FIRST REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL NO. 225

98TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR ROMINE.

Read 1st time January 12, 2015, and ordered printed.

0375S.01I

ADRIANE D. CROUSE, Secretary.

AN ACT

To repeal sections 260.235, 260.395, 444.600, 444.773, 621.250, 640.115, 643.075, 643.078, 644.051, and 644.056, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof eleven new sections relating to the department of natural resources permit decision appeal procedures.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Sections 260.235, 260.395, 444.600, 444.773, 621.250, 640.115,

- 2 643.075, 643.078, 644.051, and 644.056, RSMo, are repealed and eleven new
- 3 sections enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as sections 260.235, 260.395,
- 4 444.600, 444.773, 444.980, 621.250, 640.115, 643.075, 643.078, 644.051, and
- 5 644.056, to read as follows:

260.235. Any person aggrieved by a forfeiture of any financial assurance

- 2 instrument, civil or administrative penalty or denial, suspension or revocation of
- 3 a permit required by section 260.205 or a modification to a permit issued under
- 4 section 260.205 or any disapproval of the plan required by section 260.220, may
- 5 appeal such decision as provided in [section] sections 621.250[, subject to
- 6 judicial review as provided by law and 640.013 by filing a petition with the
- 7 administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the
- 8 decision. The notice of the department shall be effected by certified mail and
- 9 shall set forth the reasons for such forfeiture, disapproval, denial, suspension,
- 10 civil penalty or revocation. The department may seek an injunction in the circuit
- 11 court in which the facility is located requiring the facility for which the transfer
- 12 of ownership has been denied, or the permit or modification of the permit has
- 13 been denied, suspended or revoked, to cease operations from the date ordered by
- 14 the court until such time as the appeal is resolved or obtain a performance bond

EXPLANATION—Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in this bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

in the amount and manner as prescribed by rule. The department's action seeking an injunction shall be based on the seriousness of the threat to the environment which continued operation of the facility poses. A bond may be required in order to stay the effect of the department's action until the appeal is 18 resolved, in which case such bond shall remain in place until the appeal is 19 resolved. If the department's decision is upheld, the bond shall be forfeited and 20 placed in a separate subaccount of the solid waste management fund. For 2122 purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may 23 consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the 24 record, whether an interested party's health, safety or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the forfeiture of any financial assurance 25 26 instrument, civil or administrative penalty, denial, suspension, 27 revocation, or modification of a permit or disapproval of the plan 28 required by section 260.220. The administrative hearing commission 29 may also consider, based on competent and substantial scientific 30 evidence on the record, whether the operator has demonstrated, during 31 the five-year period immediately preceding the date of the permit 32 application, a pattern of noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. 33 34 In determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the administrative hearing commission may look to 35 36 past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such 37 past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an 38 39 insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 40 noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance 41 unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a 42 risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has 43 potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined 44 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 45 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing 46 47 commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of 48 associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 49 50 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis 51

2

6

must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at 53 any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 54 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 55 facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 56 57 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the 58 applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 59 administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 60 administrative hearing commission shall make a final decision on the 61 62 forfeiture of any financial assurance instrument, civil or administrative penalty, denial, suspension, revocation, or modification of a permit or 63 disapproval of the plan required by section 260.220. The administrative 64 hearing commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the parties 65 to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that 67 the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction coextensive 68 with the county where the solid waste processing facility or disposal 69 area is located or is to be located shall have original jurisdiction. No 70 71 judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative 72remedies are exhausted.

260.395. 1. After six months from the effective date of the standards, rules and regulations adopted by the commission pursuant to section 260.370, it shall be unlawful for any person to transport any hazardous waste in this state without first obtaining a hazardous waste transporter license. Any person transporting hazardous waste in this state shall file an application for a license pursuant to this subsection which shall:

7 (1) Be submitted on a form provided for this purpose by the department 8 and shall furnish the department with such equipment identification and data as may be necessary to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the department that 10 equipment engaged in such transportation of hazardous waste, and other 11 equipment as designated in rules and regulations pursuant to sections 260.350 12to 260.430, is adequate to provide protection of the health of humans and the 13 environment and to comply with the provisions of any federal hazardous waste management act and sections 260.350 to 260.430 and the standards, rules and 14 regulations adopted pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430. If approved by the 15

department, this demonstration of protection may be satisfied by providing certification that the equipment so identified meets and will be operated in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Missouri public service commission and the federal Department of Transportation for the transportation of the types of hazardous materials for which it will be used;

- (2) Include, as specified by rules and regulations, demonstration of financial responsibility, including, but not limited to, guarantees, liability insurance, posting of bond or any combination thereof which shall be related to the number of units, types and sizes of equipment to be used in the transport of hazardous waste by the applicant;
- (3) Include, as specified in rules and regulations, a fee payable to the state of Missouri which shall consist of an annual application fee, plus an annual use fee based upon tonnage, mileage or a combination of tonnage and mileage. The fees established pursuant to this subdivision shall be set to generate, as nearly as is practicable, six hundred thousand dollars annually. No fee shall be collected pursuant to this subdivision from railroads that pay a fee pursuant to subsection 18 of this section. Fees collected pursuant to this subdivision shall be deposited in the hazardous waste fund created pursuant to section 260.391.
- 2. If the department determines the application conforms to the provisions of any federal hazardous waste management act and sections 260.350 to 260.430 and the standards, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430, it shall issue the hazardous waste transporter license with such terms and conditions as it deems necessary to protect the health of humans and the environment. The department shall act within ninety days after receipt of the application. If the department denies the license, it shall issue a report to the applicant stating the reason for denial of the license.
- 3. A license may be suspended or revoked whenever the department determines that the equipment is or has been operated in violation of any provision of sections 260.350 to 260.430 or any standard, rule or regulation, order, or license term or condition adopted or issued pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430, poses a threat to the health of humans or the environment, or is creating a public nuisance.
- 4. Whenever a license is issued, renewed, denied, suspended or revoked by the department, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the [department] administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the decision, may

52 appeal such decision [and shall be entitled to a hearing as provided in section 260.400] as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based 54 on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, 55 whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be 56 unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or 57 revocation of the license. The administrative hearing commission may 58 also consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence 59 60 on the record, whether the applicant or licensee has demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the date of the license application, a pattern of noncompliance that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining 63 whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the 64 future, the administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient 68 basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 69 noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis 70 to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has 74potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined 75 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 76 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a 77 reasonable likelihood that the license seeker or the operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 79 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 80 noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis 81 must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental 82 law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources that 83 resulted in harm to the environment or impaired the health, safety, or 84 livelihood of persons. For any license seeker that has not been in business in Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing 86 commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where 87 the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once 88

101

103

104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111 112

113

114

115

116

117 118

119

120

121 122

123 124

89 the administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 90 administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to the commission on license issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or 91 revocation. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for license issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. 93 If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made 94 by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the 95 decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it 96 97 shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final 98 99 decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to 100 chapter 536. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all 102 administrative remedies are exhausted.

