

Journal of the Senate

SECOND REGULAR SESSION

FORTY-EIGHTH DAY—WEDNESDAY, APRIL 7, 2010

The Senate met pursuant to adjournment.

President Kinder in the Chair.

Reverend Carl Gauck offered the following prayer:

“A man's heart is right when he wills what God wills.” (Thomas Aquinas)

Our Father, You have blessed us with ideals that haunt us and noble desires which move us to do what You desire of us. Keep us from anything that keeps us from making the ideal into the real, from laziness that will not make an effort or idleness which loves to do nothing. Keep us O Lord from procrastination which puts things off until it is too late ever to do them and from a lack of perseverance which gives up too easily. So grant us a vision of Your Holy Will and to make that vision into the real. In Your Holy Name we pray. Amen.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was recited.

A quorum being established, the Senate proceeded with its business.

The Journal of the previous day was read and approved.

The following Senators were present during the day's proceedings:

Present—Senators

Barnitz	Bartle	Bray	Callahan	Champion	Clemens	Crowell	Cunningham
Days	Dempsey	Engler	Goodman	Green	Griesheimer	Justus	Keaveny
Lager	Lembke	Mayer	Nodler	Pearce	Purgason	Ridgeway	Rupp
Schaefer	Schmitt	Scott	Shields	Shoemyer	Stouffer	Vogel	Wilson

Wright-Jones—33

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senator McKenna—1

Vacancies—None

The Lieutenant Governor was present.

Senator Pearce assumed the Chair.

Senator Dempsey assumed the Chair.

RESOLUTIONS

Senator Pearce offered Senate Resolution No. 2061, regarding the Fifty-fifth Anniversary of South East Elementary School, Warrensburg R-VI School District, Johnson County, which was adopted.

Senator Barnitz offered Senate Resolution No. 2062, regarding Fairfield Inn, Saint Robert, which was adopted.

Senator Barnitz offered Senate Resolution No. 2063, regarding Comfort Inn, Saint Robert, which was adopted.

Senator Barnitz offered Senate Resolution No. 2064, regarding Candlewood Suites, Saint Robert, which was adopted.

Senator Barnitz offered Senate Resolution No. 2065, regarding Mainstay Hotel, Saint Robert, which was adopted.

Senator Barnitz offered Senate Resolution No. 2066, regarding Hampton Inn, Saint Robert, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2067, regarding Joseph William “Joe” Mausolf, St. Joseph, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2068, regarding Bree Yeates, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2069, regarding Jennifer Wilson, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2070, regarding Tracey Walter, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2071, regarding Katherine Thompson, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2072, regarding Cheryl Scott, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2073, regarding Kevin Rask, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2074, regarding Mary Laughlin, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2075, regarding Vickie Larkins, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2076, regarding Taralyn Garner, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2077, regarding Deidre Dreiling, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2078, regarding Terri Daly, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2079, regarding Megan Carson, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2080, regarding Connie Burk, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2081, regarding Amy Barr, which was adopted.

Senator Shields offered Senate Resolution No. 2082, regarding Natalie Barner, which was adopted.

Senator Shields requested unanimous consent of the Senate to suspend the rules for the purpose of introducing a bill, which request was granted.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

The following Joint Resolution was read the 1st time and ordered printed:

SJR 45—By Shields.

Joint Resolution submitting to the qualified voters of Missouri, an amendment repealing section 2(a) of article IX of the Constitution of Missouri, and adopting one new section in lieu thereof relating to the state board of education.

REFERRALS

President Pro Tem Shields referred the Gubernatorial appointments appearing on pages 768 through 770 of the Senate Journal for Tuesday, April 6, 2010, to the Committee on Gubernatorial Appointments.

President Pro Tem Shields referred **HCS** for **HJR 86**, with **SCS**, to the Committee on Governmental Accountability and Fiscal Oversight.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Senator Engler, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, submitted the following reports:

Mr. President: Your Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, to which were referred **SB 976**; **SB 971**; **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 884**; **SCS** for **SB 826**; **SCS** for **SB 808**; and **SCS** for **SB 622**, begs leave to report that it has examined the same and finds that the bills have been truly perfected and that the printed copies furnished the Senators are correct.

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

Senator Griesheimer moved that **SB 698**, with **SCS**, **SS** for **SCS** and **SA 1** (pending), be called from the Informal Calendar and again taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SA 1 was again taken up.

At the request of Senator Griesheimer, **SB 698**, with **SCS**, **SS** for **SCS** and **SA 1** (pending), was placed on the Informal Calendar.

Senator Pearce moved that **SB 734**, with **SCS** (pending), be called from the Informal Calendar and again taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SCS for **SB 734** was again taken up.

Senator Pearce offered **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 734**, entitled:

SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE BILL NO. 734

An Act to amend chapters 160 and 162, RSMo, by adding thereto four new sections relating to elementary and secondary education.

Senator Pearce moved that **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 734** be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Griesheimer assumed the Chair.

On motion of Senator Pearce, **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 734** was declared perfected and ordered printed.

PRIVILEGED MOTIONS

Senator Mayer moved that the Senate refuse to recede from its position on **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 2014**, as amended, and requests the House to take up and pass **SCS** for **HCS** for **HB 2014**, as amended, which motion prevailed.

On motion of Senator Engler, the Senate recessed until 3:00 p.m.

RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the Senate was called to order by Senator Pearce.

Senator Engler announced that photographers from the Star Journal were given permission to take pictures in the Senate Chamber today.

RESOLUTIONS

Senator Schaefer offered Senate Resolution No. 2083, regarding the Sixty-fifth Wedding Anniversary of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Nichols, Hartsburg, which was adopted.

