Places of amusement and recreation have always been subject to this particular tax. Earlier this year, the Missouri Supreme Court reiterated this point and made it clear that activities that constitute amusement or recreation are subject to the tax under existing law even if there is an instructional component. Moreover, because Senate Bill No. 1025's definition of "instructional class" is vague, it has the potential to generate even more litigation designed to further test and expand the exemption.

Enacting this new exemption would further erode the tax base without requiring the creation of even a single job, in addition to reducing state revenue for education, public safety and other vital services by \$8 million in Fiscal Year 2017 alone. When coupled with an additional estimated \$8 million reduction in local sales tax revenues, the overall cost of this provision to state and local revenues grows to \$16 million. The Fiscal Year 2017 budget passed by the General Assembly fails to account for the cost of this new carve-out, and would necessitate executive action to offset its fiscal impact if this legislation were to become law. This is fiscally irresponsible and cannot receive my support.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Bill No. 1025 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY

65102

June 28, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Senate Bill No. 844 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal section 272.030 and 272.230, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to livestock trespass.

I disapprove of Senate Bill No. 844. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Under current law, if any horses, cattle or other stock break over or through a fence and trespass on the property of another, the owner of the animals is liable for the damage caused to the other landowner. Under Senate Bill No. 844, the owner of the animals would not be responsible to his neighbor for the damage caused by his animals, unless the injured party can establish "the owner of the trespassing horses, cattle, or other stock was negligent." Current law properly assigns liability upon the owner of the animals that have caused the damage, and I will not approve legislation that shifts that risk to an innocent neighbor.

There are many reasons a farmer's animals could escape from confinement including the farmer's negligence, an act of God or the independent actions of a third party. Regardless of the underlying reason for the escape, Missouri's fencing law properly assigns liability to the owner of the animals that caused the damage. However, under Senate Bill No. 844, the victim of the animal rampage would be left without recourse, despite playing no role in causing the damage, unless negligence on the part of either the owner of the animals or a third party can be proven. The responsibility for making the injured party whole for the action of these animals is and must continue to be placed with the owner of the trespassing animals. Further, nothing precludes the owner of those animals from subsequently pursuing a responsible third party, if one exists, and seeking damages and indemnification for any payments made to compensate the neighbor for damages. Existing law is fair, equitable and places the financial exposure with the proper party.

Lastly, it was just three sessions ago, the General Assembly, over my objection, enacted Senate Bill No. 9 (2013) to create the crime of animal trespass. So, while in 2013 the General Assembly was willing to hold the owner of trespassing animals criminally responsible, in 2016, it now attempts to make it more difficult to hold that same owner civilly liable. This is wholly inconsistent, unfair and will not receive my approval. In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Bill No. 844 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY

65102

July 5, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Conference Committee Substitute No. 2 for House Committee Substitute for Senate Substitute for Senate Bill No. 608 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal sections 167.638, 174.335, 197.315, 208.152, 208.952, 208.985, 335.300, 335.305, 335.310, 335.315, 335.320, 335.325, 335.330, 335.335, 335.340, 335.345, 335.350, 335.355, 338.200, 376.1235, 376.1237, and 536.031, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof forty-five new sections relating to health care, with a contingent effective date for certain sections.

I disapprove of Conference Committee Substitute No. 2 for House Committee Substitute for Senate Substitute for Senate Bill No. 608. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Conference Committee Substitute No. 2 for House Committee Substitute for Senate Substitute for Senate Bill No. 608 (Senate Bill No. 608) would needlessly punish our state's most vulnerable citizens without improving access to care or bringing Missourians' tax dollars back to Missouri. While these kinds of market-based reforms and incentives may be acceptable in the context of an expanded Medicaid population that includes more working Missourians, they are cruel and punitive when imposed solely on some of our very poorest and most vulnerable citizens.

Senate Bill No. 608 would impose a host of new penalties and fees on those who are least able to afford them, namely: low-income families, the aged, blind, and disabled. Fees for missed appointments and increased fees for emergency room visits later deemed non-emergency may seem very reasonable to those of us who have access to reliable transportation, who are able to take time off work for appointments and who have ready-access to telephone or e-mail services. Missourians who are disabled or who live in extreme poverty often cannot take advantage of these blessings that others may take for granted.

Over the past four years, the legislature has refused to expand Medicaid eligibility to cover working adults making up to 138 percent of the poverty level, or about \$15,000 a year for an individual, and Missouri has turned down billions of dollars in federal funding as a result. Currently, in order to qualify for Medicaid in Missouri, a single parent with two children can make no more than \$3,600 a year.

Strengthening Medicaid would provide health coverage to an additional 300,000 working Missourians, create thousands jobs in high-paying health care fields and generate millions of dollars in revenues for other priorities. Due to the legislature's inaction, Missourians continue to pay taxes to the federal government only to see those dollars go to the other 32 states that have moved forward with Medicaid expansion.

Gouging the poor while continuing to send our tax dollars to other states is not reforming our Medicaid system.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 608 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102

June 27, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 656 (Senate Bill No. 656) entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal sections 50.535, 563.031, 571.030, 571.101, 571.104, 571.111, and 571.126, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof fourteen new sections relating to weapons, with penalty provisions, an emergency clause for a certain section, and an effective date for a certain section.

I disapprove of Senate Bill No. 656. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Senate Bill No. 656 would eliminate the current requirements that individuals obtain training, education, a background check and a permit in order to carry a concealed firearm in Missouri. Under Senate Bill No. 656, the safeguards in Missouri's concealed carry system would be thrown out and any individual who is allowed to possess a firearm would be automatically allowed to carry it concealed. In doing so, the bill would render meaningless the existing authority of sheriffs to deny concealed carry permits, allowing individuals to legally carry a concealed firearm even though they have been or would be denied a permit because their background check revealed criminal offenses or caused the sheriff to believe they posed a danger.