- 5. A license shall be issued for a period of one year and shall be renewed upon proper application by the holder and a determination by the department that the applicant is in compliance with all provisions of sections 260.350 to 260.430 and all standards, rules and regulations, orders and license terms and conditions adopted or issued pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430.
- 6. A license is not required for the transport of any hazardous waste on the premises where it is generated or onto contiguous property owned by the generator thereof, or for those persons exempted in section 260.380. Nothing in this subsection shall be interpreted to preclude the department from inspecting unlicensed hazardous waste transporting equipment and to require that it be adequate to provide protection for the health of humans and the environment.
- 7. After six months from the effective date of the standards, rules and regulations adopted by the commission pursuant to section 260.370, it shall be unlawful for any person to construct, substantially alter or operate, including operations specified in the rules and regulations, a hazardous waste facility without first obtaining a hazardous waste facility permit for such construction, alteration or operation from the department. Such person must submit to the department at least ninety days prior to submitting a permit application a letter of intent to construct, substantially alter or operate any hazardous waste disposal facility. The person must file an application within one hundred eighty days of the filing of a letter of intent unless granted an extension by the commission. The department shall publish such letter of intent as specified in

section 493.050 within ten days of receipt of such letter. The letter shall be published once each week for four weeks in the county where the hazardous waste disposal facility is proposed. Once such letter is submitted, all conditions for the permit application evaluation purposes in existence as of the date of submission shall be deemed frozen, in that no subsequent action by any person to change such conditions in an attempt to thwart a fair and impartial decision on the application for a permit shall be allowed as grounds for denial of the permit. Any person before constructing, substantially altering or operating a hazardous waste facility in this state shall file an application for a permit which shall:

- (1) Be submitted on a form provided for this purpose by the department and shall furnish the department with plans, specifications and such other data as may be necessary to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the department that such facility does or will provide adequate protection of the health of humans and the environment and does or will comply with the provisions of any federal hazardous waste management act and sections 260.350 to 260.430 and the standards, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430;
- (2) Include plans, designs, engineering reports and relevant data for construction, alteration or operation of a hazardous waste facility, to be submitted to the department by a registered professional engineer licensed by this state;
- (3) Include, as specified by rules and regulations, demonstration of financial responsibility, including, but not limited to, guarantees, liability insurance, posting of bond or any combination thereof, which shall be related to type and size of facility;
- (4) Include such environmental and geologic information, assessments and studies as required by the rules and regulations of the commission;
- (5) Include a fee payable to the state of Missouri which shall not exceed one thousand dollars, which shall cover the first year of the permit, if issued, but which is not refundable. If the permit is issued for more than one year, a fee equal in amount to the first year's fee shall be paid to the state of Missouri prior to issuance of the permit for each year the permit is to be in effect beyond the first year;
- (6) The department shall supervise any field work undertaken to collect geologic and engineering data for submission with the application. The state geologist and departmental engineers shall review the geologic and engineering plans, respectively, and attest to their accuracy and adequacy. The applicant shall pay all reasonable costs, as determined by the commission, incurred by the

161 department pursuant to this subsection.

- 8. (1) Prior to issuing or renewing a hazardous waste facility permit, the department shall issue public notice by press release or advertisement and shall notify all record owners of adjoining property by mail directed to the last known address, and the village, town or city, if any, and the county in which the hazardous waste facility is located; and, upon request, shall hold a public hearing after public notice as required in this subsection at a location convenient to the area affected by the issuance of the permit.
- (2) Prior to issuing or renewing a hazardous waste disposal facility permit the department shall issue public notice by press release and advertisement and shall notify all record owners of property, within one mile of the outer boundaries of the site, by mail directed to the last known address; and shall hold a public hearing after public notice as required in this subsection at a location convenient to the area affected by the issuance of the permit.
- 9. If the department determines that the application conforms to the provisions of any federal hazardous waste management act and sections 260.350 to 260.430 and the standards, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430, it shall issue the hazardous waste facility permit, with such terms and conditions and require such testing and construction supervision as it deems necessary to protect the health of humans or the environment. The department shall act within one hundred and eighty days after receipt of the application. If the department denies the permit, it shall issue a report to the applicant stating the reason for denial of a permit.
- 10. A permit may be suspended or revoked whenever the department determines that the hazardous waste facility is, or has been, operated in violation of any provision of sections 260.350 to 260.430 or any standard, rule or regulation, order or permit term or condition adopted or issued pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430, poses a threat to the health of humans or the environment or is creating a public nuisance.
- 11. Whenever a permit is issued, renewed, denied, suspended or revoked by the department, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the [department] administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the decision, may appeal such decision [and shall be entitled to a hearing as provided in section 260.400] as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record,

197

198

199 revocation of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may 200 also consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence 201 on the record, whether the applicant or permit holder has 202 demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of noncompliance at other 203 204 locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future 205 acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the administrative hearing 206 207 commission may look to past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but 208 only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 209 noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of 210 themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood 211 of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not 212 be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 213 noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the 214 potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has 215 caused or has potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection 216 217 Agency as other than minor. If a hearing petitioner or the 218 administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of 219 noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the 220 operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 221 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 222 noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis 223 must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental 224 law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 225 226 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 227 facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 228 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission 229 may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 230 administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 231 232 administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 233 the commission on permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or

9

whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or

248

249

250251

252

253

254

255

256257

258

259

260261

234 revocation. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. 235236If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the 237238decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and 239 conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final 240 decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The 241 242commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial 243jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the hazardous waste 244facility is to be located or is located, shall have original jurisdiction. No 245 judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative 246 247 remedies are exhausted.

- 12. A permit shall be issued for a fixed term, which shall not exceed ten years in the case of any land disposal facility, storage facility, incinerator, or other treatment facility. Nothing in this subsection shall preclude the department from reviewing and modifying a permit at any time during its term. Review of any application for a permit renewal shall consider improvements in the state of control and measurement technology as well as changes in applicable regulations. Each permit issued pursuant to this section shall contain such terms and conditions as the department determines necessary to protect human health and the environment, and upon proper application by the holder and a determination by the department that the applicant is in compliance with all provisions of sections 260.350 to 260.430 and all standards, rules and regulations, orders and permit terms and conditions adopted or issued pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430.
 - 13. A hazardous waste facility permit is not required for:
- 262 (1) On-site storage of hazardous wastes where such storage is exempted 263 by the commission by rule or regulation; however, such storage must conform to 264 the provisions of any federal hazardous waste management act and sections 260.350 to 260.430 and the applicable standards, rules and regulations adopted 266 pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430 and any other applicable hazardous 267 materials storage and spill-prevention requirements provided by law;
- 268 (2) A publicly owned treatment works which has an operating permit 269 pursuant to section 644.051 and is in compliance with that permit;

274

275

276

277

278

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

- 270 (3) A resource recovery facility which the department certifies uses 271 hazardous waste as a supplement to, or substitute for, nonwaste material, and 272 that the sole purpose of the facility is manufacture of a product rather than 273 treatment or disposal of hazardous wastes;
 - (4) That portion of a facility engaged in hazardous waste resource recovery, when the facility is engaged in both resource recovery and hazardous waste treatment or disposal, provided the owner or operator can demonstrate to the department's satisfaction and the department finds that such portion is not intended and is not used for hazardous waste treatment or disposal.
- 279 14. Facilities exempted pursuant to subsection 13 of this section must 280 comply with the provisions of subdivisions (3) to (7) of section 260.390 and such 281 other requirements, to be specified by rules and regulations, as are necessary to 282 comply with any federal hazardous waste management act or regulations 283 hereunder. Generators who use such an exempted facility shall keep records of 284 hazardous wastes transported, except by legal flow through sewer lines, to the 285 facility and submit such records to the department in accordance with the 286 provisions of section 260.380 and the standards, rules and regulations adopted 287 pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430. Any person, before constructing, 288 altering or operating a resource recovery facility in this state shall file an 289 application for a certification. Such application shall include:
 - (1) Plans, designs, engineering reports and other relevant information as specified by rule that demonstrate that the facility is designed and will operate in a manner protective of human health and the environment; and
 - (2) An application fee of not more than five hundred dollars for a facility that recovers waste generated at the same facility or an application fee of not more than one thousand dollars for a facility that recovers waste generated at off-site sources. Such fees shall be deposited in the hazardous waste fund created in section 260.391. The department shall review such application for conformance with applicable laws, rules and standard engineering principles and practices. The applicant shall pay to the department all reasonable costs, as determined by the commission, incurred by the department pursuant to this subsection. All such funds shall be deposited in the hazardous waste fund created in section 260.391.
- 303 15. The owner or operator of any hazardous waste facility in existence on 304 September 28, 1977, who has achieved federal interim status pursuant to 42 305 U.S.C. 6925(e), and who has submitted to the department Part A of the federal

327

328

329

330

331332

333

334 335

336

337

338

339

340

341

306 facility permit application, may continue to receive and manage hazardous wastes 307 in the manner as specified in the Part A application, and in accordance with federal interim status requirements, until completion of the administrative 308 309 disposition of a permit application submitted pursuant to sections 260.350 to 310 260.430. The department may at any time require submission of, or the owner or operator may at any time voluntarily submit, a complete application for a 311 312 permit pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430 and commission regulations. The 313 authority to operate pursuant to this subsection shall cease one hundred eighty 314 days after the department has notified an owner or operator that an application for permit pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430 must be submitted, unless 315 316 within such time the owner or operator submits a completed application 317 therefor. Upon submission of a complete application, the authority to operate 318 pursuant to this subsection shall continue for such reasonable time as is required to complete the administrative disposition of the permit application. If a facility 319 320 loses its federal interim status, or the Environmental Protection Agency requires the owner or operator to submit Part B of the federal application, the department 321 322 shall notify the owner or operator that an application for a permit must be 323 submitted pursuant to this subsection. In addition to compliance with the federal 324 interim status requirements, the commission shall have the authority to adopt 325 regulations requiring persons operating pursuant to this subsection to meet 326 additional state interim status requirements.

