

# Journal of the Senate

## SECOND REGULAR SESSION

---

**EIGHTEENTH DAY—TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2010**

---

The Senate met pursuant to adjournment.

President Kinder in the Chair.

Reverend Carl Gauck offered the following prayer:

“Keep God’s word in this way. Let it enter into your very being, let it take possession of your desires and your whole way of life.” (*St. Bernard of Clarivaux*)

We know, O Lord, that You desire for us to be at one with You and all that we do and say are in keeping with what You have taught us. We do pray that You will enter us and take possession of all we are so that we think and seek to do that which is well pleasing to You. We are mindful of the difficulties that many face in our state and country; let us do all we can here to relieve some of the stress and do what we can to promote job development and recovery. All this we ask in Your Holy Name. Amen.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was recited.

A quorum being established, the Senate proceeded with its business.

The Journal of the previous day was read and approved.

The following Senators were present during the day’s proceedings:

Present—Senators

Barnitz	Bartle	Bray	Callahan	Champion	Clemens	Crowell	Cunningham
Days	Dempsey	Engler	Green	Griesheimer	Justus	Keaveny	Lager
Lembke	Mayer	McKenna	Nodler	Pearce	Purgason	Ridgeway	Rupp
Schaefer	Schmitt	Scott	Shields	Shoemyer	Stouffer	Vogel	Wilson

Wright-Jones—33

Absent—Senators—None

Absent with leave—Senator Goodman—1

Vacancies—None

The Lieutenant Governor was present.

## **RESOLUTIONS**

Senator Lager offered Senate Resolution No. 1597, regarding the Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary of Mr. and Mrs. Leo Barnes, Albany, which was adopted.

Senator Lager offered Senate Resolution No. 1598, regarding the Honorable Warren L. McElwain, which was adopted.

Senator Lager offered Senate Resolution No. 1599, regarding the Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary of Mr. and Mrs. Jerry Chrisman, Marceline, which was adopted.

Senator Lager offered Senate Resolution No. 1600, regarding the Northwest Missouri State University Bearcats football team, which was adopted.

Senator Lembke offered Senate Resolution No. 1601, regarding Donna Abernathy-Schumann, High Ridge, which was adopted.

## **INTRODUCTION OF BILLS**

The following Bills were read the 1st time and ordered printed:

**SB 926**—By Shoemyer and Keaveny.

An Act to amend chapter 261, RSMo, by adding thereto six new sections relating to the local foods initiative, with penalty provisions.

**SB 927**—By Cunningham.

An Act to amend chapter 320, RSMo, by adding thereto nine new sections relating to fire sprinkler contractor regulation.

**SB 928**—By Lager.

An Act to amend chapter 144, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to the sales tax treatment of sales for resale, with an emergency clause.

**SB 929**—By Lager.

An Act to amend chapter 67, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to counties contracting for wholesale water services.

**SB 930**—By Justus.

An Act to amend chapter 565, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to the creation of a death penalty commission.

**SB 931**—By Justus.

An Act to amend chapter 376, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to health insurance coverage for dental care services provided to pregnant women.

## **SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION**

Senator Griesheimer moved that **SB 580**, with SCS, be called from the Informal Calendar and taken up for perfection, which motion prevailed.

SCS for **SB 580**, entitled:

**SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR  
SENATE BILL NO. 580**

An Act to repeal sections 49.310, 50.622, 50.660, 50.783, 52.290, 52.312, 52.361, 52.370, 54.010, 55.030, 55.140, 55.190, 56.700, 64.170, 67.402, 67.456, 67.1360, 67.1361, 67.2000, 68.025, 68.035, 68.040, 68.070, 70.220, 94.902, 138.431, 139.031, 139.140, 139.150, 139.210, 139.220, 140.050, 140.070, 140.080, 140.150, 140.160, 140.170, 140.190, 140.230, 140.250, 140.260, 140.290, 140.310, 140.340, 140.405, 140.420, 165.071, 221.105, 231.444, and 429.110, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof seventy-two new sections relating to political subdivisions, with penalty provisions and an emergency clause for a certain section.