Senator Schaefer offered Senate Resolution No. 2084, regarding Margaret Mason Bock, Ed.D., Ashland, which was adopted.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTIONS

Senators Schmitt, Green, Engler, Goodman, Rupp, McKenna, Schaefer, Lager and Callahan offered the following concurrent resolution:

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 56

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will shortly begin regulating greenhouse gas emissions under the federal Clean Air Act; and

WHEREAS, as a result of EPA's action, major new sources of electric generation will be mandated to obtain Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permits setting forth Best Available Control Technology requirements for greenhouse gases; and

WHEREAS, major uncertainty exists because trial technologies, such as carbon capture and sequestration or integrated gasification combined cycle power plants, which hold significant prospect to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, are still years away from being proven to be economically practicable or commercially available; and

WHEREAS, this uncertainty could paralyze the long-term planning and development of new electric generating units in the state at a time when the state faces a critical void in the coming years in the electric power needed to support economic recovery and growth; and

WHEREAS, highly efficient power technologies, such as super-critical and ultra super-critical coal-fired electric generating units, represent a significant advancement over earlier generation coal units in terms of efficient use of coal and in reductions of emissions, and are compatible with carbon capture and sequestration systems when they become commercially viable, which will lead to even further greenhouse gas reductions; and

WHEREAS, these super-critical technologies are already demonstrated to serve the dual purpose of reducing the overall emissions profile of the electricity generation unit while providing efficient, affordable, and available power today and into the future; and

WHEREAS, it is in the state's interest to support the use of these advanced and available technologies that take advantage of existing coal reserves to offer the state significant environmental and economic advantages, rather than delay development of critically needed baseload electricity supply or resort fully to less efficient or more expensive technologies:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the members of the Missouri Senate, Ninety-fifth General Assembly, Second Regular Session, the House of Representatives concurring therein, hereby urge the Department of Natural Resources, in issuing PSD permits for new

conventional coal-fueled electric generating units, and consistent with otherwise applicable law, to fully consider:

(1) The need to act expeditiously in accordance with the state's need to develop new electric generation; and

(2) The use of commercially available technologies that are designed to be as efficient as is economically practicable, including advanced super-critical pulverized coal, ultra super-critical pulverized coal, and that are designed to be carbon capture and sequestration-compatible, as potential Best Available Control Technology; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution does not amend any state law to which the Department of Natural Resources is subject in the PSD process, and shall be interpreted to be consistent with any requirements of such state or federal law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary of the Missouri Senate be instructed to prepare properly inscribed copies of this resolution for Governor Jay Nixon and the Director of the Department of Natural Resources.

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

Senator Keaveny moved that **SB 877** be called from the Informal Calendar and taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

Senator Lembke offered **SA 1**:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1

Amend Senate Bill No. 877, Page 4, Section 452.340, Line 112, by inserting immediately after “obligation.” the following: **“The guidelines shall require documentation to verify the income of the parties for the initial order of support and for any modification of such order. Such documentation may include, but not be limited to, current wage stubs, a current W-2 form, statements from the party's employer, a wage match with the division of employment security, and bank statements. Neither the court nor the family support division in an administrative proceeding shall impute income to a party when there is verified income documentation on the record as established under the provisions of this subsection.”**; and further amend line 115, by inserting immediately after the word “parents” the following: **“and as specified in subdivision (2) of subsection 11 of this section”**; and

Further amend said bill and section, page 5, line 153, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

“11. (1) Notwithstanding subsection 1 of this section, no child support shall be awarded in instances:

(a) Where both parents sign an agreement requesting the court not to award child support and to award them joint physical custody resulting in the child or children spending equal or substantially equal time with both parents;

(b) The difference in the verified incomes of the parents is less than twenty-five percent; and

(c) A finding has been made that such custody and award of no child support is in the best interest of the child.

(2) When parents do not agree on an award of no child support but meet all of the other requirements under subdivision (1) of this subsection, the court shall award child support in an amount that provides up to a fifty percent adjustment below the basic child support amount authorized by the child support guidelines described under subsection 8 of this section for custody awards of children spending equal or substantially equal time with both parents. The Missouri supreme court shall amend the child support guidelines, commonly referred to as “Form 14”, to reflect the ability to obtain up to a fifty percent adjustment for joint custody in accordance with this

section.”; and further amend said section by renumbering the remaining subsections accordingly; and

Further amend said bill and section, page 6, lines 196 and 198, by striking “11” as it appears on both of said lines and inserting in lieu thereof the following: “**12**”; and

Further amend said bill and section, page 7, line 201, by striking “11” and inserting in lieu thereof the following: “**12**”.

Senator Lembke moved that the above amendment be adopted.

Senator Justus offered **SA 1** to **SA 1**, which was read:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1

Amend Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill No. 877, Page 1, Section 452.340, Line 8 by striking “Neither”; and further amend same page and section lines 9-11 by striking said lines; and further amend same page and section line 12 by striking “provisions of this subsection.”

Senator Justus moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

SA 1, as amended, was again taken up.

Senator Lembke moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

On motion of Senator Keaveny, **SB 877**, as amended, was declared perfected and ordered printed.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Senator Engler, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, submitted the following report:

Mr. President: Your Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, to which was referred **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 734**, begs leave to report that it has examined the same and finds that the bill has been truly perfected and that the printed copies furnished the Senators are correct.

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

Senator Purgason moved that **SJR 29**, with **SCS** and **SS** for **SCS** (pending), be called from the Informal Calendar and again taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SS for **SCS** for **SJR 29** was again taken up.

At the request of Senator Purgason, **SS** for **SCS** for **SJR 29** was withdrawn.

Senator Purgason offered **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **SJR 29**, entitled:

SENATE SUBSTITUTE NO. 2 FOR
SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR
SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 29

Joint Resolution submitting to the qualified voters of Missouri, an amendment repealing section 4(d) of article X of the Constitution of Missouri, and adopting one new section in lieu thereof relating to the revenue-neutral replacement of state taxes on income with an amended sales and use tax.

Senator Purgason moved that **SS No. 2** for **SCS** for **SJR 29** be adopted.

Senator Dempsey assumed the Chair.

At the request of Senator Purgason, **SJR 29**, with **SCS** and **SS No. 2** for **SCS** (pending), was placed on the Informal Calendar.

Senator Bartle moved that **SB 815**, with **SCS** and **SA 1** (pending), be called from the Informal Calendar and again taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SA 1 was again taken up.

Senator Bray offered **SA 1** to **SA 1**:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1

Amend Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, Section 160.405, Line 3, by inserting immediately before “160.405” the following: “160.400. 1. A charter school is an independent public school.