16. No person, otherwise qualified pursuant to sections 260.350 to 260.430 for a license to transport hazardous wastes or for a permit to construct, substantially alter or operate a hazardous waste facility, shall be denied such license or permit on the basis of a lack of need for such transport service or such facility because of the existence of other services or facilities capable of meeting that need; except that permits for hazardous waste facilities may be denied on determination made by the department that the financial resources of the persons applying are such that the continued operation of the sites in accordance with sections 260.350 to 260.430 cannot be reasonably assured or on determination made by the department that the probable volume of business is insufficient to ensure and maintain the solvency of then existing permitted hazardous waste facilities.

17. All hazardous waste landfills constructed after October 31, 1980, shall have a leachate collection system. The rules and regulations of the commission shall treat and protect all aquifers to the same level of protection. The provisions

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

of this subsection shall not apply to the disposal of tailings and slag resulting from mining, milling and primary smelting operations.

- 18. Any railroad corporation as defined in section 388.010 that transports any hazardous waste as defined in section 260.360 or any hazardous substance as defined in section 260.500 shall pay an annual fee of three hundred fifty dollars. Fees collected pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited in the hazardous waste fund created in section 260.391.
 - 444.600. 1. All applications for a permit shall be filed with the director who shall promptly investigate the application and make a [recommendation to 3 the commission decision within thirty days after the application is received as to whether the permit should be issued or denied. If the director is not satisfied with the information supplied by the applicant, he or she shall recommend denial of the permit. The director shall promptly notify the applicant of this action and at the same time publish a notice of the [recommendation] decision in any newspaper with general circulation in the counties where the land is located, and shall send notice to those persons registered with the director 10 pursuant to section 444.720. The director's decision shall be deemed to be the decision of the director of the department of natural resources and 11 shall be subject to appeal to the administrative hearing commission as 12 provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. 13
 - 2. [If the recommendation of the director is to deny the permit, a hearing as provided in sections 444.500 to 444.755 shall be held by the commission if requested by the applicant within thirty days of the date of notice of the recommendation of the director.
 - 3. If the recommendation of the director is for issuance of the permit, the commission may issue or deny the permit without a hearing provided the matter is passed upon at a public meeting no sooner than thirty days from the date of notice of the recommendation of the director, except that upon petition of any person aggrieved by the granting of the permit, a hearing shall be held as provided in section 444.680.
- 4. If the commission denies a permit, the applicant may petition the commission, within thirty days of notice of its action, for a hearing. If no petition is filed within the thirty day period, the decision of the commission is final and the applicant shall have no right of court review.
- 5. In any hearing held pursuant to this section the burden of proof shall be on the applicant for a permit. Any decision of the commission made pursuant

to a hearing held under this section is subject to judicial review as provided in 30 31 section 444.700.] Whenever a strip mine operator permit provided under section 444.540 is issued, renewed, denied, suspended, or revoked by 33 the department of natural resources, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of 34 the decision, may appeal such decision as provided by sections 621.250 35 36 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial 37 scientific evidence on the record, whether an interested party's health, 38 39 safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation of the permit. The administrative 40 hearing commission may also consider, based on competent and 41 substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the operator has 42demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the 43 44 date of the permit application, a pattern of noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future 45 acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a reasonable likelihood 46 47 of noncompliance will exist in the future, the administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but 48 49 only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 50 noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood 51 52of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not 53 be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the 54potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has 55 caused or has potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly 56 committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection 57 Agency as other than minor. If a hearing petitioner or the 58 administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of 59 noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the 60 operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 61 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 62 noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 66

67 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 71administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 72administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 73the commission on permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or 74 revocation. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the 78decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it 79 shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and 80 conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final 82 decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to 83 chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial 84 jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the mine is located or 85 86 is to be located shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are 87 exhausted. 88

444.773. 1. All applications for a permit shall be filed with the director, who shall promptly investigate the application and make a decision within six weeks after completion of the process provided in subsection 10 of section 444.772 to issue or deny the permit. If the director determines that the application has not fully complied with the provisions of section 444.772 or any rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to that section, the director may seek additional information from the applicant before making a decision to issue or deny the permit. The director shall consider any public comments when making the decision to issue or deny the permit. In issuing a permit, the director may impose reasonable conditions consistent with the provisions of sections 444.760 to 444.790.

12 [2.] The director's decision shall be deemed to be the decision of the 13 director of the department of natural resources and shall be subject to appeal to 14 the administrative hearing commission as provided by sections 640.013 and

15 621.250.

16 [3.] 2. Whenever a surface mining operation permit provided under section 444.772 is issued, renewed, denied, suspended, or revoked 17 18 by the department of natural resources, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the administrative hearing commission within thirty 19 20 days of the decision, may appeal such decision as provided by sections 21 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing 22 commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence 23 on the record, whether an interested party's health, safety or livelihood will be 24 unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation 25 of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, based 26 on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the 27operator has demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the 28 date of the permit application, a pattern of noncompliance at other locations in 29 Missouri that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the 30 future, the administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of 31 32 noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable 33 likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable 34 likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not 35 be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 36 37 noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has potential to 38 39 cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States 40 Environmental Protection Agency as other than minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of 41 42 noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in noncompliance in the 43 44 future, such a showing will satisfy the noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple 45 46 noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted 47 in harm to the environment or impaired the health, safety or livelihood of persons 48 49 outside the facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 50 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may

56

57

5859

60

61

62

63

64

65

66 67

review the record of noncompliance in any state where the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to the commission on permit issuance [or], renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation.

[4.] The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for permit issuance [or], renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the mine is located or is to be located shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

444.980. Whenever a surface coal mining operation permit provided under section 444.815 or a coal exploration operation permit 3 provided under section 444.845 is issued, renewed, denied, suspended, or revoked by the department of natural resources, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the decision, may appeal such decision as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether 10 an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation of 12the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, 13 based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the operator has demonstrated, during the five-year period 14 immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of 15 noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a 17 reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the 18 administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of 19

20 noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a 21reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts 22of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 23noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis 24to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance 25 unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a 26 27 risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined 28 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 29 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing 30 commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a 31 reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of 32associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 33 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 34 35 noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental 36 law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at 37 any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 38 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 39 facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 40 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the 4243 applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 44 administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 45 the commission on permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or 46 revocation. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the 47 appeal, for permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. 48 If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made 49 by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the 50 decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it 51 shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and 52conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The 55 commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial 56

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the mine is located or is to be located shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

621.250. 1. All authority to hear contested case administrative appeals granted in chapters 236, 256, 260, 444, 640, 643, and 644, and to the hazardous waste management commission in chapter 260, the [land reclamation] Missouri 3 mining commission in chapter 444, the safe drinking water commission in chapter 640, the air conservation commission in chapter 643, and the clean water 5 commission in chapter 644 shall be transferred to the administrative hearing 6 commission under this chapter. The authority to render final decisions after hearing on appeals heard by the administrative hearing commission shall remain with the commissions listed in this subsection. For appeals pursuant to chapter 10 236, chapter 256, section 260.235, or section 260.249, the administrative hearing commission shall render a final decision rather than a recommended 11 12 decision. The administrative hearing commission may render its recommended or final decision after hearing or through stipulation, consent order, agreed 13 settlement or by disposition in the nature of default judgment, judgment on the 14 pleadings, or summary determination, consistent with the requirements of this 15 subsection and the rules and procedures of the administrative hearing 16 17 commission.