Since 2003, Missouri law has set forth a process for obtaining concealed carry permits.¹ Such permits are issued by Missouri sheriffs, who are charged with ensuring the safety of the communities they serve. As recently as 2013, the General Assembly passed and I signed a bill that reiterated the important role of Missouri sheriffs in issuing – and for proper public safety reasons denying where appropriate – concealed carry permits (Senate Bill No. 75 (2013)). Missouri law requires classroom and range training, as well as a background check and review by the sheriff, before an applicant can obtain a concealed carry permit.

I support this system, and have signed bipartisan legislation that proposed sensible expansions to our concealed carry laws. Such changes include:

- Modifying training requirements (House Bill No. 294 (2011), House Bill No. 1647 (2012), Senate Bills No. 489 & 637 (2012));
- Expanding the list of places into which those with permits may carry concealed firearms (House Bill No. 294 (2011));
- Lowering the minimum age for obtaining a permit (House Bill No. 294 (2011));
- Lengthening the duration a concealed carry permit is valid from three years to five years (Senate Bill No. 75 (2013));
- Expanding the list of who is exempt from having to obtain permits (House Bill No. 294 (2011), House Bill No. 533 (2013), Senate Bill No. 745 (2014));

¹ Prior to August 28, 2013, Missouri's concealed carry permit was reflected as an endorsement on the successful applicant's driver or non-driver license, and was therefore referred to in law and otherwise as a "concealed carry endorsement." Use of the term "permit" herein includes endorsements issued prior to August 28, 2013.

- Allowing those below the minimum age who serve our country in the armed forces to be able to obtain a permit (House Bill No. 1647 (2012));

- Vesting sole responsibility for maintaining concealed carry data in Missouri sheriffs (Senate Bill No. 75 (2013)).

While the above improvements received my support, Senate Bill No. 656, which would throw out the permit process and allow individuals to carry concealed firearms without any of the public safety protections that exist in current law, does not.

Under current law, to obtain a concealed carry permit, an individual must pass a course of at least eight hours in length that contains training on: handgun safety in the classroom, on the range, at home, and while carrying; basic principles of marksmanship; care and cleaning of concealable firearms; safe storage of firearms at home; the requirements for obtaining a concealed carry permit; Missouri laws relating to firearms. Section 571.111.2 RSMo. This training must also include instruction on Missouri laws relating to justifiable use of force. *Id.* Instructors spend a significant period of the classroom time educating students not only on how to use firearms, but, more importantly, when they are justified in using firearms to protect themselves or others. This classroom instruction is obviously important for public safety, and for the student as well, who needs to know when he may justifiably draw and fire his weapon at another human being. There are life-and-death scenarios reviewed in the classroom, as well as scenarios in which an individual *is not* justified in using lethal force.

In addition to this education, the individual must demonstrate that he or she can safely load and unload a handgun, and must successfully complete a live firing exercise that requires the applicant to fire twenty rounds at a target. Section 571.111.2 RSMo. Then, with the instructor present, the applicant must fire another twenty rounds at the target, hitting the target with at least fifteen of those twenty rounds. *Id.*

After successfully completing the day-long classroom and range instruction, the applicant must go to the sheriff's office and pass a background check before being authorized to carry concealed. Approved trainers throughout our state have taught many thousands of Missourians how to properly and safely handle a concealed firearm. And sheriffs in Missouri have processed applications, conducted background checks and issued concealed carry permits on the same scale. In doing so, sheriffs have also appropriately rejected many individuals' applications under the provisions of section 571.101 RSMo., and those decisions have been upheld by courts on appeal. But Senate Bill No. 656 would remove sheriffs' ability to appropriately exercise that authority to protect their communities from individuals who they determine are a danger to themselves or others.

Under Senate Bill No. 656, the following individuals could automatically, without any scrutiny, training or notification, carry a concealed weapon:

- A person who has pled guilty to a felony and received a suspended imposition of sentence (currently prohibited by section 571.101.2(3) RSMo.);²
- An 18-year old high school student (an individual who is not in the military must be at least 19 years old to apply for a concealed carry permit under section 571.101.2(1) RSMo.);
- A person who has been charged with (but not yet convicted of) a felony (currently prohibited by section 571.101.2(5) RSMo.);
- A person who has been convicted of misdemeanor assault (currently prohibited by section 571.101.2(4) RSMo.);
- A person who has two or more misdemeanor DUIs within the last five years (currently prohibited by section 571.101.2(4) RSMo.);
- A person with two or more misdemeanor drug possession convictions within the last five years (currently prohibited by section 571.101.2(4) RSMo.).

Under current law in Missouri, none of these individuals may carry a concealed firearm. Under Senate Bill No. 656, all of them may do so. And they may do so with no training, no background check or screening, and no permit required.

Members of Missouri's law enforcement community, many of whom supported the enactment of the concealed carry law in 2003 and still today support the permit system, have also raised these concerns. I have heard them, and I share their concerns. Sheriffs and their deputies are charged with keeping their communities safe. Through their work, they come to know very well the residents of their jurisdictions. The drafters of Missouri's concealed carry permitting law recognized this and included in the 2003 law section 571.101.2(7) RSMo., which authorizes a sheriff to deny the application of a person who has engaged in a documented pattern of behavior that causes the sheriff to reasonably believe that the applicant is a danger to himself or others. And sheriffs in Missouri can readily identify individuals in their jurisdictions who, although not prohibited by federal or state law from possessing a firearm, would be ineligible for a permit to carry one concealed.

² A suspended imposition of sentence reflects a finding of guilt but does not reflect a conviction. Therefore, an individual receiving a suspended imposition of sentence is not prohibited from possessing a firearm under state and federal law, both of which only prohibit possession by those *convicted of* a felony. *See* section 571.070.1(1) RSMo. and 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(1). But Missouri's concealed carry law requires the sheriff to deny the application of one who has pled guilty to or been convicted of a felony. Section 571.101.1(3) RSMo.