2. Charter schools may be operated only in a metropolitan school district or in an urban school district containing most or all of a city with a population greater than three hundred fifty thousand inhabitants and may be sponsored by any of the following:

(1) The school board of the district;

(2) A public four-year college or university with its primary campus in the school district or in a county adjacent to the county in which the district is located, with an approved teacher education program that meets regional or national standards of accreditation;

(3) A community college located in the district; or

(4) Any private four-year college or university located in a city not within a county with an enrollment of at least one thousand students, and with an approved teacher preparation program.

3. The mayor of a city not within a county may request a sponsor under subdivision (2), (3), or (4) of subsection 2 of this section to consider sponsoring a “workplace charter school”, which is defined for purposes of sections 160.400 to 160.420 as a charter school with the ability to target prospective students whose parent or parents are employed in a business district, as defined in the charter, which is located in the city.

4. No sponsor shall receive from an applicant for a charter school any fee of any type for the consideration of a charter, nor may a sponsor condition its consideration of a charter on the promise of future payment of any kind.

5. The charter school shall be a Missouri nonprofit corporation incorporated pursuant to chapter 355, RSMo. The charter provided for herein shall constitute a contract between the sponsor and the charter school.

6. As a nonprofit corporation incorporated pursuant to chapter 355, RSMo, the charter school shall select the method for election of officers pursuant to section 355.326, RSMo, based on the class of corporation selected. Meetings of the governing board of the charter school shall be subject to the provisions of sections 610.010 to 610.030, RSMo, the open meetings law.

7. A sponsor of a charter school, its agents and employees are not liable for any acts or omissions of a charter school that it sponsors, including acts or omissions relating to the charter submitted by the charter school, the operation of the charter school and the performance of the charter school.

8. A charter school may affiliate with a four-year college or university, including a private college or university, or a community college as otherwise specified in subsection 2 of this section when its charter is granted by a sponsor other than such college, university or community college. Affiliation status recognizes a relationship between the charter school and the college or university for purposes of teacher training and staff development, curriculum and assessment development, use of physical facilities owned by or rented on behalf of the college or university, and other similar purposes. The primary campus of the college or university must be located within the county in which the school district lies wherein the charter school is located or in a county adjacent to the county in which the district is located. A university, college or community college may not charge or accept a fee for affiliation status.

9. The expenses associated with sponsorship of charter schools shall be defrayed by the department of elementary and secondary education retaining one and five-tenths percent of the amount of state and local funding allocated to the charter school under section 160.415, not to exceed one hundred twenty-five thousand dollars, adjusted for inflation. Such amount shall not be withheld when the sponsor is a school district or the state board of education. The department of elementary and secondary education shall remit the retained funds for each charter school to the school's sponsor, provided the sponsor remains in good standing by fulfilling its sponsorship obligations under sections 160.400 to 160.420 and 167.349, RSMo, with regard to each charter school it sponsors, including appropriate demonstration of the following:

(1) Expends no less than ninety percent of its charter school sponsorship funds in support of its charter school sponsorship program, or as a direct investment in the sponsored schools;

(2) Maintains a comprehensive application process that follows fair procedures and rigorous criteria and grants charters only to those developers who demonstrate strong capacity for establishing and operating a quality charter school;

(3) Negotiates contracts with charter schools that clearly articulate the rights and responsibilities of each party regarding school autonomy, expected outcomes, measures for evaluating success or failure, performance consequences, and other material terms;

(4) Conducts contract oversight that evaluates performance, monitors compliance, informs intervention and renewal decisions, and ensures autonomy provided under applicable law; and

(5) Designs and implements a transparent and rigorous process that uses comprehensive data to make merit-based renewal decisions.

10. No university, college or community college shall grant a charter to a nonprofit corporation if an employee of the university, college or community college is a member of the corporation's board of directors.

11. No sponsor shall grant a charter under sections 160.400 to 160.420 and 167.349, RSMo, without ensuring that a criminal background check and child abuse registry check are conducted for all members of the governing board of the charter schools or the incorporators of the charter school if initial directors are not named in the articles of incorporation, nor shall a sponsor renew a charter without ensuring a criminal background check and child abuse registry check are conducted for each member of the governing board of the charter school.

12. No member of the governing board of a charter school shall hold any office or employment from the board or the charter school while serving as a member, nor shall the member have any substantial interest, as defined in section 105.450, RSMo, in any entity employed by or contracting with the board. No board member shall be an employee of a company that provides substantial services to the charter school. All members of the governing board of the charter school shall be considered decision-making public servants as defined in section 105.450, RSMo, for the purposes of the financial disclosure requirements contained in sections 105.483, 105.485, 105.487, and 105.489, RSMo.

13. A sponsor shall provide timely submission to the state board of education of all data necessary to demonstrate that the sponsor is in material compliance with all requirements of sections 160.400 to 160.420 and 167.349, RSMo.

14. The state board of education shall ensure each sponsor is in compliance with all requirements under sections 160.400 to 160.420 and 167.349, RSMo, for each charter school sponsored by any sponsor. The state board shall notify each sponsor of the standards for sponsorship of charter schools, delineating both what is mandated by statute and what best practices dictate. **The state board shall establish standards for sponsors to conduct annual Missouri school improvement plan assessments of each sponsored charter school. The standards shall include an evaluation of: curriculum and instruction, facilities, health and safety, educational programs, and compliance with state performance standards; adequacy of the sponsoring agency's support for and communication with the school; operation and performance of the nonprofit board of the charter school and education management organization, if contracted.** The state board, after a public hearing, may require remedial action for a sponsor that it finds has not fulfilled its obligations of sponsorship, such remedial actions including withholding the sponsor's funding and suspending for a period of up to one year the sponsor's authority to sponsor a school that it currently sponsors or to sponsor any additional school. If the state board removes the authority to sponsor a currently operating charter school, the state board shall become the interim sponsor of the school for a period of up to three years until the school finds a new sponsor or until the charter contract period lapses.”; and

Further amend said amendment, Page 9, Line 21 of said amendment page, by inserting after “grounds:” the following: **“failure to meet the standards under the sponsor's annual Missouri school improvement plan assessment,”**; and

Further amend said amendment, Page 10, Line 24 of said amendment page, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“(7) The sponsor shall annually conduct a Missouri school improvement plan assessment of the charter school, based upon standards established by the state board under section 160.400.”.

Senator Bray moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

SA 1, as amended, was again taken up.