2. Except as otherwise provided by law, any person or entity who is a party to, or who is aggrieved or adversely affected by, any finding, order, decision, or assessment for which the authority to hear appeals was transferred to the administrative hearing commission in subsection 1 of this section may file a notice of appeal with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days after any such finding, order, decision, or assessment is placed in the United States mail or within thirty days of any such finding, order, decision, or assessment being delivered, whichever is earlier. Within ninety days after the date on which the notice of appeal is filed the administrative hearing commission may hold hearings, and within one hundred twenty days after the date on which the notice of appeal is filed shall make a recommended decision, or a final decision where applicable, in accordance with the requirements of this section and the rules and procedures of the administrative hearing commission; provided, however, that the dates by which the administrative hearing commission is required to hold hearings and make a recommended decision may be extended at

37

39

41

47

51

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65

33 the sole discretion of the permittee as either petitioner or intervenor in the 34 appeal.

- 35 3. Any decision by the director of the department of natural resources that may be appealed as provided in subsection 1 of this section shall contain a notice 36 of the right of appeal in substantially the following language: "If you were adversely affected by this decision, you may be entitled to pursue an appeal 38 before the administrative hearing commission. To appeal, you must file a petition 40 with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If 42 any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the 45 administrative hearing commission.". Within fifteen days after the 46 administrative hearing commission renders a recommended decision, it shall transmit the record and a transcript of the proceedings, together with the administrative hearing commission's recommended decision to the commission 48 49 having authority to issue a final decision. The final decision of the commission shall be issued within one hundred eighty days of the date the notice of appeal 50 in subsection 2 of this section is filed and shall be based only on the facts and evidence in the hearing record; provided, however, that the date by which the 5253 commission is required to issue a final decision may be extended at the sole 54 discretion of the permittee as either petitioner or intervenor in the appeal. The commission may adopt the recommended decision as its final decision. The 55 commission may change a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the 56 administrative hearing commission, or may vacate or modify the recommended decision issued by the administrative hearing commission, only if the commission states in writing the specific reason for a change made under this subsection.
 - 4. In the event the person filing the appeal prevails in any dispute under this section, interest shall be allowed upon any amount found to have been wrongfully collected or erroneously paid at the rate established by the director of the department of revenue under section 32.065.
- 5. Appropriations shall be made from the respective funds of the department of natural resources to cover the administrative hearing commission's 66 costs associated with these appeals.
- 67 6. In all matters heard by the administrative hearing commission under 68 this section, the burden of proof shall comply with section 640.012. The hearings

shall be conducted by the administrative hearing commission in accordance with the provisions of chapter 536 and its regulations promulgated thereunder.

- 7. No cause of action or appeal arising out of any finding, order, decision, 72 or assessment of any of the commissions listed in subsection 1 of this section shall 73 accrue in any court unless the party seeking to file such cause of action or appeal 74 shall have filed a notice of appeal and received a final decision in accordance with 75 the provisions of this section.
- 640.115. 1. Every municipal corporation, private corporation, company, partnership, federal establishment, state establishment or individual supplying 3 or authorized to supply drinking water to the public within the state shall file with the department of natural resources a certified copy of the plans and surveys of the waterworks with a description of the methods of purification, treatment technology and source from which the supply of water is derived, and no source of supply shall be used without a written permit of approval issued to the continuing operating authority by the department of natural resources, or water dispensed to the public without first obtaining such written permit of 10 approval. Prior to a change of permittee, the current permittee shall notify the department of the proposed change and the department shall perform a permit 11 12 review.
- 2. Construction, extension or alteration of a public water system shall be in accordance with the rules and regulations of the safe drinking water commission.
- 16 3. Permit applicants shall show, as part of their application, that a permanent organization exists which will serve as the continuing operating 1718 authority for the management, operation, replacement, maintenance and modernization of the facility. Such continuing operating authority for all 19 community water systems and nontransient, noncommunity water systems 20 commencing operation after October 1, 1999, shall be required to have and 2122 maintain the managerial, technical and financial capacity, as determined by the 23 department, to comply with sections 640.100 to 640.140.
- 4. Any community water system or nontransient, noncommunity water system against which an administrative order has been issued for significant noncompliance with the federal Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended, sections 640.100 to 640.140 or any rule or regulation promulgated thereunder shall be required to show that a permanent organization exists that serves as the continuing operating authority for the facility and that such continuing operating

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

54 55

56

57

58

59 60

61

63

65

66

authority has the managerial, technical and financial capacity to comply with 30 31 sections 640.100 to 640.140 and regulations promulgated thereunder. If the 32 water system cannot show to the department's satisfaction that such continuing 33 operating authority exists, or if the water system is not making substantial progress toward compliance, the water system's permit may be revoked. The 34 continuing operating authority may [reapply for a permit in accordance with rules 35 36 promulgated by the commission appeal such decision to the administrative 37 hearing commission as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013.

5. Whenever a permit is issued, renewed, denied, suspended, or revoked by the department, any aggrieved person, by petition filed with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the decision, may appeal such decision as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the applicant or permittee has demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of noncompliance that suggests a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection 64 Agency as other than minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the

operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at 71any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 7374facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 75 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the 76 77 applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 78administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 79 80 the commission on permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the 82 appeal, for permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made 83 by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the 84 decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it 85 86 shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final 87 decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The 89 commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to 90 chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial 91 jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the waterworks is 92 located, or is to be located, shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies 93 are exhausted. 94

643.075. 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to commence construction of any air contaminant source in this state, without a permit [therefor], if such source is of a class fixed by regulation of the commission which requires a permit [therefor].

2. Every source required to obtain a construction permit shall make application [therefor] to the department [and shall submit therewith] that includes such plans and specifications as prescribed by rule. The director shall promptly investigate each application, and if he or she determines that the source meets and will meet the requirements of sections 643.010 to 643.190 and

the rules promulgated pursuant thereto, he or she shall issue a construction permit with such conditions as he deems necessary to ensure that the source will meet the requirements of sections 643.010 to 643.190 and the rules. An application submitted for the construction or modification and operation of any regulated air contaminant source shall receive a unified construction and operating permit review process under section 643.078, unless the applicant requests in writing that the construction and operating permits be reviewed separately. If the director determines that the source does not meet or will not meet the requirements of sections 643.010 to 643.190 and the rules promulgated pursuant thereto, he **or she** shall deny the construction permit.