While a permit to carry a concealed weapon in Missouri would be wholly unnecessary under Senate Bill No. 656, our existing permitting process would still be available for individuals who wish to carry concealed weapons in other states through reciprocity and will lead to absurd and dangerous scenarios. For instance, an individual who applies for a permit and is denied based on the sheriff's determination that he is a danger to himself or others would nevertheless be allowed, under Senate Bill No. 656, to carry a concealed weapon in Missouri the moment he leaves the sheriff's office. I cannot support a system that would ignore a determination by the chief law enforcement officer of a county that an individual is a danger to the community and should not be authorized to carry a concealed firearm.

Missouri's system for granting concealed permits has been in place for more than a decade, and it has worked. Senate Bill No. 656 flouts this system, allowing individuals with no training, no proven handgun capability, and no background check to carry concealed. Law enforcement officials have not called for this change, and for good reason. Allowing currently prohibited individuals to automatically carry concealed firearms would make Missouri less safe.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 656 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102
June 28, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Senate Bill No. 641 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal section 143.121, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to a deduction for compensation payments for agricultural losses.

I disapprove of Senate Bill No. 641. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Senate Bill No. 641 would create a new income tax break that could eviscerate the 2017 budget by requiring the state to issue over \$50 million in refunds for past payments received as part of any program that compensates agricultural producers through the United States Department of Agriculture for lost profits as a result of droughts, floods and other natural disasters.

While these payments have a beneficial purpose, I cannot support exempting them for purposes of income tax and certainly not doing so retroactively. These payments are specifically intended to replace lost income and represent profits that would otherwise be subject to taxation just like any other business profits. That is precisely why these payments are currently treated as income under federal law, current Missouri law, and the law of 39 other states. Of the states with a state income tax, only one does not treat these payments as income, and even in that state the tax break was not applied retroactively when enacted. Missouri should not join this lonely class.

The provision was specifically written to be retroactive to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2014, in order to allow amended tax returns for the previous two years to claim a refund back to a year in which historically high payments were received. These individuals have already received these payments, paid taxes on them, and if Senate Bill No. 641 were to become law, would receive a windfall in the form of a refund for those taxes previously paid on that income. Due in large part to its retroactivity, the fiscal impact of Senate Bill No. 641 is estimated to be as high as \$51.5 million in general revenue for Fiscal Year 2017. This fiscal impact is significant and, more troubling, not accounted for in the Fiscal Year 2017 budget.

Apart from being poor tax policy, Senate Bill No. 641 is poor fiscal policy. Again this year the General Assembly passed a budget and then passed tax breaks such as this to divert the very revenue upon which the budget was based. Because the Fiscal Year 2017 budget fails to account for the reduction of revenue that would result from Senate Bill No. 641, executive action will be necessary to balance the budget if this bill were to become law.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Bill No. 641 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102
June 28, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 847 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal section 490.715, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to evidence for the cost of medical care and treatment.

I disapprove of Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 847. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

In order for a person to be compensated for the harm they suffered as a result of the carelessness of another, the injured person is entitled to show a jury the total value of their losses—from past and future medical expenses to physical pain and suffering. Today, one way in which an injured person may help a jury to understand the extent and seriousness of their injuries is to show the jury the value of the medical care required for their treatment. This is a well-grounded, common-sense approach that has been used for many years.

Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 847 (Senate Bill No. 847) abandons these principles, and instead substitutes an arbitrary rule that seeks to lessen the seriousness of a person's injury, not based on any physical evidence, but instead based primarily on deals made between insurance companies and healthcare providers that have nothing to do with the individual's injuries. This legislation would limit recoveries by injured people, and unfairly favor those who cause the injuries. It is fundamentally unjust, unmerited, and unnecessary and will not receive my support.

The value of medical treatment is an important piece of an injured person's total losses and a very critical piece of the information a jury considers when evaluating those injuries. Senate Bill No. 847 would completely undermine an injured individual's ability to fully and fairly establish their damages by illogically reducing the "actual cost of the medical care or treatment" through "adjustment for any contractual discounts, price reduction, or write-off by any person or entity."

Application of these reductions would significantly understate the true impact of the defendant's actions and would preclude the fact-finder from fully considering the harm suffered by the individual.

While Senate Bill No. 847 would be unfair to all injured individuals, it would be particularly unjust to those injured people who had the foresight and means to acquire insurance. It is those individuals with insurance who would have the value of their medical care or treatment most significantly reduced by contract or otherwise. These reductions afforded insurance companies by healthcare providers are often substantial and the admissibility of only the diminished or remaining cost, as would be required under Senate Bill No. 847, would wrongly limit an individual's recovery. As a result, this legislation would irrationally punish individuals with insurance and would do so to the benefit of the wrongdoer. This incongruous result illustrates the absurdity underlying this wrongheaded legislation.

Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 847 is misguided policy purposely designed to benefit a wrongdoer at the expense of their victim and will not receive my approval.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Substitute No. 2 for Senate Bill No. 847 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102

July 1, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 994 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal sections 262.823, 311.060, 311.091, and 311.205, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof five new sections relating to alcohol.

I disapprove of Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 994 (Senate Bill No. 994). My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Senate Bill No. 994 would provide authority for the Missouri Wine and Grape Board to hire attorneys to oversee legal services that promote the board's marketing goals, and is specifically intended to authorize the board to hire legal counsel to defend the interests of Missouri wine manufacturers in lawsuits that are brought against those companies in another state. Authorizing legal services that are intended to ensure that lawsuits against Missouri businesses are unsuccessful is ultimately an expenditure of public funds for a private purpose, which would violate the Missouri Constitution regardless of the substance or merit of the lawsuit. Mo. Const. Art. III, Section 38(a). While promoting the Missouri wine industry is a worthy and legal endeavor, using state tax dollars to pay attorneys to defend the interests of private litigants is not.