Was taken up.

Senator Griesheimer moved that **SCS** for **SB 580** be adopted.

Senator Griesheimer offered **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 580**, entitled:

**SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR  
SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR  
SENATE BILL NO. 580**

An Act to repeal sections 49.310, 50.660, 50.783, 52.290, 52.312, 52.361, 52.370, 54.010, 55.030, 55.140, 55.190, 56.700, 64.170, 67.110, 67.402, 67.456, 67.1000, 67.1360, 67.1361, 67.2000, 68.025, 68.035, 68.040, 68.070, 70.220, 71.285, 94.900, 94.902, 138.431, 139.031, 139.140, 139.150, 139.210, 139.220, 140.050, 140.070, 140.080, 140.150, 140.160, 140.170, 140.190, 140.230, 140.250, 140.260, 140.290, 140.310, 140.340, 140.405, 140.420, 165.071, 181.060, 221.105, and 231.444, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof seventy-eight new sections relating to political subdivisions, with penalty provisions and an emergency clause for a certain section.

Senator Griesheimer moved that **SS** for **SCS** for **SB 580** be adopted.

Senator Dempsey assumed the Chair.

Senator Griesheimer offered **SA 1**, which was read:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 1**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 140, Section 182.082, Line 5 of said page, by inserting after the word "district", the following: **"located at least partially within any county of the first classification with more than ninety-three thousand eight hundred but fewer than ninety-three thousand nine hundred inhabitants, any county of the third classification without a township form of government and with more than twenty-four thousand five hundred but fewer than twenty-four thousand six hundred inhabitants, any county of the third classification without a township form of government and with more than fifteen thousand three hundred but fewer than fifteen thousand four hundred inhabitants, or any county of the third classification without a township form of government and with more than forty thousand eight hundred but fewer than forty thousand nine hundred inhabitants"**.

Senator Griesheimer moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Griesheimer offered **SA 2**, which was read:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 2**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 54, Section 68.025, Line 12 of said page, by inserting after “district;” the following: “**except that no port improvement district real property tax may be levied on any property, real, or personal, which is assessed pursuant to sections 151.010 to 151.340, unless such real property tax levy is agreed to in writing by the property's owner;**”.

Senator Griesheimer moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Schaefer offered **SA 3**:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 3**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 19, Section 67.309, Line 27 of said page, by inserting after the word “classification”, the following: “**with more than one hundred thirty-five thousand four hundred but fewer than one hundred thirty-five thousand five hundred inhabitants**”.

Senator Schaefer moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Stouffer offered **SA 4**, which was read:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 4**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Pages 148-149, Section 231.444, by striking all of said section from the bill; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Stouffer moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Barnitz offered **SA 5**:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 5**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 103, Section 94.902, Line 8, by inserting after all of said line the following:

**“94.1011. 1. The governing body of any city of the third classification with more than three thousand five hundred but fewer than three thousand six hundred inhabitants may impose, by order or ordinance, a tax on the charges for all sleeping rooms paid by the transient guests of hotels or motels situated in the city or a portion thereof. The tax shall be not more than three percent per occupied room per night, and shall be imposed solely for the purpose of funding the construction, maintenance, and repair of a multipurpose conference and convention center. The tax authorized in this section shall be in addition to the charge for the sleeping room and all other taxes imposed by law, and shall be stated separately from all other charges and taxes.”**

**2. No such order or ordinance shall become effective unless the governing body of the city submits to the voters of the city at a state general, primary, or special election a proposal to authorize the governing body of the city to impose a tax under this section. If a majority of the votes cast on the question by the qualified voters voting thereon are in favor of the question, then the tax shall become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which the election was held. If a majority of the votes cast on the question by the qualified voters voting thereon**

are opposed to the question, then the tax shall not become effective unless and until the question is resubmitted under this section to the qualified voters of the city and such question is approved by a majority of the qualified voters voting on the question.