- 3. Before issuing a construction permit to build or modify an air contaminant source the director shall determine if the ambient air quality standards in the vicinity of the source are being exceeded and shall determine the impact on the ambient air quality standards from the source. The director, in order to effectuate the purposes of sections 643.010 to 643.190, may deny a construction permit if the source will appreciably affect the air quality or the air quality standards are being substantially exceeded.
- 4. The director may require the applicant as a condition to the issuance of the construction permit to provide and maintain such facilities or to conduct such tests as are necessary to determine the nature, extent, quantity or degree of air contaminants discharged into the ambient air from the proposed source.
- 5. The director shall act within thirty days after a request for approval of an application for a construction permit. The director shall render a decision to approve or deny a construction permit within ninety days of receipt of a complete application for a class B source and within one hundred eighty-four days of receipt of a complete application for a class A source. The director shall promptly notify the applicant in writing of his action and if the construction permit is denied state the reasons [therefor] for such denial.
- 6. As provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013, any aggrieved person may appeal any permit decision made under this section, including failure to render a decision within the time period established in this section. A notice of appeal shall be filed with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the director's action or within thirty days from the date by which the decision should have been rendered if the director has failed to act. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the

record, whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or 47 revocation of the permit, or any condition of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, based on 49 competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether 50 the applicant or permit holder has demonstrated, during the five-year 51 period immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a 52 pattern of noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests 53 a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In 54 determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will 55 exist in the future, the administrative hearing commission may look to 56 past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they 57 suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such 58 past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an 59 insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance 62 unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a 63 risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has 64 potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined 66 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 67 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing 68 commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 71noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at 74 any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 77facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission 78may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 80 administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 81 administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 82

83

85

86

87

88

89

9091

9293

94

9596

9798

99

100

101

102

103

104105

the commission on permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation, or any condition of the permit. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for permit issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, or revocation, or any condition of the permit. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the air contaminant source is located or is to be located, shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

- 7. (1) There shall be a one hundred-dollar filing fee payable to the state of Missouri with each application before a construction permit shall be issued. No manufacturing or processing plant or operating location or other air contaminant source shall be required to pay more than one filing fee with a construction permit application. The provisions of this section shall not apply nor require the issuance of a permit wherein the proposed construction is that of a private residence.
- 106 (2) Upon completion of the department's evaluation of the application, but 107 before receiving a construction permit, the applicant shall reimburse the department for all reasonable costs incurred by the department whether or not 108 109 a construction permit is issued by the department or withdrawn by the applicant. 110 If the department fails to approve or deny a construction permit within the time period specified in this section, the applicant shall not be required to reimburse 111 the department for the review of the construction permit application. The 112 113 commission shall, by rule, set the hourly charge, not to exceed the actual cost 114 thereof and not to exceed fifty dollars per hour, for review of each construction 115 permit application. The commission may exempt any person from payment of the hourly fees under this subdivision, or may reduce such fees, upon an appeal filed 116 with the commission by such person stating that the fee will create an 117unreasonable economic hardship upon such person. The commission may conduct 118

a closed meeting and have closed records, as defined in section 610.010, for the purpose of gathering information from the person filing an appeal for the exemption. Information obtained in this meeting may be held confidential by the commission upon the request of the person filing the appeal for exemption. If the fees or any portion of the fees imposed by this section are not paid within ninety days from the date of billing there shall be imposed interest upon the unpaid amount at the rate of ten percent per annum from the date of billing until payment is actually made. A construction permit application for a portable facility may include any site at which the portable facility is expected to be used; however, a separate site permit application shall be required when the portable facility is used or expected to be used at any site which is not included in a previously approved construction permit application. Upon receipt of the application, the applicant shall be notified by the department of hourly fees and requirements put forth in this subdivision.

- (3) Applicants who withdraw their application before the department completes its evaluation shall reimburse the department for costs incurred in the evaluation.
- (4) All moneys received pursuant to this section and section 643.073 and any other moneys so designated shall be placed in the state treasury and credited to the natural resources protection fund air pollution permit fee subaccount, created in section 640.220, and shall be expended for the administration of this section and sections 643.073 and 643.078 and for no other purpose, and shall be used to supplement state general revenue and federal funds appropriated to the department. After appropriation, the moneys received pursuant to this section and in such fund subaccount shall be expended for the administration of this section and for no other purpose. Any unexpended balance in such fund subaccount at the end of any appropriation period shall not be transferred to the general revenue fund of the state treasury and shall be exempt from the provisions of section 33.080. Any interest received on such deposits shall be credited to the fund subaccount.
- 8. Any person who obtains a valid permit from a city or county pursuant to the authority granted in section 643.140 shall be deemed to have met the requirements of this section and shall not be liable to the department for construction permit fees imposed pursuant to subsection 7 of this section.
- 643.078. 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate any regulated air contaminant source after August 28, 1992, without an operating permit except

1819

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

- 3 as otherwise provided in sections 643.010 to 643.190.
- 2. At the option of the permit applicant, a single operating permit shall be issued for a facility having multiple air contaminant sources located on one or more contiguous tracts of land, excluding public roads, highways and railroads, under the control of or owned by the permit holder and operated as a single enterprise.
- 9 3. Any person who wishes to construct or modify and operate any regulated air contaminant source shall submit an application to the department 10 for the unified review of a construction permit application under section 643.075 11 12 and an operating permit application under this section, unless the applicant 13 requests in writing that the construction and operating permit applications be reviewed separately. The director shall complete any unified review within one 15 hundred and eighty days of receipt of the request for a class B source. For a class A source, the unified review shall be completed within the time period established 16 17 in section 502 of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661.
 - 4. As soon as the review process is completed for the construction and operating permits and, if the applicant complies with all applicable requirements of sections 643.010 to 643.190 and all rules adopted thereunder, the construction permit shall be issued to the applicant. The operating permit shall be retained by the department until validated.
 - 5. Within one hundred and eighty days of commencing operations, the holder of a construction permit shall submit to the director such information as is necessary to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of sections 643.010 to 643.190 and the terms and conditions of the construction permit. The operating permit retained by the department shall be validated and forwarded to the applicant if the applicant is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the construction permit and the terms and conditions of the operating permit. The holder of a construction permit may request a waiver of the one hundred and eighty day time period and the director may grant such request by mutual agreement.
 - 6. If the director determines that an air contaminant source does not meet the terms and conditions of the construction permit and that the operation of the source will result in emissions which exceed the limits established in the construction permit, he shall not validate the operating permit. If the source corrects the deficiency, the director shall then validate the operating permit. If the source is unable to correct the deficiency, then the director and the applicant

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

39 may, by mutual agreement, add such terms and conditions to the operating 40 permit which are deemed appropriate, so long as the emissions from the air contaminant source do not exceed the limits established in the construction 41 permit, and the director shall validate the operating permit. The director may 42 add terms and conditions to the operating permit which allow the source to 43 exceed the emission limits established in the construction permit. In such a case, 44 the director shall notify the affected public and the commission shall, upon 45 request by any affected person, hold a public hearing upon the revised operating 46 permit application. 47

- 7. Except as provided in subsection 8 of this section, an operating permit shall be valid for five years from the date of issuance or validation, whichever is later, unless otherwise revoked or terminated pursuant to sections 643.010 to 643.190.
- 8. An applicant for a construction permit for an air contaminant source with valid operating permit may request that the air contaminant source be issued a new five-year operating permit. The operating permit would be issued in the manner and under the conditions provided in sections 643.010 to 643.190 and would supersede any existing operating permit for the source.
- 57 9. The director shall take action within thirty days after a request for validation of the operating permit and shall render a decision within one hundred 58 59 twenty days of receipt of a request for issuance of an operating permit for a class 60 B source. The director shall render a decision within the time period established in section 502 of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661, for a 61 62 class A source. Any affected person may appeal any permit decision, including 63 failure to render a decision within the time period established in this section, to the administrative hearing commission as provided by subsection 16 of 64 this section, section 621.250, and section 640.013. 65
- 66 10. The director may suspend, revoke or modify an operating permit for 67 cause.
- 11. The director shall not approve an operating permit if he receives an objection to approval of the permit from the United States Environmental Protection Agency within the time period specified under Title V of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661, et seq.
- 12. The director shall enforce all applicable federal rules, standards and requirements issued under the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 74 7661, et seq., and shall incorporate such applicable standards and any limitations

established pursuant to Title III into operating permits as required under Title V of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661, et seq.