The Missouri Wine and Grape Board is a public entity with the primary goal to promote the Missouri grape and wine industry through technical and marketing support. Funding for the board is derived from an excise tax on wine, and revenues are deposited in the state treasury to be used for the functions specifically authorized by law. Section 311.554, RSMo. However, the Missouri Constitution is the ultimate authority on how

public funds may be spent and specifically forbids the grant of public money to any private person, association or corporation. Mo. Const. Art. III, Section 38(a). And, the grant need not be given directly to a private person or corporation to violate the constitution. If the primary object of a public expenditure is to serve a public purpose, the expenditure is legal, even though it would also incidentally involve an expense, which, standing alone, would not be lawful. However, if the primary object is not to serve a public purpose, but to promote some private end, the expense is illegal, even though it may incidentally serve some public purpose. *State ex rel. City of Jefferson v. Smith*, 348 Mo. 554, 154 S.W.2d 101, 102 (Mo. banc 1941).

There is little doubt that House Bill No. 994's intended goal would result in the use of public funds for a private purpose. Indeed, an attorney would be hired and the intended expenditure would be triggered only when a Missouri company is subjected to litigation in another state. And, the outcome of that litigation will directly impact only the private company named as a defendant. Though the Missouri wine industry may ultimately benefit from a successful campaign to dismiss out-of-state claims against individual wine companies, that does not save an otherwise ill-conceived measure to allow state funds for an unconstitutional purpose. Whether it involves a promotion-worthy Missouri industry or not, I cannot support a bill that is intended to authorize a public entity to expend state revenue to support private litigants.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 994 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY

65102

June 28, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 591 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal section 490.065, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to expert witnesses.

I disapprove of Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 591. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 591 (Senate Bill No. 591) would discard Missouri's well-established criteria guiding the admissibility of expert testimony and replace it with a much more intricate, complicated and costly procedure. These changes are not proposed to solve an existing problem but instead are designed to make it more difficult and expensive for parties to bring forward their case and recover their proper damages. The process presently in place is fair and equitable to all litigants and the substantial and harmful retreat that would be realized by adoption of this new approach will not receive my approval.

The new requirements contained in Senate Bill No. 591 would needlessly strain judicial resources, require trial judges to conduct unnecessary hearings and become quasi-experts on complex subjects, and, in many cases, would impose a substantial financial burden on litigants. The current, long-standing procedure governing the admissibility of expert testimony has served our state court system well. Senate Bill No. 591 would not improve upon this existing model but rather would abandon our current approach for the purpose of targeting injured litigants, whether individuals, small businesses or others, by making it more expensive – and perhaps cost prohibitive - to bring forward their claims. As revealed in the federal court system from where the language of this legislation is borrowed, these provisions could lead to extensive pretrial evidentiary proceedings that would needlessly clog our courts and unnecessarily drain financial resources from the parties.

Senate Bill No. 591 is a targeted attack on an injured party's ability to be justly compensated for their damages, a purpose that is confirmed by the fact that the bill would specifically retain our current expert admissibility standards for probate, juvenile and family court cases. Moreover, the legislation, designed to make it more difficult to admit expert testimony and avoid the use of "junk science," would nevertheless allow a property owner, "while not an expert," to testify as to the reasonable market value of their own land.

The inconsistent approach of Senate Bill No. 591 is indicative of the misguided impetus behind this legislation. Missouri trial judges properly understand and apply Missouri's common sense standards relating to the admissibility of expert testimony and it would be harmful and disruptive to adopt the federally authored changes contained in Senate Bill No. 591 into our Missouri courts.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 591 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Also,

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY

65102

June 23, 2016

TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herewith I return to you Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867 entitled:

AN ACT

To repeal sections 66.620, 67.402, 99.845, 136.055, 137.016, 137.100, 137.115, 137.565, 182.802, 184.815, 190.335, 221.407, 233.180, 233.295, 304.190, 311.179, and 347.048, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof twenty new sections relating to political subdivisions.

I disapprove of Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867. My reasons for disapproval are as follows:

Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867 began its legislative existence as a simple, four-page bill containing a single, worthwhile measure providing a process for consolidating special road districts. Unfortunately, gathering legislative detritus on its voyage through the General Assembly, it transformed into an omnibus monstrosity running afoul of the Missouri Constitution and failing to gain my approval.

Since 1875, the Missouri Constitution has required legislation to be limited to its original purpose. This basic requirement promotes an open process to alert legislators and the general public to the substance of pending legislation and further prevents “logrolling,” in which several matters that would not individually command a majority vote are bundled into a single bill to ensure passage. Despite repeated admonitions from the courts, omnibus bills violating this Constitutional requirement continue to be passed.

The Missouri Constitution provides that “no bill shall be so amended in its passage through either house as to change its original purpose.” Mo. Const. Art. III, Sec. 21. This prohibition on adding “subject matter that is not germane to the object of the legislation or that is unrelated to its original subject” is meant to fairly apprise citizens of the subject of the legislation being considered. *C.C. Dillon Co. v. City of Eureka*, 12 S.W.3d 322, 326-27 (Mo. Banc 2000). Here, Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867, began as an act “relating to county road district consolidation” but ballooned to 20 subjects ranging from tax deductions, to sheltered workshops, to property managers, to alcohol sales at an airport, to assessments of mining property, to state highway designations. Indeed, many of the provisions weighing down this legislation were inserted as amendments during the waning hours of session, without the benefit of a public hearing and with limited or no public debate.

The most egregious of these surreptitious additions would seek to impose new fees upon Missourians at license offices. If Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867 were to become law, Missourians would be faced with a \$2.00 “notary fee” at their local license office, despite a separate subsection of law unchanged by the amendment expressly prohibiting the charging of a notary fee. The second new fee sought to be imposed would allow license offices to charge \$2.00 for each “electronic transmission” and each “electronic look-up.” Neither “transmission” nor “look-up” is defined in the legislation, instead leaving the scope of this new fee to the fee office agent’s imagination.

At the very least under this legislation, Missourians could be charged a new fee each and every time the license office sends an email (\$2.00), receives a fax (\$2.00), or electronically verifies information such as personal property tax (\$2.00), insurance (\$2.00), and a motor vehicle inspection (\$2.00). Add in a notarized document (\$2.00), and in a single transaction to register a motor vehicle, a Missourian could be facing an additional \$12.00 in fees, on top of the \$3.50 fee the license office can currently charge—more than quadruple the fees they could currently be charged.