**3. All revenue generated by the tax shall be collected by the city collector of revenue, shall be deposited in a special trust fund, and shall be used solely for the designated purposes. If the tax is repealed, all funds remaining in the special trust fund shall continue to be used solely for the designated purposes. Any funds in the special trust fund that are not needed for current expenditures may be invested by the governing body in accordance with applicable laws relating to the investment of other city funds. Any interest and moneys earned on such investments shall be credited to the fund.**

**4. The governing body of any city that has adopted the tax authorized in this section may submit the question of repeal of the tax to the voters on any date available for elections for the city. If a majority of the votes cast on the proposal are in favor of the repeal, that repeal shall become effective on December thirty-first of the calendar year in which such repeal was approved. If a majority of the votes cast on the question by the qualified voters voting thereon are opposed to the repeal, then the tax authorized in this section shall remain effective until the question is resubmitted under this section to the qualified voters of the city, and the repeal is approved by a majority of the qualified voters voting on the question.**

**5. Whenever the governing body of any city that has adopted the tax authorized in this section receives a petition, signed by a number of registered voters of the city equal to at least two percent of the number of registered voters of the city voting in the last gubernatorial election, calling for an election to repeal the tax imposed under this section, the governing body shall submit to the voters of the city a proposal to repeal the tax. If a majority of the votes cast on the question by the qualified voters voting thereon are in favor of the repeal, that repeal shall become effective on December thirty-first of the calendar year in which such repeal was approved. If a majority of the votes cast on the question by the qualified voters voting thereon are opposed to the repeal, then the tax shall remain effective until the question is resubmitted under this section to the qualified voters of the city and the repeal is approved by a majority of the qualified voters voting on the question.**

**6. As used in this section, “transient guests” means a person or persons who occupy a room or rooms in a hotel or motel for thirty-one days or less during any calendar quarter.”; and**

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Barnitz moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Barnitz offered SA 6:

#### SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 6

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 37, Section 67.1360, Line 24, by striking the word “or”; and

Further amend line 27 by inserting after the word “county;” the following:

“ or

**(34) Any county of the third classification without a township form of government and with more than twelve thousand one hundred but fewer than twelve thousand two hundred inhabitants;”.**

Senator Barnitz moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Lager offered SA 7:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 7

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 53, Section 67.2000, Line 21, by inserting after all of said line the following:

**“67.3025. It shall be lawful for any county of the third classification with a township form of government and with more than eight thousand nine hundred but fewer than nine thousand inhabitants to enter into a contract with any private corporation or corporations, or with any corporation now or hereafter engaged in pumping and delivering water at wholesale for domestic consumption. It shall also be lawful for any such county to acquire, own, and hold, with any private corporation in this state, water mains or interests in water mains through which to procure an adequate supply of water for its inhabitants.”; and**

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Lager moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Lager offered SA 8:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 8

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 148, Section 221.105, Line 2, by inserting after all of said line the following:

**“226.720. 1. No junkyard shall be established, maintained or operated within two hundred feet of any other state or county road in this state unless such junkyard is **fully** screened from the **state or county** road by a **permanent** tight board or other screen fence not less than ten feet high, or of sufficient height to **fully** screen the wrecked or disabled automobiles or junk kept therein from the view of persons using the **state or county** road on foot or in vehicles in the ordinary manner, except that nothing in this section shall apply to any junkyard located in any incorporated town, village or city. The provisions of sections 226.650 through 226.710 shall not apply to this section except the definitions appearing in section 226.660.**

**2. Any person, firm or corporation who establishes, conducts, owns, maintains or operates a junkyard without complying with the provisions of this section shall, [on] **upon their first** conviction, be guilty of a **class C** misdemeanor **and shall be ordered to either remove the junk from the property or build a fence as described in this section. Any person, firm, or corporation who establishes, conducts, owns, maintains, or operates a junkyard without complying with the provisions of this section shall, upon their second or subsequent violation, be guilty of a class A misdemeanor and shall be ordered to either remove the junk from the property or build a fence as described in this section.”; and****

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Lager moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Rupp offered SA 9:

SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 9

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Pages 28-29, Section 67.456, by striking all of said section from the bill; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Rupp moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Engler offered **SA 10**:

**SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 10**

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 2, Section A, Line 9, by inserting after all of said line the following:

**"48.020. 1. All counties of this state are hereby classified, for the purpose of establishing organization and powers in accordance with the provisions of section 8, article VI, Constitution of Missouri, into four classifications determined as follows:**

Classification 1. All counties having an assessed valuation of [six] **nine** hundred million dollars and over shall automatically be in the first classification after that county has maintained such valuation for the time period required by section 48.030; however, any county of the second classification which, on August [13, 1988] **28, 2010**, has had an assessed valuation of at least [four] **six** hundred million dollars for at least one year may, by resolution of the governing body of the county, elect to be classified as a county of the first classification after it has maintained such valuation for the period of time required by the provisions of section 48.030.

Classification 2. All counties having an assessed valuation of [four] **six** hundred [fifty] million dollars and less than the assessed valuation necessary for that county to be in the first classification shall automatically be in the second classification after that county has maintained such valuation for the time period required by section 48.030.

Classification 3. All counties having an assessed valuation of less than the assessed valuation necessary for that county to be in the second classification shall automatically be in the third classification.

Classification 4. All counties which have attained the second classification prior to August 13, 1988, and which would otherwise return to the third classification after August 13, 1988, because of changes in assessed valuation shall remain a county in the second classification and shall operate under the laws of this state applying to the second classification.

**2. The required assessed valuation for each classification under subsection 1 of this section shall be increased annually by an amount equal to the percentage change in the annual average of the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers (CPI-U) or zero, whichever is greater. The state tax commission shall calculate and publish this amount so that it is available to all counties.";** and

Further amend said bill, page 150, section B, line 35 by inserting after the word "state" the following:

"and to ensure the continuation of efficient and proper administration of county government"; and further amend said line by striking the word "section" and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "sections 48.020 and"; and further amend line 40 by striking the word "section" and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "sections 48.020 and"; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Engler moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Cunningham offered **SA 11**:

## SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 11

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 137, Section 165.071, Line 13 of said page, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

**“171.185. No school district located in any city of the third classification with more than forty-six thousand eight hundred but fewer than forty-seven thousand inhabitants shall operate a recycling center within five hundred feet of a residential property.”; and**

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Cunningham moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Schmitt offered SA 12:

## SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 12

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 53, Section 67.2000, Line 21 of said page, by inserting after all of said line the following:

**“67.2725. For any public meeting where a vote of the governing body is required to implement a tax increase, utilize the power of eminent domain, create a transportation development district or a community improvement district, or approve a redevelopment project or plan that pledges public funds as financing for the project or plan, the governing body of any county, city, town, or village, or any entity created by such county, city, town, or village, shall give notice conforming with all the requirements of subsection 1 of section 610.020 at least four days before such entity may vote to address such issues, exclusive of weekends and holidays when the facility is closed; provided that this section shall not apply to any votes or discussion related to proposed ordinances which require a minimum of two separate readings on different days for their passage. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection 4 of section 610.020 to the contrary, under no circumstances shall the governing body or entity give less than four days notice for any matter subject to the provisions of this section. No vote shall occur until after a public hearing on the matter at which parties in interest and citizens shall have an opportunity to be heard. If the notice required under this section is not properly given, no vote on such issues shall be held until proper notice has been provided under this section. For the purpose of this section, a tax increase shall not include the setting of the annual tax rates provided for under sections 67.110 and 137.055.”; and**

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Schmitt moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Bartle offered SA 13:

## SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 13

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 94, Section 94.900, Line 19, by inserting immediately following the word “inhabitants” the following:

**“, or any home rule city with more than forty-eight thousand but fewer than forty-nine thousand inhabitants”.**

Senator Bartle moved that the above amendment be adopted, which motion prevailed.