- 13. Applicable standards promulgated by the commission by rule shall be incorporated by the director into the operating permit of any air contaminant source which has, on the effective date of the rule, at least three years remaining before renewal of its operating permit. If less than three years remain before renewal of the source's operating permit, such applicable standards shall be incorporated into the permit unless the permit contains a shield from such new requirements consistent with Title V of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661, et seq.
- 14. The holder of a valid operating permit shall have operational flexibility to make changes to any air contaminant source, if the changes will not result in air contaminant emissions in excess of those established in the operating permit or result in the emissions of any air contaminant not previously emitted without obtaining a modification of the operating permit provided such changes are consistent with Section 502(b)(10) of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7661.
- 15. An air contaminant source with a valid operating permit which submits a complete application for a permit renewal at least six months prior to the expiration of the permit shall be deemed to have a valid operating permit until the director acts upon its permit application. The director shall promptly notify the applicant in writing of his action on the application and if the operating permit is not issued state the reasons therefor.
- 16. [The applicant] Any aggrieved person may appeal to the administrative hearing commission if [an] a construction, modification, or operating permit is [not] issued, renewed, denied, suspended, modified, or revoked by the department, or may appeal any condition[, suspension, modification or revocation] of any permit by filing [notice of appeal] a petition with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the notice of the director's response to the request for issuance of the construction, modification, or operating permit as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, modification, revocation, or any condition of the

111 permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, 112 113 whether the operator has demonstrated, during the five-year period immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of 114 noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable 115 likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a 116 reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the 117 administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of 118 119 noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts 120 121 of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 122 123 noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance 124 125 unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has 126 127 potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 128 129 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a 130 reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of 131 132 associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 133 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the 134 noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis 135 must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental 136 law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 137 138 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 139 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission 140 may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the 141 142 applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the 143 administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 144 145 the commission on the issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, modification, revocation, or any condition of the permit. The 146 commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for the 147

161

162

163

8

9

1011

148 issuance, renewal, denial, suspension, modification, revocation, or any condition of the permit. If the commission changes a finding of fact or 149 conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or 150modifies or vacates the decision recommended by the administrative 151 hearing commission, it shall issue its own decision, which shall include 152findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail 153 copies of its final decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel 154 of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review 155156 pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the air 157 158 contaminant source is located or is to be located shall have original 159 jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all 160 administrative remedies are exhausted.

17. Any person who obtains a valid operating permit from a city or county pursuant to the authority granted in section 643.140 shall be deemed to have met the requirements of this section.

644.051. 1. It is unlawful for any person:

- 2 (1) To cause pollution of any waters of the state or to place or cause or 3 permit to be placed any water contaminant in a location where it is reasonably 4 certain to cause pollution of any waters of the state;
- 5 (2) To discharge any water contaminants into any waters of the state 6 which reduce the quality of such waters below the water quality standards 7 established by the commission;
 - (3) To violate any pretreatment and toxic material control regulations, or to discharge any water contaminants into any waters of the state which exceed effluent regulations or permit provisions as established by the commission or required by any federal water pollution control act;
- 12 (4) To discharge any radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agent or 13 high-level radioactive waste into the waters of the state.
- 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate, use or maintain any water contaminant or point source in this state that is subject to standards, rules or regulations promulgated pursuant to the provisions of sections 644.006 to 644.141 unless such person holds an operating permit from the commission, subject to such exceptions as the commission may prescribe by rule or regulation. However, no operating permit shall be required of any person for any emission into publicly owned treatment facilities or into publicly owned sewer

- 21 systems tributary to publicly owned treatment works.
- 3. It shall be unlawful for any person to construct, build, replace or make
- 23 major modification to any point source or collection system that is principally
- 24 designed to convey or discharge human sewage to waters of the state, unless such
- 25 person obtains a construction permit from the commission, except as provided in
- 26 this section. The following activities shall be excluded from construction permit
- 27 requirements:
- 28 (1) Facilities greater than one million gallons per day that are authorized
- 29 through a local supervised program, and are not receiving any department
- 30 financial assistance;
- 31 (2) All sewer extensions or collection projects that are one thousand feet
- 32 in length or less with fewer than two lift stations;
- 33 (3) All sewer collection projects that are authorized through a local
- 34 supervised program; and
- 35 (4) Any other exclusions the commission may promulgate by rule.
- 36 A construction permit may be required by the department in the following
- 37 circumstances:
- 38 (a) Substantial deviation from the commission's design standards;
- 39 (b) To address noncompliance;
- 40 (c) When an unauthorized discharge has occurred or has the potential to
- 41 occur; or
- 42 (d) To correct a violation of water quality standards.
- 43 In addition, any point source that proposes to construct an earthen storage
- 44 structure to hold, convey, contain, store or treat domestic, agricultural, or
- 45 industrial process wastewater also shall be subject to the construction permit
- 46 provisions of this subsection. All other construction-related activities at point
- 47 sources shall be exempt from the construction permit requirements. All activities
- 48 that are exempted from the construction permit requirement are subject to the
- 49 following conditions:
- a. Any point source system designed to hold, convey, contain, store or
- 51 treat domestic, agricultural or industrial process wastewater shall be designed
- 52 by a professional engineer registered in Missouri in accordance with the
- 53 commission's design rules;
- b. Such point source system shall be constructed in accordance with the
- 55 registered professional engineer's design and plans; and
- 56 c. Such point source system may receive a post-construction site

57 inspection by the department prior to receiving operating permit approval. A site

- 58 inspection may be performed by the department, upon receipt of a complete
- 59 operating permit application or submission of an engineer's statement of work
- 60 complete.

66

68

69

7071

72

73

74

75

7677

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90

91

92

- 61 A governmental unit may apply to the department for authorization to operate
- 62 a local supervised program, and the department may authorize such a program.
- 63 A local supervised program would recognize the governmental unit's engineering
- 64 capacity and ability to conduct engineering work, supervise construction and
- 65 maintain compliance with relevant operating permit requirements.
 - 4. Before issuing any permit required by this section, the director shall issue such notices, conduct such hearings, and consider such factors, comments and recommendations as required by sections 644.006 to 644.141 or any federal water pollution control act. The director shall determine if any state or any provisions of any federal water pollution control act the state is required to enforce, any state or federal effluent limitations or regulations, water quality-related effluent limitations, national standards of performance, toxic and pretreatment standards, or water quality standards which apply to the source, or any such standards in the vicinity of the source, are being exceeded, and shall determine the impact on such water quality standards from the source. The director, in order to effectuate the purposes of sections 644.006 to 644.141, shall deny a permit if the source will violate any such acts, regulations, limitations or standards or will appreciably affect the water quality standards or the water quality standards are being substantially exceeded, unless the permit is issued with such conditions as to make the source comply with such requirements within an acceptable time schedule.
 - 5. The director shall grant or deny the permit within sixty days after all requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act concerning issuance of permits have been satisfied unless the application does not require any permit pursuant to any federal water pollution control act. The director or the commission may require the applicant to provide and maintain such facilities or to conduct such tests and monitor effluents as necessary to determine the nature, extent, quantity or degree of water contaminant discharged or released from the source, establish and maintain records and make reports regarding such determination.
 - 6. The director shall promptly notify the applicant in writing of his or her action and if the permit is denied state the reasons [therefor] for such denial.

93 As provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013, the applicant may appeal to 94 the administrative hearing commission from the denial of a permit or from any 95 condition in any permit by filing [notice of appeal] a petition with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days of the notice of denial 96 or issuance of the permit. After a final action is taken on a new or reissued 97 general permit, a potential applicant for the general permit who can demonstrate 98 that he or she is or may be adversely affected by any permit term or condition 99 100 may appeal the terms and conditions of the general permit within thirty days of 101 the department's issuance of the general permit. In no event shall a permit 102 constitute permission to violate the law or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant thereto. For purposes of an appeal, the 103 104 administrative hearing commission may consider, based on competent 105 and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether an interested 106 party's health, safety, or livelihood will be unduly impaired by the 107 issuance, denial, or from any condition of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, based on 108 109 competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the applicant or permit holder has demonstrated, during the five-year 110 111 period immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests 112 a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In 113 determining whether a reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will 114 exist in the future, the administrative hearing commission may look to 115 116 past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they 117 suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an 118 119 insufficient basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis 120 121 to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a 122 123 risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has 124 potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 125 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing 126 127 commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a 128 reasonable likelihood that the license seeker or the operations of 129 associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in

130

131132

133134

135

136137

138

139

140

141142

143

144

145146

147

148

149

150151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158159

160

165

166

noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment or impaired the health, safety or livelihood of persons outside the facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to the commission on permit issuance, denial, or any condition of the permit. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the appeal, for permit issuance, denial, or any condition of the permit. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it shall issue its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, except that the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction coextensive with the county where the point source is to be located, shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

- 7. In any hearing held pursuant to this section that involves a permit, license, or registration, the burden of proof is on the party specified in section 640.012. Any decision of the commission made pursuant to a hearing held pursuant to this section is subject to judicial review as provided in section 644.071.
- 8. In any event, no permit issued pursuant to this section shall be issued if properly objected to by the federal government or any agency authorized to object pursuant to any federal water pollution control act unless the application does not require any permit pursuant to any federal water pollution control act.
 - 9. Permits may be modified, reissued, or terminated at the request of the permittee. All requests shall be in writing and shall contain facts or reasons

167 supporting the request.