As I stated in vetoing similar legislation in 2013, Missourians should not be asked to pay yet another new government fee to receive the same service they receive today, and certainly not by way of an eleventh hour amendment to an already swollen omnibus bill stretched far beyond the bill’s original purpose. While my action today will prevent a number of worthwhile provisions from becoming law, including the measure that gave birth to the underlying bill, it will also prevent Missourians being charged new fees at license offices through a constitutionally suspect vessel.

In accordance with the above stated reasons for disapproval, I am returning Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867 without my approval.

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

Senator Kehoe moved that the Senate proceed to the order of business, Vetoed Bills, and that the calendar be called, which motion prevailed.

Senator Kraus moved that **SB 1025** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Chappelle-Nadal	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Holsman
Kehoe	Kraus	Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson
Pearce	Richard	Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer
Schatz	Schmitt	Schupp	Sifton	Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson

Wieland—29

NAYS—Senators

Curls Walsh—2

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Parson moved that **SB 844** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard
Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey

Wallingford Wasson Wieland—24

NAYS—Senators

Chappelle-Nadal Curls Holsman Schaaf Schupp Sifton Walsh—7

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Sater moved that **CCS No. 2** for **HCS** for **SS** for **SB 608** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Holsman	Kehoe
Libla	Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey

Wallingford Wasson Wieland—24

NAYS—Senators

Chappelle-Nadal Curls Kraus Nasheed Schupp Sifton Walsh—7

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Pearce assumed the Chair.

President Kinder assumed the Chair.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

The following messages were received from the House of Representatives through its Chief Clerk:

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and adopted **HR 1**.

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 1

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the Ninety-eighth General Assembly, Second Regular Session, inform the Governor and the Senate that the House is duly convened and is now in session in the 2016 Constitutional Veto Session and ready for consideration of business.

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **HB 1631**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: the attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **HB 1631**.

AYES: 115

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson
Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis	Dogan
Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144
Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr
Haefner	Hansen	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough
Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley
Kidd	King	Koenig	Kolkmeyer	Korman	Lair	Lant
Lauer	Leara	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews
McCaherty	McDaniel	McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris
Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Pfausch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike
Plocher	Pogue	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads
Roden	Roerber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth
Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan
Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	White	Wiemann	Wilson
Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker				

NOES: 41

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Colona	Conway 10
-------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	-----------

Curtis	Dunn	Ellington	Gardner	Green	Harris	Hummel
Kendrick	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender	May	McCann Beatty
McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith	Mims	Mitten
Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters
Pierson	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	Webber	

ABSENT: 4

Carpenter	Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo
-----------	---------	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SCS for HCS for HB 2030**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: the attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SCS for HCS for HB 2030**.

AYES: 119

Alferman	Allen	Anders	Anderson	Andrews	Arthur	Austin
Bahr	Barnes	Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black
Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Butler	Chipman
Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross
Curtman	Davis	Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	English
Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin
Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Harris	Higdon
Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Johnson
Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd	King	Koenig	Kolkmeier
Korman	LaFaver	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Leara	Lichtenegger
Love	Lynch	Mathews	McCaherty	McCreery	McDaniel	McGaugh
Messenger	Miller	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Peters
Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder
Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross
Rowden	Rowland 155	Rowland 29	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake
Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo
Walker	White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker

NOES: 38

Adams	Burns	Carpenter	Colona	Conway 10	Curtis	Dunn
Ellington	Gardner	Green	Hummel	Hurst	Kendrick	Kirkton
Kratky	Lavender	Marshall	May	McCann Beatty	McDonald	McGee
McNeil	Meredith	Mims	Mitten	Moon	Morgan	Newman
Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Pierson	Pogue	Runions
Smith	Walton Gray	Webber				

ABSENT: 3

Hubbard Montecillo Rizzo

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **HB 1763**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: the attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **HB 1763**.

AYES: 121

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Basye
Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57
Brown 94	Burlison	Burns	Butler	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104
Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtis	Curtman
Davis	Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	English	Entlicher
Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick
Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Harris	Higdon	Hill
Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson
Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kendrick	Kidd	King	Koenig
Kolkmeyer	Korman	LaFaver	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Leara
Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel
McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely
Nichols	Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher
Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber
Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull
Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145
Vescovo	Walker	Webber	White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood
Zerr	Mr. Speaker					

NOES: 34

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Barnes	Carpenter	Colona	Dunn
Ellington	Gardner	Green	Hummel	Kirkton	Kratky	Lavender
May	McCann Beatty	McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith
Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters
Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	

ABSENT: 5

Conway 10 Hubbard Mims Montecillo Rizzo

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SCS for HCS for HB 1713**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: the attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SCS for HCS for HB 1713**.

AYES: 111

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson
Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtis	Curtman	Davis
Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144
Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr
Haefner	Hansen	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough
Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley
Kidd	Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Leara	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel
McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely
Parkinson	Pfautsch	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder
Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross
Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Solon
Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker
White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker	

NOES: 46

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Carpenter	Colona
Conway 10	Dunn	Ellington	English	Gardner	Green	Harris
Hummel	Kendrick	King	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender
Marshall	May	McCann Beatty	McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil
Meredith	Mims	Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr
Otto	Pace	Peters	Phillips	Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29
Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	Webber			

ABSENT: 3

Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo
---------	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Senator Kraus moved that **SS No. 2 for SCS for HB 1631** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Senator Schmitt assumed the Chair.

Senator Kraus submitted the following:

Motion for Previous Question - Pursuant to Rule 84 of the Missouri Senate:

Shall the Main question be now put?