Senator Rupp moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Bartle offered **SA 2**:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 2

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, Section A, Line 2, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“168.106. The contract between a school district and a permanent teacher shall be known as an

indefinite contract and shall continue in effect for an indefinite period, subject only to:

(1) Compulsory or optional retirement when the teacher reaches the age of retirement provided by law, or regulation established by the local board of education;

(2) Modification by a succeeding indefinite contract or contracts in the manner hereinafter provided;

(3) The death of the teacher;

(4) Resignation of the teacher with the written consent of the school board;

(5) Termination by the board of education after a hearing as hereinafter provided; [and]

(6) The revocation of the teacher's certificate; **and**

(7) A decision by the teacher to follow the teacher choice compensation package under sections 168.745 to 168.750 in a district and give up the right to an indefinite contract.

168.745. 1. There is hereby created the "Teacher Choice Compensation Package" to permit performance-based salary stipends upon the decision of the teacher [in a metropolitan school district] as described in section 168.747 to reward teachers for objectively demonstrated superior performance.

2. There is hereby created the "Teacher Choice Compensation Fund" in the state treasury. The fund shall be administered by the department of elementary and secondary education. The state treasurer shall be custodian of the fund and may approve disbursements from the fund in accordance with sections 30.170 and 30.180, RSMo.

3. The teacher choice compensation fund shall consist of all moneys transferred to it under this section, and all moneys otherwise appropriated to or donated to it. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 33.080, RSMo, to the contrary, any moneys remaining in the fund at the end of the biennium shall not revert to the credit of the general revenue fund. The state treasurer shall invest moneys in the fund in the same manner as other funds are invested. Any interest and moneys earned on such investments shall be credited to the fund.

4. The general assembly shall annually appropriate five million dollars to the fund created in this section.

168.747. 1. To be eligible for the teacher choice compensation package **in a metropolitan school district**, all classroom personnel [in a metropolitan school district] reported as a code forty, fifty, or sixty through the core data system of the department of elementary and secondary education shall opt out of his or her indefinite contract under section 168.221 for the duration of employment with the district. A teacher may decide to end his or her eligibility for the teacher choice stipend but may not resume permanent teacher status with that district. A probationary teacher may opt out of consideration for a permanent contract in the second or subsequent years of employment by the district to participate in the teacher choice compensation package but may not return to permanent status in that district or resume the process for qualification for an indefinite contract in that district. A teacher who has chosen the teacher choice compensation package and changes employment to another district may choose to resume the process for qualification for an indefinite contract in that district[. The teacher choice compensation package shall only be available for teachers in a metropolitan school district] **or may choose to remain in the teacher choice compensation package of the district, provided the district's board of education has resolved, by majority vote, to allow classroom personnel to participate.**

2. To be eligible for the teacher choice compensation package in a seven director or urban school district, the adoption of a resolution by the vote of a majority of the members of the board of education shall be required. If such a vote occurs in a seven director or urban school district, classroom personnel reported as a code forty, fifty, or sixty through the core data system of the department of elementary and secondary education shall opt out of his or her indefinite contract under section 168.106 for the duration of employment with the district. A teacher may decide to end his or her eligibility for the teacher choice stipend but may not resume permanent teacher status with that district. A probationary teacher may opt out of consideration for a permanent contract in the second or subsequent years of employment by the district to participate in the teacher choice compensation package but may not return to permanent status in that district or resume the process for qualification for an indefinite contract in that district. A teacher who has chosen the teacher choice compensation package and changes employment to another district may choose to resume the process for qualification for an indefinite contract in that district or:

(1) If the new district of employment is a metropolitan school district, may choose to remain in the teacher choice compensation package; or

(2) If the new district of employment is a seven director or urban school district, may choose to remain in the teacher choice compensation package, provided the district's board of education has resolved by majority vote to allow classroom personnel to participate.

3. Teachers shall qualify annually in October for the stipends described in section 168.749. Stipends shall be offered in five thousand dollar increments, up to fifteen thousand dollars, but shall not exceed fifty percent of a teacher's base salary, before deductions for retirement but including designated pay for additional duties such as coaching, sponsoring, or mentoring. Any stipend received under section 168.749 shall be in addition to the base salary to which the teacher would otherwise be entitled. Teachers receiving the stipend shall receive any pay and benefits received by teachers of similar training, experience, and duties. Such stipends shall not be considered compensation for retirement purposes.

[3.] **4.** Subject to appropriation, the department of elementary and secondary education shall make a payment to the district in the amount of the stipend, to be delivered as a lump sum in January following the October of qualification. If the amount appropriated is not enough to fund the total of five thousand dollar increment payments, the department may prorate the payments.

[4.] **5.** Every person employed by the district in a teaching position, regardless of the certification status of the person, who qualifies under any of the indicators listed in section 168.749 is eligible for the teacher choice compensation package. Teachers who are employed less than full-time are eligible for teacher choice stipends on a prorated basis. Any teacher who is dismissed for cause who has otherwise qualified for a teacher choice stipend shall forfeit the stipend for that year.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Bartle moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

At the request of Senator Bartle, **SB 815**, with **SCS**, as amended, was placed on the Informal Calendar.

MESSAGES FROM THE GOVERNOR

The following message was received from the Governor, reading of which was waived:

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI
JEFFERSON CITY
65102

April 7, 2010

To the Senate of the 95th General Assembly of the State of Missouri:

The following addendum should be made to the appointment of Ronald Dirck Clark to the Missouri Western State University Board of Governors, submitted on March 31, 2010. Line 4 should be amended as follows:

“and qualified; vice, reappointed to a full term.”

Respectfully submitted,
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon
Governor

President Pro Tem Shields referred the above addendum to the Committee on Gubernatorial Appointments.

REFERRALS

President Pro Tem Shields referred **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 734**; **SCS** for **SB 622**; and **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 884** to the Committee on Governmental Accountability and Fiscal Oversight.

On motion of Senator Engler, the Senate recessed until 8:00 p.m.

RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the Senate was called to order by Senator Stouffer.

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

Senator Bartle moved that **SB 815**, with **SCS**, as amended (pending), be called from the Informal Calendar and again taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SCS for **SB 815**, as amended, was again taken up.