- 10. No manufacturing or processing plant or operating location shall be required to pay more than one operating fee. Operating permits shall be issued for a period not to exceed five years after date of issuance, except that general permits shall be issued for a five-year period, and also except that neither a construction nor an annual permit shall be required for a single residence's waste treatment facilities. Applications for renewal of a site-specific operating permit shall be filed at least one hundred eighty days prior to the expiration of the existing permit. Applications seeking to renew coverage under a general permit shall be submitted at least thirty days prior to the expiration of the general permit, unless the permittee has been notified by the director that an earlier application must be made. General permits may be applied for and issued electronically once made available by the director.
- 11. Every permit issued to municipal or any publicly owned treatment works or facility shall require the permittee to provide the clean water commission with adequate notice of any substantial new introductions of water contaminants or pollutants into such works or facility from any source for which such notice is required by sections 644.006 to 644.141 or any federal water pollution control act. Such permit shall also require the permittee to notify the clean water commission of any substantial change in volume or character of water contaminants or pollutants being introduced into its treatment works or facility by a source which was introducing water contaminants or pollutants into its works at the time of issuance of the permit. Notice must describe the quality and quantity of effluent being introduced or to be introduced into such works or facility by a source which was introducing water contaminants or pollutants into its works at the time of issuance of the permit. Notice must describe the quality and quantity of effluent being introduced or to be introduced into such works or facility and the anticipated impact of such introduction on the quality or quantity of effluent to be released from such works or facility into waters of the state.
- 12. The director or the commission may require the filing or posting of a bond as a condition for the issuance of permits for construction of temporary or future water treatment facilities or facilities that utilize innovative technology for wastewater treatment in an amount determined by the commission to be sufficient to ensure compliance with all provisions of sections 644.006 to 644.141, and any rules or regulations of the commission and any condition as to such construction in the permit. For the purposes of this section, "innovative

213

214

215

216

217

218219

220

221222

223

224225

226

227

228

229

230231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

203 technology for wastewater treatment" shall mean a completely new and generally 204 unproven technology in the type or method of its application that bench testing or theory suggest has environmental, efficiency, and cost benefits beyond the 205 206 standard technologies. No bond shall be required for designs approved by any 207 federal agency or environmental regulatory agency of another state. The bond 208 shall be signed by the applicant as principal, and by a corporate surety licensed 209 to do business in the state of Missouri and approved by the commission. The 210 bond shall remain in effect until the terms and conditions of the permit are met 211 and the provisions of sections 644.006 to 644.141 and rules and regulations 212 promulgated pursuant thereto are complied with.

- 13. (1) The department shall issue or deny applications for construction and site-specific operating permits received after January 1, 2001, within one hundred eighty days of the department's receipt of an application. For general construction and operating permit applications received after January 1, 2001, that do not require a public participation process, the department shall issue or deny the permits within sixty days of the department's receipt of an application. For an application seeking coverage under a renewed general permit that does not require an individual public participation process, the director shall issue or deny the permit within sixty days of the director's receipt of the application, or upon issuance of the general permit, whichever is later. In regard to an application seeking coverage under an initial general permit that does not require an individual public participation process, the director shall issue or deny the permit within sixty days of the department's receipt of the application. For an application seeking coverage under a renewed general permit that requires an individual public participation process, the director shall issue or deny the permit within ninety days of the director's receipt of the application, or upon issuance of the general permit, whichever is later. In regard to an application for an initial general permit that requires an individual public participation process, the director shall issue or deny the permit within ninety days of the director's receipt of the application.
- (2) If the department fails to issue or deny with good cause a construction or operating permit application within the time frames established in subdivision (1) of this subsection, the department shall refund the full amount of the initial application fee within forty-five days of failure to meet the established time frame. If the department fails to refund the application fee within forty-five days, the refund amount shall accrue interest at a rate established pursuant to section

239 32.065.

240

241 242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266 267

269

270

271

272

273

274

- (3) Permit fee disputes may be appealed to the commission within thirty days of the date established in subdivision (2) of this subsection. If the applicant prevails in a permit fee dispute appealed to the commission, the commission may order the director to refund the applicant's permit fee plus interest and reasonable attorney's fees as provided in sections 536.085 and 536.087. A refund of the initial application or annual fee does not waive the applicant's responsibility to pay any annual fees due each year following issuance of a permit.
- (4) No later than December 31, 2001, the commission shall promulgate regulations defining shorter review time periods than the time frames established in subdivision (1) of this subsection, when appropriate, for different classes of construction and operating permits. In no case shall commission regulations adopt permit review times that exceed the time frames established in subdivision (1) of this subsection. The department's failure to comply with the commission's permit review time periods shall result in a refund of said permit fees as set forth in subdivision (2) of this subsection. On a semiannual basis, the department shall submit to the commission a report which describes the different classes of permits and reports on the number of days it took the department to issue each permit from the date of receipt of the application and show averages for each different class of permits.
- (5) During the department's technical review of the application, the department may request the applicant submit supplemental or additional information necessary for adequate permit review. The department's technical review letter shall contain a sufficient description of the type of additional information needed to comply with the application requirements.
- (6) Nothing in this subsection shall be interpreted to mean that inaction on a permit application shall be grounds to violate any provisions of sections 644.006 to 644.141 or any rules promulgated pursuant to sections 644.006 to 268 644.141.
 - 14. The department shall respond to all requests for individual certification under Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act within the lesser of sixty days or the allowed response period established pursuant to applicable federal regulations without request for an extension period unless such extension is determined by the commission to be necessary to evaluate significant impacts on water quality standards and the commission establishes a timetable for

completion of such evaluation in a period of no more than one hundred eighty days.

- 15. All permit fees generated pursuant to this chapter shall not be used for the development or expansion of total maximum daily loads studies on either the Missouri or Mississippi rivers.
- 16. The department shall implement permit shield provisions equivalent to the permit shield provisions implemented by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the Clean Water Act, Section 402(k), 33 U.S.C. Section 1342(k), and its implementing regulations, for permits issued pursuant to chapter 644.
 - 17. Prior to the development of a new general permit or reissuance of a general permit for aquaculture, land disturbance requiring a storm water permit, or reissuance of a general permit under which fifty or more permits were issued under a general permit during the immediately preceding five-year period for a designated category of water contaminant sources, the director shall implement a public participation process complying with the following minimum requirements:
 - (1) For a new general permit or reissuance of a general permit, a general permit template shall be developed for which comments shall be sought from permittees and other interested persons prior to issuance of the general permit;
 - (2) The director shall publish notice of his intent to issue a new general permit or reissue a general permit by posting notice on the department's website at least one hundred eighty days before the proposed effective date of the general permit;
 - (3) The director shall hold a public informational meeting to provide information on anticipated permit conditions and requirements and to receive informal comments from permittees and other interested persons. The director shall include notice of the public informational meeting with the notice of intent to issue a new general permit or reissue a general permit under subdivision (2) of this subsection. The notice of the public informational meeting, including the date, time and location, shall be posted on the department's website at least thirty days in advance of the public meeting. If the meeting is being held for reissuance of a general permit, notice shall also be made by electronic mail to all permittees holding the current general permit which is expiring. Notice to current permittees shall be made at least twenty days prior to the public meeting;
 - (4) The director shall hold a thirty-day public comment period to receive