Signed:

/s/ Will Kraus	/s/ Brian Munzlinger
/s/ Dan Brown	/s/ Rob Schaaf
/s/ Dave Schatz	/s/ Paul Wieland
/s/ Jay Wasson	/s/ Bob Onder
/s/ Kurt Schaefer	/s/ Ed Emery
/s/ Eric Schmitt	/s/ Ron Richard

The motion to move the previous question was adopted by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus	Libla
Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle	Romine
Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey	Wallingford
Wasson	Wieland—23					

NAYS—Senators

Chappelle-Nadal	Curls	Dixon	Holsman	Nasheed	Schupp	Sifton
Walsh—8						

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

President Kinder assumed the Chair.

The motion to pass **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **HB 1631**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey
Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—24				

NAYS—Senators

Chappelle-Nadal	Curls	Holsman	Nasheed	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—7
-----------------	-------	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Munzlinger moved that **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 656** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Senator Pearce assumed the Chair.

Senator Munzlinger submitted the following:

Motion for Previous Question - Pursuant to Rule 84 of the Missouri Senate:

Shall the Main question be now put?

Signed:

/s/ Brian Munzlinger	/s/ Jeanie Riddle
/s/ Will Kraus	/s/ Ron Richard
/s/ Kurt Schaefer	/s/ Mike Kehoe
/s/ Paul Wieland	/s/ Michael L. Parson
/s/ Paul Schatz	/s/ Jay Wasson
/s/ Doug Libla	/s/ Bob Onder
/s/ Eric Schmitt	/s/ Rob Schaaf
/s/ Dan Brown	/s/ Wayne Wallingford
/s/ David Sater	
/s/ Ed Emery	

The motion to move the previous question was adopted by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus	Libla
Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle	Romine
Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey	Wallingford
Wasson	Wieland—23					

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Dixon	Holsman	Nasheed	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—7
-------	-------	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

The motion to pass **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 656**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey
Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—24				

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Holsman	Nasheed	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—6
-------	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Schatz moved that **SB 641** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard
Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey
Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—24				

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Holsman	Schaaf	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—6
-------	---------	--------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

On motion of Senator Kehoe, the Senate recessed until 7:25 p.m.

RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the Senate was called to order by President Pro Tem Richard.

VETOED BILLS

Senator Emery moved that **SS No. 2** for **SB 847** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey
Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—24				

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Holsman	Nasheed	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—6
-------	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Munzlinger moved that **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 994** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus	Libla
Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Schupp	Silvey
Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—24				

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Emery	Holsman	Schaaf	Sifton	Walsh—6
-------	-------	---------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

SCS for **SB 591** was called thereafter and no motion was taken thereon.

CCS for **HCS** for **SB 867** was called thereafter and no motion was taken thereon.

Senator Silvey moved that **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 2030** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Holsman	Kehoe
Kraus	Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce
Richard	Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz
Schmitt	Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—26		

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—4
-------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Emery moved that **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1713** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard
Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt
Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—25			

NAYS—Senators

Curls Holsman Schupp Sifton Walsh—5

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Wieland moved that **HB 1763** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

Senator Schmitt assumed the Chair.

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard
Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt
Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—25			

NAYS—Senators

Curls Holsman Schupp Sifton Walsh—5

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

The following messages were received from the House of Representatives through its Chief Clerk:

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SCS** for **HB 1414**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SCS** for **HB 1414**.

AYES: 111

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Cookson	Corlew
Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis	Dohrman	Eggleston
Engler	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker
Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Higdon
Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst
Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd	Koenig	Kolkmeier
Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Leara	Lichtenegger	Love

Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel	McGaugh	Messenger
Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Peters
Pfautsch	Phillips	Pierson	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon
Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone
Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake
Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker
White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker	

NOES: 46

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Carpenter	Colona
Conway 10	Conway 104	Curtis	Dogan	Dunn	Ellington	English
Gardner	Green	Harris	Hummel	Kendrick	King	Kirkton
Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender	May	McCann Beatty	McCreery	McDonald
McGee	McNeil	Meredith	Mims	Mitten	Morgan	Newman
Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions
Smith	Solon	Walton Gray	Webber			

ABSENT: 3

Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo
---------	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **HB 1870**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **HB 1870**.

AYES: 113

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Black	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson
Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtis	Curtman	Davis
Dogan	Dohrman	Engler	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49
Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner
Hansen	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton
Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd
King	Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Leara	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty
McDaniel	McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel
Neely	Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher
Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber
Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull

Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145
Vescovo	Walker	White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr
Mr. Speaker						

NOES: 43

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Carpenter	Colona	Conway 10
Dunn	Eggleston	Ellington	English	Gardner	Green	Harris
Hummel	Kendrick	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender	May
McCann Beatty	McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith	Mims
Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace
Peters	Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray
Webber						

ABSENT: 4

Butler	Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo
--------	---------	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1432**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1432**.

AYES: 113

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57
Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson	Corlew
Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtis	Curtman	Davis	Dogan
Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan
Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Green	Haahr	Haefner
Hansen	Harris	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough
Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley
Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	LaFaver	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Leara	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty
McCreery	McDaniel	McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris
Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike
Plocher	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden
Roeber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul
Shull	Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139
Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood
Mr. Speaker						

NOES: 42

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Carpenter	Colona
Conway 10	Dunn	Ellington	English	Fitzwater 144	Gardner	Hummel
Kendrick	Kidd	King	Kirkton	Kratky	Lavender	May
McCann Beatty	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith	Mims	Mitten
Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters
Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	Webber

ABSENT: 5

Black	Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo	Zerr
-------	---------	------------	-------	------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1976**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

In which the concurrence of the Senate is respectfully requested.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1976**.