Senator Rupp offered **SA 3**:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 3

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 2, Section 171.017, Line 14, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“178.693. 1. School districts that offer an approved program of parent education shall be eligible for state reimbursement, pursuant to section 163.031, RSMo, subject to appropriations therefor for each participating family. If a school district fails or is unable to offer an approved program of parent education, the district shall enter into a contract which meets the requirements under section 178.697, with another district, public agency or state approved not-for-profit agency offering an approved program for such services. If the district finds that no approved program is available in another district, public agency, or through a state approved not-for-profit agency, it shall request the state department of elementary and secondary education to assist it in obtaining from an approved program, services at the reimbursable rate. **If available appropriations are insufficient to fund services for all individuals who request such services, the department of elementary and secondary education shall direct funds to serve those individuals designated as high need or low income, as defined by the department. School districts may**

charge a co-pay or a fee based on adjusted gross income and family size based on a sliding fee scale adopted by the department. The department shall promulgate rules necessary to implement the provisions of this subsection.

2. School districts that offer an approved program of developmental screening for all children under the age of five years shall be eligible for state reimbursement, pursuant to section 163.031, RSMo, subject to appropriations therefor for each participating child. If a school district fails or is unable to offer an approved program of developmental screening, the district shall enter into a contract which meets the requirements under section 178.697, with another district, public agency or state approved not-for-profit agency offering an approved program for such services. If the district finds that no approved program is available in another district, public agency or state approved not-for-profit agency, it shall request the state department of elementary and secondary education to assist it in obtaining from an approved program, services at the reimbursable rate.

3. School districts that offer approved programs for developmentally delayed children ages three and four who may also be eligible for programs under the provisions of sections 162.670 to 162.995, RSMo, shall be eligible for state reimbursement, pursuant to section 163.031, RSMo, subject to appropriations, provided the children are not receiving the same or similar services for handicapped or severely handicapped children under another program for which reimbursements from the department of elementary and secondary education are available to the district. If a school district fails or is unable to offer an approved program for developmentally delayed children ages three and four, the district shall enter into a contract which meets the requirements under section 178.697, with another district, public agency or state approved not-for-profit agency offering an approved program for such services. If the district finds that no approved program is available in another district, public agency or state approved not-for-profit agency, it shall request the state department of elementary and secondary education to assist it in obtaining from an approved program, services at the reimbursable rate.

4. Any rule or portion of a rule, as that term is defined in section 536.010 that is created under the authority delegated in this section shall become effective only if it complies with and is subject to all of the provisions of chapter 536, and, if applicable, section 536.028. This section and chapter 536 are nonseverable and if any of the powers vested with the general assembly pursuant to chapter 536, to review, to delay the effective date, or to disapprove and annul a rule are subsequently held unconstitutional, then the grant of rulemaking authority and any rule proposed or adopted after August 28, 2010, shall be invalid and void.

178.695. 1. Programs shall be subject to review and approval under standards developed by the department of elementary and secondary education consisting of early childhood education and parents as teachers programs and published as an administrative rule under the provisions of chapter 536, RSMo.

2. The department of elementary and secondary education shall, by October first of each year, submit to the joint committee on education a report concerning the demographics of the individuals served by any approved program of parent education, including whether such individuals would be considered high need or low income. No information shall identify any specific individual.

3. The lieutenant governor shall act as an advisor to the department for all such programs reviewed by the department.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Rupp moved that the above amendment be adopted.

Senator Rupp offered SA 1 to SA 3:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 3

Amend Senate Amendment No. 3 to Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, Section 178.693, Lines 16-22, by striking all of the underlined lines from said page; and further amend said amendment, page 2, lines 1-2, by striking all of said lines from the amendment; and inserting in lieu thereof the following: **“If no developmental delay is detected by a parent educator after the sixth family visit specific to one child, the department of elementary and secondary education shall require that the parent or family share in the cost of the services provided. The department shall promulgate rules and regulations for payment by the parent.”**; and further amend said amendment, section 178.695, pages 3-4, by striking all of said section from the amendment.

Senator Rupp moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

SA 3, as amended, was again taken up.

Senator Rupp moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Callahan offered SA 4:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 4

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, In the Title, Line 3, by inserting immediately after “education” the following: “, with an emergency clause for a certain section”; and

Further amend said bill, page 1, section A, line 2, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

“164.320. All qualified school construction bond issuance authorizations for calendar year 2010 shall be allocated by the department of elementary and secondary education on an average daily attendance basis to school districts in which the constitutionally required percentage of voters authorized the incurrence of debt on either the general election day in November 2009, as provided in subsection 6 of section 115.121, or on any applicable election date in 2010 in order to provide funds for such districts to acquire, construct, equip, improve, restore, or furnish public school facilities in accordance with the provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and with Section 54F of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which provides for qualified school construction bonds. The department shall utilize the most current available data in determining the per average daily attendance allocation amounts and shall submit a report to the secretary of the senate and the chief clerk of the house of representatives detailing the 2010 calendar year qualified school construction bond issuance authorization allocations not less than thirty days subsequent to the completion of the 2010 allocation schedule.”; and

Further amend said bill, page 2, section 171.017, line 14, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

“Section B. Because of the importance of making qualified school construction bond issuance authorizations available to school districts, the enactment of section 164.320 of this act is deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health, welfare, peace and safety, and is hereby declared to be an emergency act within the meaning of the constitution, and the enactment of section 164.320 of this act

shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Callahan moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Pearce offered SA 5:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 5

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, Section A, Line 2, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“160.353. The governing board of any urban, metropolitan, or seven director school district may adopt a policy that allows any student who has participated in three or more years of interscholastic athletics at the high school level to be granted one unit of credit of the physical education graduation requirement. Such a policy may only be adopted after a public hearing is held on the question and a majority of the board votes in favor of the question. Any board that votes to adopt such a policy shall contact appropriate officials at the department of elementary and secondary education within thirty days of the affirmative vote. The state board of education shall make any necessary alterations to bring the state's minimum graduation requirements into compliance with this section. Nothing in this section shall be construed to impact any other minimum graduation requirements approved by the state board of education.