316

317

318

319

320 321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

comments on the general permit template with the thirty-day comment period expiring at least sixty days prior to the effective date of the general permit. Scanned copies of the comments received during the public comment period shall be posted on the department's website within five business days after close of the public comment period;

- (5) A revised draft of a general permit template and the director's response to comments submitted during the public comment period shall be posted on the department's website at least forty-five days prior to issuance of the general permit. At least forty-five days prior to issuance of the general permit the department shall notify all persons who submitted comments to the department that these documents have been posted to the department's website;
- (6) Upon issuance of a new or renewed general permit, the general permit shall be posted to the department's website.
- 18. Notices required to be made by the department pursuant to subsection 17 of this section may be made by electronic mail. The department shall not be required to make notice to any permittee or other person who has not provided a current electronic mail address to the department. In the event the department chooses to make material modifications to the general permit before its expiration, the department shall follow the public participation process described in subsection 17 of this section.
- 331 19. The provisions of subsection 17 of this section shall become effective 332 beginning January 1, 2013.
 - 644.056. 1. The director shall cause investigations to be made upon the request of the commission or upon receipt of information concerning alleged violations of sections 644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, limitation, order, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant thereto, or any term or condition of any permit and may cause to be made any other investigations he or she deems advisable. Violations shall include obtaining a permit by misrepresentation or failure to fully disclose all relevant facts.
 - 8 2. If, in the opinion of the director, the investigation discloses that a 9 violation does exist, the director may, by conference, conciliation or persuasion, 10 endeavor to eliminate the violation.
- 3. In case of the failure by conference, conciliation or persuasion to correct or remedy any claimed violation, or as required to immediately and effectively halt or eliminate any imminent or substantial endangerments to the health or welfare of persons resulting from the discharge of pollutants, the director shall

order abatement or file an abatement complaint with the commission if no permit 15 has been issued, or in addition may file a complaint to revoke a permit if such permit has been issued]. When the director files a complaint, the commission 17 shall order a hearing. The director shall cause to have issued and served upon 18 the person complained against a written notice of the order or complaint, together 19 with a copy of the order or complaint, which shall specify the provision of sections 20 644.006 to 644.141 or the standard, rule, limitation, or regulation adopted 2122 pursuant thereto, or the condition of the permit of which the person is alleged to 23 be in violation, and a statement of the manner in which and the extent to which the person is alleged to violate sections 644.006 to 644.141 or the standard, rule, 24 25 limitation, or regulation, or condition of the permit. In any case involving a 26 complaint, the commission shall require the person complained against to answer 27the charges of the formal complaint at a hearing before the commission at a time 28 not less than thirty days after the date of notice. Service may be made upon any 29 person within or without the state by registered mail, return receipt requested. Any person against whom the director issues an order may appeal the 30 31 order to the commission within thirty days and the appeal shall stay the 32 enforcement of the order until final determination by the commission. The 33 commission shall set appeals for a hearing at a time not less than thirty days after the date of the request. The commission may sustain, reverse, or modify the 34 35 director's order or may make such other orders as the commission deems appropriate under the circumstances. If any order issued by the director is not 36 37 appealed within the time provided in this section, the order becomes final and 38 may be enforced as provided in section 644.076. When the commission schedules a matter for hearing, the petitioner on appeal or the 39 40 respondent to a formal complaint may appear at the hearing in person or by counsel, and may make oral argument, offer testimony and 41 42 evidence, and cross-examine witnesses. After due consideration of the 43 record, or upon default in appearance of the respondent on the return day specified in the notice given as provided in this subsection, the 44 commission shall issue and enter such final order, or make such final 45 46 determination as it deems appropriate under the circumstances, and it shall immediately notify the petitioner or respondent thereof in writing 47 by certified or registered mail. 48

4. In case of the failure by conference, conciliation, or persuasion to correct or remedy any claimed violation, or as required to

49

62

63

64

65

51 immediately and effectively halt or eliminate any imminent or substantial endangerments to the health or welfare of persons resulting 52 from the discharge of pollutants, the director may revoke a permit if such permit has been issued. Permits may be revoked, terminated, or 54 modified if obtained in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 or by 55 misrepresentation or failing to fully disclose all relevant facts, or when required 56 to prevent violations of any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141, or to protect 57 the waters of this state, when such action is required by a change in conditions 58 or the existence of a condition which requires either a temporary or permanent 59 60 reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge, subject to the right of appeal contained in [this section] sections 621.250 and 640.013. 61

- 5. [When the commission schedules a matter for hearing, the petitioner on appeal or the respondent to a formal complaint may appear at the hearing in person or by counsel, and may make oral argument, offer testimony and evidence, and cross-examine witnesses.
- 66 6. After due consideration of the record, or upon default in appearance of 67 the respondent on the return day specified in the notice given as provided in subsection 3, the commission shall issue and enter such final order, or make such 68 final determination as it deems appropriate under the circumstances, and it shall 69 immediately notify the petitioner or respondent thereof in writing by certified or 70 registered mail.] Whenever a permit under this chapter is revoked, terminated, or modified by the department of natural resources, any 72 aggrieved person, by petition filed with the administrative hearing 73 74commission within thirty days of the decision, may appeal such decision as provided by sections 621.250 and 640.013. For purposes of 7576 an appeal, the administrative hearing commission may consider, based 77 on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether an interested party's health, safety, or livelihood will be 78 79 unduly impaired by the revocation, termination, or modification of the permit. The administrative hearing commission may also consider, 80 81 based on competent and substantial scientific evidence on the record, whether the operator has demonstrated, during the five-year period 82 immediately preceding the date of the permit application, a pattern of 83 noncompliance at other locations in Missouri that suggests a reasonable 84 likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. In determining whether a 85 reasonable likelihood of noncompliance will exist in the future, the 86

87 administrative hearing commission may look to past acts of noncompliance in Missouri, but only to the extent they suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance. Such past acts 89 of noncompliance in Missouri, in and of themselves, are an insufficient 90 basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of 91 92 noncompliance. In addition, such past acts shall not be used as a basis to suggest a reasonable likelihood of future acts of noncompliance 93 unless the noncompliance has caused or has the potential to cause, a 9495 risk to human health or to the environment, or has caused or has potential to cause pollution, or was knowingly committed, or is defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as other than 9798 minor. If a hearing petitioner or the administrative hearing commission demonstrates either present acts of noncompliance or a 99 reasonable likelihood that the permit seeker or the operations of 100 associated persons or corporations in Missouri will be in 101 102 noncompliance in the future, such a showing will satisfy the noncompliance requirement in this subsection. In addition, such basis 103 must be developed by multiple noncompliances of any environmental 104 105 law administered by the Missouri department of natural resources at any single facility in Missouri that resulted in harm to the environment 106 or impaired the health, safety, or livelihood of persons outside the 107 108 facility. For any permit seeker that has not been in business in 109 Missouri for the past five years, the administrative hearing commission 110 may review the record of noncompliance in any state where the 111 applicant has conducted business during the past five years. Once the 112 administrative hearing commission has reviewed the appeal, the administrative hearing commission shall make a recommendation to 113 114 the commission on permit revocation, termination, modification. The commission shall issue its own decision, based on the 115 116 appeal, for permit revocation, termination, or modification. If the commission changes a finding of fact or conclusion of law made by the 117118 administrative hearing commission, or modifies or vacates the decision recommended by the administrative hearing commission, it shall issue 119 its own decision, which shall include findings of fact and conclusions 120 of law. The commission shall mail copies of its final decision to the 121 parties to the appeal or their counsel of record. The commission's 122 decision shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536, 123

124 except that the court of appeals district with territorial jurisdiction

125 coextensive with the county where the point source is located or is to

126 be located shall have original jurisdiction. No judicial review shall be

127 available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

/

Unofficial

Bill

Copy