AYES: 110

Alferman	Allen	Anders	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr
Barnes	Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burns	Carpenter	Cierpiot	Conway 10	Conway 104
Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis
Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler	Entlicher	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49
Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Green	Haahr
Haefner	Hansen	Harris	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins
Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley
Kendrick	Kidd	Kolkmeier	LaFaver	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	McCaherty	McCreery	McDaniel	McGaugh
Messenger	Miller	Morris	Muntzel	Nichols	Otto	Pfausch
Phillips	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole
Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155
Rowland 29	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Smith	Solon
Sommer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	Webber
White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Mr. Speaker		

NOES: 44

Adams	Arthur	Burlison	Butler	Chipman	Curtis	Dunn
Ellington	English	Fitzpatrick	Gardner	Hummel	Hurst	King
Kirkton	Koenig	Korman	Kratky	Lavender	Leara	Marshall
Mathews	May	McCann Beatty	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith
Mims	Mitten	Moon	Morgan	Neely	Newman	Norr
Pace	Parkinson	Peters	Pierson	Pietzman	Pogue	Runions

Spencer Walton Gray

ABSENT: 6

Black Colona Hubbard Montecillo Rizzo Zerr

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SB 844**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SB 844**.

AYES: 114

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Basye
Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57	Brown 94
Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 10	Conway 104	Cookson	Corlew
Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Davis	Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston
Engler	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker
Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Harris
Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht
Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd	Koenig
Kolkmeier	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Lichtenegger	Love
Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel	McGaugh	Messenger
Miller	Mims	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson
Peters	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pierson	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher
Pogue	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden
Roeber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul
Shull	Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139
Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	Webber	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood
Zerr	Mr. Speaker					

NOES: 40

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Barnes	Burns	Butler	Carpenter
Colona	Curtis	Curtman	Dunn	Ellington	English	Gardner
Green	Hummel	King	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender
Leara	May	McCann Beatty	McCreery	McGee	McNeil	Meredith
Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace
Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	White		

ABSENT: 6

Black Hubbard Kendrick McDonald Montecillo Rizzo

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SB 1025**, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SB 1025**.

AYES: 124

Alferman	Allen	Anders	Anderson	Andrews	Arthur	Austin
Bahr	Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Burns	Carpenter	Chipman	Cierpiot
Conway 10	Conway 104	Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross
Curtman	Davis	Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston	Ellington	Engler
English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker
Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Harris
Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht
Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd	King
Kirkton	Koenig	Kolkmeier	LaFaver	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Leara	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty
McCreery	McDaniel	McGaugh	Meredith	Messenger	Miller	Mitten
Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips
Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole
Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155
Runions	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Solon	Sommer
Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	White
Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker		

NOES: 31

Adams	Barnes	Colona	Curtis	Dunn	Gardner	Green
Hummel	Kendrick	Korman	Kratky	Lavender	May	McCann Beatty
McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Mims	Morgan	Newman	Nichols
Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters	Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29
Smith	Walton Gray	Webber				

ABSENT: 5

Black	Butler	Hubbard	Montecillo	Rizzo
-------	--------	---------	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and adopted **HR 2**.

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 2

BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives, that the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives inform the Senate that the House, having been duly convened as provided by Section 32, Article III of the Constitution, made no motions to override the Governor's veto on **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1474**, **CCS** for **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 2008**, **CCS** for **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 2011**, and **HB 2237**, when the bills were called by the Speaker.

Senator Munzlinger moved that **SCS for HB 1414** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard
Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt	Wallingford
Wasson	Wieland—23					

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Holsman	Schaaf	Schupp	Sifton	Silvey	Walsh—7
-------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Wieland moved that **SS No. 2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1432** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Kehoe	Kraus
Libla	Munzlinger	Onder	Parson	Pearce	Richard	Riddle
Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schatz	Schmitt	Silvey	Wallingford
Wasson	Wieland—23					

NAYS—Senators

Curls	Holsman	Nasheed	Schaefer	Schupp	Sifton	Walsh—7
-------	---------	---------	----------	--------	--------	---------

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Pearce moved that **HB 1870** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion failed to receive the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Dixon	Hegeman	Kraus	Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed
Parson	Pearce	Romine	Sater	Schaefer	Schatz	Schmitt
Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—18			

NAYS—Senators

Cunningham	Curls	Emery	Holsman	Kehoe	Onder	Richard
------------	-------	-------	---------	-------	-------	---------

Riddle Schaaf Schupp Sifton Walsh—12

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

Senator Munzlinger moved that **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 1976** be passed, the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding, which motion received the necessary two-thirds majority by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Brown	Cunningham	Dixon	Emery	Hegeman	Holsman	Kehoe
Kraus	Libla	Munzlinger	Nasheed	Onder	Parson	Pearce
Richard	Riddle	Romine	Sater	Schaaf	Schaefer	Schatz
Schmitt	Sifton	Silvey	Wallingford	Wasson	Wieland—27	

NAYS—Senators

Curls Schupp Walsh—3

Absent—Senator Chappelle-Nadal—1

Absent with leave—Senators—None

Vacancies—3

RESOLUTIONS

Senator Nasheed offered Senate Resolution No. 3, regarding Reverend Dr. Robert Charles Scott, which was adopted.

Senator Munzlinger offered Senate Resolution No. 4, regarding Eagle Scout Benjamin Stainbrook, Monticello, which was adopted.

Senator Emery offered Senate Resolution No. 5, regarding Cathie J. Goth, Clinton, which was adopted.

Senator Wieland offered Senate Resolution No. 6, regarding Linda Schroeder, House Springs, which was adopted.

Senator Kehoe offered the following resolution, which was read and adopted:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 7

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate that the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives that the Senate having been duly convened as provided by Article III, Section 32 of the Constitution, made no motion to override the Governor's veto of Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 591 and Conference Committee Substitute for House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 867 when the bills were called by the president.

On motion of Senator Kehoe, the Senate recessed until 8:45 p.m.

RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the Senate was called to order by President Pro Tem Richard.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

The following messages were received from the House of Representatives through its Chief Clerk:

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **CCS No. 2** for **HCS** for **SS** for **SB 608** the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **CCS No. 2** for **HCS** for **SS** for **SB 608**.