160.355. The governing board of any urban, metropolitan, or seven director school district may adopt a policy that allows any student who has earned fine arts credit for participation in high school marching band for three or more years to be granted one unit of credit of the physical education graduation requirement. Such a policy may only be adopted after a public hearing is held on the question and a majority of the board votes in favor of the question. Any board that votes to adopt the policy described in this section shall contact appropriate officials at the department of elementary and secondary education within thirty days of the affirmative vote. The state board of education shall make any necessary alterations to bring the state's minimum graduation requirements into compliance with this section. This section shall not be construed to impact any of the other minimum graduation requirements approved by the state board of education.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Pearce moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Shoemyer offered SA 6:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 6

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, In the Title, Line 3, by inserting immediately after “education” the following: “, with an emergency clause for a certain section”; and

Further amend said bill, page 2, section 171.017, line 14, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

“171.032. 1. In the 2009-2010 school year, a school district may be exempt from the requirement to make up days of school lost or canceled due to incidence of 2009 H1NI influenza virus in the school district. A majority vote of the school board of the school district shall be required in order to be exempt from making up school days lost or canceled due to incidence of 2009 H1NI influenza virus

in the district.

2. The commissioner of education may provide, for any school district in which schools are in session for twelve months of each calendar year that cannot meet the minimum school calendar requirement of actual pupil attendance, upon request, a waiver to be excused from such requirement. This waiver shall be requested from the commissioner of education and may be granted if the school was closed due to incidence of 2009 H1NI influenza virus.

Section B. Because immediate action is necessary to clarify potential school scheduling problems, the enactment of section 171.032 of this act is deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health, welfare, peace and safety, and is hereby declared to be an emergency act within the meaning of the constitution, and the enactment of section 171.032 of this act shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Shoemyer moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion failed on a standing division vote.

Senator Keaveny offered **SA 7**:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 7

Amend Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 815, Page 1, Section A, Line 2, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“167.031. 1. Every parent, guardian or other person in this state having charge, control or custody of a child not enrolled in a public, private, parochial, parish school or full-time equivalent attendance in a combination of such schools and between the ages of seven years and the compulsory attendance age for the district is responsible for enrolling the child in a program of academic instruction which complies with subsection 2 of this section. Any parent, guardian or other person who enrolls a child between the ages of five and seven years in a public school program of academic instruction shall cause such child to attend the academic program on a regular basis, according to this section **except as provided in subsection 8 of this section**. Nonattendance by such child shall cause such parent, guardian or other responsible person to be in violation of the provisions of section 167.061, except as provided by this section. A parent, guardian or other person in this state having charge, control, or custody of a child between the ages of seven years of age and the compulsory attendance age for the district shall cause the child to attend regularly some public, private, parochial, parish, home school or a combination of such schools not less than the entire school term of the school which the child attends; except that:

(1) A child who, to the satisfaction of the superintendent of public schools of the district in which he resides, or if there is no superintendent then the chief school officer, is determined to be mentally or physically incapacitated may be excused from attendance at school for the full time required, or any part thereof;

(2) A child between fourteen years of age and the compulsory attendance age for the district may be excused from attendance at school for the full time required, or any part thereof, by the superintendent of public schools of the district, or if there is none then by a court of competent jurisdiction, when legal employment has been obtained by the child and found to be desirable, and after the parents or guardian of the child have been advised of the pending action; or

(3) A child between five and seven years of age shall be excused from attendance at school if a parent, guardian or other person having charge, control or custody of the child makes a written request that the child be dropped from the school's rolls.

2. (1) As used in sections 167.031 to 167.071, a "home school" is a school, whether incorporated or unincorporated, that:

(a) Has as its primary purpose the provision of private or religious-based instruction;

(b) Enrolls pupils between the ages of seven years **or six years, in accordance with sections 160.051, 160.053, 160.054, and 160.055, beginning in the 2011-2012 school year, for a metropolitan school district and an urban school district containing most or all of a city with a population greater than three hundred fifty thousand inhabitants** and the compulsory attendance age for the district, of which no more than four are unrelated by affinity or consanguinity in the third degree; and

(c) Does not charge or receive consideration in the form of tuition, fees, or other remuneration in a genuine and fair exchange for provision of instruction.

(2) As evidence that a child is receiving regular instruction, the parent shall, except as otherwise provided in this subsection:

(a) Maintain the following records:

a. A plan book, diary, or other written record indicating subjects taught and activities engaged in; and

b. A portfolio of samples of the child's academic work; and

c. A record of evaluations of the child's academic progress; or

d. Other written, or credible evidence equivalent to subparagraphs a., b. and c.; and

(b) Offer at least one thousand hours of instruction, at least six hundred hours of which will be in reading, language arts, mathematics, social studies and science or academic courses that are related to the aforementioned subject areas and consonant with the pupil's age and ability. At least four hundred of the six hundred hours shall occur at the regular home school location.

(3) The requirements of subdivision (2) of this subsection shall not apply to any pupil above the age of sixteen years.

3. Nothing in this section shall require a private, parochial, parish or home school to include in its curriculum any concept, topic, or practice in conflict with the school's religious doctrines or to exclude from its curriculum any concept, topic, or practice consistent with the school's religious doctrines. Any other provision of the law to the contrary notwithstanding, all departments or agencies of the state of Missouri shall be prohibited from dictating through rule, regulation or other device any statewide curriculum for private, parochial, parish or home schools.

4. A school year begins on the first day of July and ends on the thirtieth day of June following.

5. The production by a parent of a daily log showing that a home school has a course of instruction which satisfies the requirements of this section or, in the case of a pupil over the age of sixteen years who attended a metropolitan school district the previous year, a written statement that the pupil is attending home school in compliance with this section shall be a defense to any prosecution under this section and to any charge or action for educational neglect brought pursuant to chapter 210, RSMo.

6. As used in sections 167.031 to 167.051, the term “compulsory attendance age for the district” shall mean:

(1) Seventeen years of age for any metropolitan school district for which the school board adopts a resolution to establish such compulsory attendance age; provided that such resolution shall take effect no earlier than the school year next following the school year during which the resolution is adopted; and

(2) Seventeen years of age or having successfully completed sixteen credits towards high school graduation in all other cases. The school board of a metropolitan school district for which the compulsory attendance age is seventeen years may adopt a resolution to lower the compulsory attendance age to sixteen years; provided that such resolution shall take effect no earlier than the school year next following the school year during which the resolution is adopted.