Senator Nodler offered SA 14:

## SENATE AMENDMENT NO. 14

Amend Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 580, Page 103, Section 94.902, Line 8, by inserting immediately after said line the following:

“137.180. 1. Whenever any assessor shall increase the valuation of any real property he shall forthwith notify the record owner of such increase, either in person, or by mail directed to the last known address; every such increase in assessed valuation made by the assessor shall be subject to review by the county board of equalization whereat the landowner shall be entitled to be heard, and the notice to the landowner shall so state.

2. Effective January 1, 2009, for all counties with a charter form of government, **other than any county adopting a charter form of government after January 1, 2008**, whenever any assessor shall increase the valuation of any real property, he or she shall forthwith notify the record owner on or before June fifteenth of such increase and, in a year of general reassessment, the county shall notify the record owner of the projected tax liability likely to result from such an increase, either in person, or by mail directed to the last known address; every such increase in assessed valuation made by the assessor shall be subject to review by the county board of equalization whereat the landowner shall be entitled to be heard, and the notice to the landowner shall so state. Notice of the projected tax liability from the county shall accompany the notice of increased valuation from the assessor.

3. Effective January [1, 2011,] **first of the year following receipt of software necessary for the implementation of the requirements provided under this subsection and subsection 4 of this section, from the state tax commission**, for all counties not subject to the provisions of subsection 2 of this section or subsection 2 of section 137.355, whenever any assessor shall increase the valuation of any real property, he or she shall forthwith notify the record owner on or before June fifteenth of such increase and, in a year of general reassessment, the county shall notify the record owner of the projected tax liability likely to result from such an increase, either in person, or by mail directed to the last known address; every such increase in assessed valuation made by the assessor shall be subject to review by the county board of equalization whereat the landowner shall be entitled to be heard, and the notice to the landowner shall so state. Notice of the projected tax liability from the county shall accompany the notice of increased valuation from the assessor.

4. The notice of projected tax liability, required under subsections 2 and 3 of this section, from the county shall include:

- (1) **The** record owner's name, address, and the parcel number of the property;
- (2) A list of all political subdivisions levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;
- (3) The projected tax rate for each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner, and the purpose for each levy of such political subdivisions;
- (4) The previous year's tax rates for each individual tax levy imposed by each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;
- (5) The tax rate ceiling for each levy imposed by each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;
- (6) The contact information for each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;

(7) A statement identifying any projected tax rates for political subdivisions levying a tax upon the property of the record owner, which were not calculated and provided by the political subdivision levying the tax; and

(8) The total projected property tax liability of the taxpayer.

137.355. If an assessor increases the valuation of any tangible personal property as estimated in the itemized list furnished to the assessor, and if an assessor increases the valuation of any real property, he shall forthwith notify the record owner of the increase either in person or by mail directed to the last known address, and if the address of the owner is unknown notice shall be given by publication in two newspapers published in the county.

2. Effective January [1, 2011,] **first of the year following receipt of software necessary for the implementation of the requirements provided under this subsection and subsection 3 of this section, from the state tax commission,** if an assessor increases the valuation of any real property, the assessor, on or before June fifteenth, shall notify the record owner of the increase and, in a year of general reassessment, the county shall notify the record owner of the projected tax liability likely to result from such an increase either in person or by mail directed to the last known address, and, if the address of the owner is unknown, notice shall be given by publication in two newspapers published in the county. Notice of the projected tax liability from the county shall accompany the notice of increased valuation from the assessor.

3. The notice of projected tax liability, required under subsection 2 of this section, from the county shall include:

(1) Record owner's name, address, and the parcel number of the property;

(2) A list of all political subdivisions levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;

(3) The projected tax rate for each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner, and the purpose for each levy of such political subdivisions;

(4) The previous year's tax rates for each individual tax levy imposed by each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;

(5) The tax rate ceiling for each levy imposed by each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;

(6) The contact information for each political subdivision levying a tax upon the property of the record owner;

(7) A statement identifying any projected tax rates for political subdivisions levying a tax upon the property of the record owner, which were not calculated and provided by the political subdivision levying the tax; and

(8) The total projected property tax liability of the taxpayer.”; and

Further amend the title and enacting clause accordingly.

Senator Nodler moved that the above amendment be adopted.