AYES: 110

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57
Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson	Cornejo
Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis	Dogan	Dohrman	Eggleston
Engler	English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan
Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner	Hansen
Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht
Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kidd	King
Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Leara
Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel	McGaugh
Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson
Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder
Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross
Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Solon
Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker
Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Zerr	Mr. Speaker		

NOES: 45

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Carpenter	Colona
Conway 10	Corlew	Curtis	Dunn	Ellington	Gardner	Green
Harris	Hummel	Kendrick	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender
Marshall	McCann Beatty	McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith
Mims	Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto
Pace	Peters	Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith
Walton Gray	Webber	White				

ABSENT: 5

Black	Hubbard	May	Montecillo	Rizzo
-------	---------	-----	------------	-------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 656** the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 656**.

AYES: 112

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Barnes
Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57
Brown 94	Burlison	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104	Cookson	Corlew
Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis	Dogan	Dohrman
Eggleston	Engler	English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49
Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Haefner
Hansen	Harris	Higdon	Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough
Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst	Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley
Kidd	Koenig	Kolkmeyer	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer
Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Marshall	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel
McGaugh	Messenger	Miller	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely
Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Pogue
Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roeber
Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull
Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145
Vescovo	Walker	White	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Mr. Speaker

NOES: 41

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Burns	Butler	Carpenter	Colona
Conway 10	Curtis	Dunn	Ellington	Gardner	Green	Hummel
Kendrick	King	Kirkton	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender	McCann Beatty
McCreery	McDonald	McGee	McNeil	Meredith	Mims	Mitten
Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters
Pierson	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	Webber	

ABSENT: 7

Black	Hubbard	Leara	May	Montecillo	Rizzo	Zerr
-------	---------	-------	-----	------------	-------	------

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **SB 641** the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **SB 641**.

AYES: 112

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Austin	Bahr	Basye
Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin	Brown 57	Brown 94
Burlison	Carpenter	Chipman	Cierpiot	Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo
Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis	Dohrman	Eggleston	Engler
English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick	Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker

Franklin	Frederick	Gannon	Haahr	Hansen	Harris	Higdon
Hill	Hinson	Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Hurst
Johnson	Jones	Justus	Kelley	Kendrick	Kidd	King
Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	Lair	Lant	Lauer	Lichtenegger
Love	Lynch	Mathews	McCaherty	McDaniel	McGaugh	McGee
Messenger	Miller	Mims	Moon	Morris	Muntzel	Neely
Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips	Pierson	Pietzman	Pike	Plocher
Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole	Rhoads	Roden	Roerber
Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155	Ruth	Shaul	Shull
Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer	Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145
Vescovo	Walker	Webber	Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Mr. Speaker

NOES: 38

Adams	Anders	Arthur	Barnes	Butler	Colona	Conway 10
Conway 104	Curtis	Dogan	Dunn	Gardner	Green	Haefner
Hummel	Kratky	LaFaver	Lavender	Marshall	McCann Beatty	McCreery
McDonald	McNeil	Meredith	Mitten	Morgan	Newman	Nichols
Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions
Smith	Walton Gray	White				

ABSENT: 10

Black	Burns	Ellington	Hubbard	Kirkton	Leara	May
Montecillo	Rizzo	Zerr				

VACANCIES: 3

Also,

Mr. President: I am instructed by the House of Representatives to inform the Senate that the House has taken up and passed **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 994** the objections of the Governor thereto notwithstanding.

Also,

Mr. President: The attached is a certified copy of the Roll Call on **CCS** for **HCS** for **SB 994**.

AYES: 116

Alferman	Allen	Anderson	Andrews	Arthur	Austin	Bahr
Barnes	Basye	Beard	Bernskoetter	Berry	Bondon	Brattin
Brown 57	Brown 94	Burlison	Butler	Chipman	Cierpiot	Conway 104
Cookson	Corlew	Cornejo	Crawford	Cross	Curtman	Davis
Dogan	Dohrman	Ellington	Engler	English	Entlicher	Fitzpatrick
Fitzwater 144	Fitzwater 49	Flanigan	Fraker	Franklin	Frederick	Gannon
Haahr	Haefner	Hansen	Harris	Higdon	Hill	Hinson
Hoskins	Hough	Houghton	Hubrecht	Johnson	Jones	Justus
Kelley	Kidd	King	Koenig	Kolkmeier	Korman	LaFaver
Lair	Lant	Lauer	Lichtenegger	Love	Lynch	Mathews
McCaherty	McCreery	McDaniel	McGaugh	McGee	Messenger	Miller
Mims	Morris	Muntzel	Neely	Parkinson	Pfautsch	Phillips
Pietzman	Pike	Plocher	Redmon	Rehder	Reiboldt	Remole

Rhoads	Roden	Roeber	Rone	Ross	Rowden	Rowland 155
Ruth	Shaul	Shull	Shumake	Solon	Sommer	Spencer
Swan	Taylor 139	Taylor 145	Vescovo	Walker	Webber	White
Wiemann	Wilson	Wood	Mr. Speaker			

NOES: 34

Adams	Anders	Carpenter	Colona	Conway 10	Curtis	Dunn
Eggleston	Gardner	Green	Hummel	Hurst	Kratky	Lavender
Marshall	McCann Beatty	McDonald	McNeil	Meredith	Mitten	Moon
Morgan	Newman	Nichols	Norr	Otto	Pace	Peters
Pierson	Pogue	Rowland 29	Runions	Smith	Walton Gray	

ABSENT: 10

Black	Burns	Hubbard	Kendrick	Kirkton	Leara	May
Montecillo	Rizzo	Zerr				

VACANCIES: 3

INTRODUCTIONS OF GUESTS

Senator Riddle introduced to the Senate, Carrie Rebecca “Becca” Kristofferson, Mexico High School.

Senator Nasheed introduced to the Senate, Lyda, St. Louis.

Senator Walsh introduced to the Senate, Nichole Chapet, St. Louis.

Senator Chappelle-Nadal introduced to the Senate, Barbara Finch, University City.

On motion of Senator Kehoe, the Senate of the Veto Session of the Second Regular Session of the 98th General Assembly adjourned sine die, pursuant to the Constitution.

PETER D. KINDER
Lieutenant Governor

ADRIANE D. CROUSE
Secretary of Senate

✓