7. For purposes of subsection 2 of this section as applied in subsection 6 [herein] **of this section**, a “completed credit towards high school graduation” shall be defined as one hundred hours or more of instruction in a course. Home school education enforcement and records pursuant to this section, and sections 210.167 and 211.031, RSMo, shall be subject to review only by the local prosecuting attorney.

8. Beginning in the 2011-2012 school year, in a metropolitan school district and in an urban school district containing most or all of a city with a population greater than three hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, every parent, guardian, or other person having charge, control or custody of a child not enrolled in a public, private, parochial, parish school, or full-time equivalent attendance in a combination of such schools and between the ages of six years, in accordance with sections 160.051, 160.035, 160.054, and 160.055, and the compulsory attendance age for the district is responsible for enrolling the child in a program of academic instruction which complies with subsection 2 of this section. A parent, guardian, or other person in this state having charge, control, or custody of a child between the ages of six years of age, in accordance with sections 160.051, 160.035, 160.054, and 160.055, and the compulsory attendance age for the district shall cause the child to attend regularly some public, private, parochial, parish, home school, or combination of such schools not less than the entire school term of the school which the child attends, except that:

(1) A child who, to the satisfaction of the superintendent of public schools of the district in which he resides, or if there is no superintendent then the chief school officer, is determined to be mentally or physically incapacitated may be excused from attendance at school for the full time required, or any part thereof;

(2) A child between fourteen years of age and the compulsory attendance age for the district may be excused from attendance at school for the full time required, or any part thereof, by the superintendent of public schools of the district, or if there is none then by a court of competent jurisdiction, when legal employment has been obtained by the child and found to be desirable, and after the parents or guardian of the child have been advised of the pending action; or

(3) The parent or legal guardian of a child may determine that the child is not ready to attend kindergarten and may enroll the child in an accredited preschool program operating in the school district after providing notification to the school district.”; and

Further amend said bill, page 2, section 171.017, line 14, by inserting after all of said line the following:

“4. No district that adopts a resolution under this section shall lose eligibility to receive state aid pursuant to section 163.021 as a result of implementing a second start date for kindergarten students, irrespective of the timing of, or number of, days of pupil attendance.

5. Any child who begins kindergarten on a second kindergarten start date under this section may be promoted to first grade the subsequent school year if the student's teacher and principal find that the student is adequately prepared. Alternatively, the student's parent or legal guardian may request that the student remain in kindergarten an additional year.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Keaveny moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion failed.

Senator Bartle moved that **SCS** for **SB 815**, as amended, be adopted, which motion prevailed.

On motion of Senator Bartle, **SCS** for **SB 815**, as amended, was declared perfected and ordered printed.

Senator Crowell moved that **SB 714** be called from the Informal Calendar and taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

Senator Crowell offered **SS** for **SB 714**, entitled:

SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR
SENATE BILL NO. 714

An Act to repeal sections 56.809, 70.605, 104.190, 104.480, 169.020, 169.270, 169.280, 169.301, 169.324, and 169.328, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof nineteen new sections relating to retirement.

Senator Crowell moved that **SS** for **SB 714** be adopted.

At the request of Senator Crowell, **SB 714**, with **SS** (pending), was placed on the Informal Calendar.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Senator Engler, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, submitted the following report:

Mr. President: Your Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, to which was referred **SB 877**, begs leave to report that it has examined the same and finds that the bill has been truly perfected and that the printed copies furnished the Senators are correct.

HOUSE BILLS ON THIRD READING

HB 1442, with **SCS**, was placed on the Informal Calendar.

HCS No. 2 for **HB 1472** was placed on the Informal Calendar.

HCS for **HB 1498**, entitled:

An Act to repeal section 376.383, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to the payment of health insurance claims, with an effective date.

Was taken up by Senator Lembke.

On motion of Senator Lembke, **HCS** for **HB 1498** was read the 3rd time and passed by the following vote:

YEAS—Senators

Barnitz	Bartle	Bray	Callahan	Champion	Crowell	Cunningham	Days
Dempsey	Engler	Goodman	Green	Griesheimer	Justus	Keaveny	Lager
Lembke	Mayer	Nodler	Pearce	Purgason	Ridgeway	Rupp	Schaefer
Schmitt	Scott	Shields	Shoemyer	Stouffer	Vogel	Wilson	Wright-Jones—32

NAYS—Senators—None

Absent—Senator Clemens—1

Absent with leave—Senator McKenna—1

Vacancies—None

The President declared the bill passed.

On motion of Senator Lembke, title to the bill was agreed to.

Senator Lembke moved that the vote by which the bill passed be reconsidered.

Senator Engler moved that motion lay on the table, which motion prevailed.

INTRODUCTIONS OF GUESTS

Senator Dempsey introduced to the Senate, Matthew, Andrew and Nicholas Gentry, St. Charles; and Brett Polinske, Edwardsville, Illinois; and Matthew, Andrew, Nicholas and Brett were made honorary pages.

Senator Wright-Jones introduced to the Senate, Dan Schulte, Lucy Ryder-Duffey, Cenia Bosman and students Toriano Burston, Rachel Turner and Jasmine Tomlin, YMCA St. Louis.

Senator Wilson introduced to the Senate, Paul Lisban, Kansas City; and representatives of YMCA Youth in Government.

Senator Mayer introduced to the Senate, Clyde Hawes, New Madrid.

Senator Shields introduced to the Senate, Rick and Jill Smith, and their children, Skylar, Allyah, Trey and Ariaah, St. Joseph; and Skylar, Allyah, Trey and Ariaah were made honorary pages.

Senator Shields introduced to the Senate, Barbara Westland, Jane Moore, Jim Pearce and Hospice volunteers from around the state.

Senator Shields introduced to the Senate, volunteers and staff representing YMCA Advocacy Day from around the state.

Senator Shoemyer introduced to the Senate, Toms and Beckys, Hannibal Chamber of Commerce.

Senator Mayer introduced to the Senate, Leah Kelley, Dexter; Brandy Pratt, Farmington; and Jeff Young, Ozark.

Senator Mayer introduced to the Senate, Chris Hon, Poplar Bluff; Toni Hill, Portageville; Larry Wood, Senath-Hornersville; and Kenneth Cook, Malden.

Senator Schmitt introduced to the Senate, Kim Drury and seventy-four fourth grade students from St. Peter School, Kirkwood; and Robert Pecha, Charlie Scheibelhut, Connie Schu, Emily Wilcutt and Emily

LaValle were made honorary pages.