At the request of Senator Griesheimer, **SB 580**, with **SCS, SS for SCS** and **SA 14** (pending), was placed on the Informal Calendar.

On motion of Senator Engler, the Senate recessed until 2:45 p.m.

**RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the Senate was called to order by Senator Callahan.

**REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES**

Senator Engler, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, submitted the following reports:

Mr. President: Your Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, after examination of **SB 628**, respectfully requests that it be removed from the Senate Consent Calendar in accordance with the provisions of Senate Rule 45.

Also,

Mr. President: Your Committee on Rules, Joint Rules, Resolutions and Ethics, to which was referred **SCR 42**, begs leave to report that it has considered the same and recommends that the concurrent resolution do pass, with Senate Committee Amendment No. 1.

**SENATE COMMITTEE AMENDMENT NO. 1**

Amend Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 42, Page 139, of the Senate Journal for Wednesday, January 20, 2010, Line 24 of said page, by striking “all state departments” and inserting in lieu thereof the following: “the Department of Natural Resources”.

**RESOLUTIONS**

Senator Days offered Senate Resolution No. 1602, regarding the Rosa Parks Celebration, which was adopted.

**INTRODUCTIONS OF GUESTS**

Senator Justus introduced to the Senate, the Physician of the Day, Dr. Richard Price, M.D., Kansas City.

Senator Keaveny introduced to the Senate, Bonnie Driscoll, St. Louis.

Senator Lager introduced to the Senate, Sam Kuntz, Chicago, Illinois.

Senator Rupp introduced to the Senate, Lauren Khouri, Columbus, Ohio.

Senator Wilson introduced to the Senate, Erin Richardson, St. Louis.

Senator Rupp introduced to the Senate, Jim and Yvonne Roe, St. Charles County.

Senator Bray introduced to the Senate, Jorge Riopedre, St. Louis.

The President introduced to the Senate, members of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Metropolitan St. Louis.

On motion of Senator Engler, the Senate adjourned under the rules.

**SENATE CALENDAR**

---

**NINETEENTH DAY—WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2010**

---

**FORMAL CALENDAR****SECOND READING OF SENATE BILLS**

SB 902-Nodler	SB 917-Schaefer
SB 903-Bray	SB 918-Schaefer
SB 904-Bray	SB 919-Ridgeway
SB 905-Bray, et al	SB 920-Keaveny
SB 906-Bray	SB 921-Callahan and Keaveny
SB 907-Rupp	SB 922-Callahan
SB 908-Green	SB 923-Mayer
SB 909-Green	SB 924-Griesheimer, et al
SB 910-Lembke	SB 925-Dempsey
SB 911-Shields	SB 926-Shoemyer and Keaveny
SB 912-Cunningham	SB 927-Cunningham
SB 913-Cunningham	SB 928-Lager
SB 914-Cunningham	SB 929-Lager
SB 915-Barnitz	SB 930-Justus
SB 916-Barnitz	SB 931-Justus

**HOUSE BILLS ON SECOND READING**

HB 1442-Jones (89), et al

**THIRD READING OF SENATE BILLS**

SS for SB 618-Rupp (In Fiscal Oversight)	SS for SCS for SBs 586 & 617-Bartle
SCS for SB 604-Mayer	

**SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION**

SB 577-Shields, with SCS	SB 596-Callahan, with SCS
SBs 607, 602, 615 & 725-Stouffer, with SCS	SJR 22-Callahan
SB 738-Crowell, with SCS	SB 839-Wright-Jones, with SCS

INFORMAL CALENDAR

SENATE BILLS FOR PERFECTION

SB 580-Griesheimer, with SCS, SS for  
SCS & SA 14 (pending)

CONSENT CALENDAR

Senate Bills

Reported 2/4

SB 753-Dempsey  
SB 670-Justus  
SB 669-Justus  
SB 668-Justus

SB 581-Griesheimer  
SB 649-Days and Wright-Jones  
SB 804-Schmitt

RESOLUTIONS

Reported from Committee

SCR 42-Bray, with SCA 1

To be Referred

SCR 46-Stouffer

✓