Senator Goodman introduced to the Senate, his wife, Laura, and their children, Jack Elliott and William True, Mt. Vernon; and Jack Elliott and William True were made honorary pages.

Senators Griesheimer and Cunningham introduced to the Senate, Cathy Jaeger, O’Fallon; Stephanie Marquart, Augusta; and Ann Tobben, Union, St. John’s Mercy Hospice.

Senator Cunningham introduced to the Senate, Paula Minogue and Jeanie and Kristi Hargas, representatives of Hospice.

On behalf of Senator Dempsey, the President introduced to the Senate, Michael Muckerman, Mary Braddock and Bill and David Meiners, Kansas City; and Michael was made an honorary page.

Senator Crowell introduced to the Senate, seventh and eighth grade students from Immanuel Lutheran School, Perryville.

Senator Rupp introduced to the Senate, John and Jami Wightman, and their children, Logan and Molly, Foristell; and Logan and Molly were made honorary pages.

Senator Pearce introduced to the Senate, his brother, Mark Pearce, Mayor Charles Shore, Nancy Shore, Douglas S. Christie, Michael K. Greife, Scott Patrick, Andy Kohl, Deborah Orr, Dale Carder, Barbara Carroll, Ronda Watts, Jessica Rhodes, Doris J. and Sam W. Raber, Baird Brock, Jeff Hancock and Leanne Larson, representatives of Warrensburg/Johnson County Day.

On motion of Senator Engler, the Senate adjourned under the rules.

SENATE CALENDAR

FORTY-NINTH DAY—THURSDAY, APRIL 8, 2010

FORMAL CALENDAR

SECOND READING OF SENATE BILLS

SJR 45-Shields

HOUSE BILLS ON SECOND READING

HB 2114-Hoskins, et al

HCS for HB 1898

HB 2317-Tracy

HCS for HB 1848

HB 1640-Roorda, et al

HB 1894-Bringer

HB 2294-Dugger

HCS for HB 1747

HB 1372-Parson, et al

HCS for HB 1965

HCS for HB 1806

HCS for HB 1893

HB 2220-Dugger, et al

HCS for HB 2297

THIRD READING OF SENATE BILLS

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. SB 627-Justus (In Fiscal Oversight) | 9. SS for SCS for SB 884-Schaefer
(In Fiscal Oversight) |
| 2. SJR 20-Bartle (In Fiscal Oversight) | 10. SCS for SB 826-Griesheimer |
| 3. SB 779-Bartle (In Fiscal Oversight) | 11. SCS for SB 808-Callahan |
| 4. SCS for SB 944-Shields
(In Fiscal Oversight) | 12. SCS for SB 622-Shoemyer
(In Fiscal Oversight) |
| 5. SB 816-Lembke (In Fiscal Oversight) | 13. SS for SCS for SB 734-Pearce
(In Fiscal Oversight) |
| 6. SB 1026-Rupp (In Fiscal Oversight) | 14. SB 877-Keaveny |
| 7. SB 976-Rupp | |
| 8. SB 971-Lembke | |

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

SB 943-Shields

SB 631-Cunningham, with SCS

HOUSE BILLS ON THIRD READING

HCS for HJR 86, with SCS (Stouffer)
(In Fiscal Oversight)

INFORMAL CALENDAR

THIRD READING OF SENATE BILLS

SB 1001-Griesheimer

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

- | | |
|--|---|
| SB 579-Shields, with SCS | SB 714-Crowell, with SS (pending) |
| SB 587-Nodler and Cunningham,
with SCS & SA 1 (pending) | SB 738-Crowell, with SCS |
| SB 596-Callahan, with SCS (pending) | SB 747-Rupp, et al, with SA 1 (pending) |
| SB 606-Stouffer | SB 784-Schaefer and Pearce |
| SBs 607, 602, 615 & 725-Stouffer,
with SCS & SA 1 (pending) | SB 792-Dempsey and Rupp, with SS (pending) |
| SB 698-Griesheimer, with SCS,
SS for SCS & SA 1 (pending) | SB 793-Mayer, et al, with SCS,
SA 1 & SA 1 to SA 1 (pending) |
| SB 705-Griesheimer | SB 797-Green |
| | SB 810-Lager, with SCS |
| | SB 818-Lembke, with SCS (pending) |

SB 839-Wright-Jones, with SCS
SB 852-Lager, et al, with SS,
SA 1 & SSA 1 for SA 1 (pending)
SB 868-Shields
SB 878-Lembke, with SCS (pending)
SBs 880, 780 & 836-Schaefer, with SCS
SBs 895, 813, 911, 924, 922 &
802-Dempsey, et al, with SCS, SS for
SCS, SA 1, SSA 1 for SA 1 & SA 1 to
SSA 1 for SA 1 (pending)
SB 896-Shields and Crowell, with SA 1
(pending)
SB 905-Bray, et al, with SCS & SS for SCS
(pending)

SB 999-Schaefer
SB 1017-Mayer, with SCS (pending)
SJR 22-Callahan
SJR 25-Cunningham, et al, with SCS,
SS#2 for SCS & SA 5 (pending)
SJR 29-Purgason and Cunningham, with SCS &
SS#2 for SCS (pending)
SJR 31-Scott
SJR 33-Bartle, with SA 1 (pending)
SJR 34-Goodman, et al, with SA 1 (pending)
SJR 38-Ridgeway
SJR 40-Goodman, with SA 1 (pending)

HOUSE BILLS ON THIRD READING

HB 1442-Jones (89), et al, with SCS (Nodler)

HCS#2 for HB 1472 (Schaefer)

BILLS IN CONFERENCE AND BILLS CARRYING REQUEST MESSAGES

Requests to Recede or Grant Conference

HCS for HB 2014, with SCS, as amended (Mayer)
(Senate requests House take up and pass the bill)

RESOLUTIONS

Reported from Committee

SCR 42-Bray, with SCA 1
HCS for HCR 18, with SA 1 (pending) (Rupp)
SCR 46-Stouffer

HCR 38-Icet, et al, with SCA 1 (Lembke)
SCR 52-Lager
HCS for HCRs 34 & 35 (Schmitt)

To be Referred

SCR 56-Schmitt, et al

✓