FIRST REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL NO. 364

94TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR KOSTER.

Read 1st time January 24, 2007, and ordered printed.

1276S.04I

TERRY L. SPIELER, Secretary.

AN ACT

To repeal section 537.295, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof two new sections relating to agricultural operations.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 537.295, RSMo, is repealed and two new sections

- 2 enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as sections 261.270 and 537.295, to read as
- 3 follows:
- 261.270. 1. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the
- 2 contrary, state law and regulations adopted by state agencies shall
- 3 preempt the entire field of and constitute a complete and integrated
- 4 regulatory plan for agricultural operations in Missouri. This includes
- 5 the preemption of any local laws promulgated under section 192.300,
- 6 RSMo, that address agricultural operations and their appurtenances.
- 7 2. Notwithstanding any local law or ordinance, an agricultural
- 8 operation and its appurtenances are considered to be in compliance
- 9 with the local law or ordinance if the operation and its appurtenances
- 10 comply with all applicable state and federal laws and regulations
- 11 governing the operation or its appurtenances. A local law or ordinance
- 12 is null and void to the extent that the ordinance attempts to regulate
- 13 the licensing or operation of an agricultural operation or its
- 14 appurtenances in any manner not identical to the laws of this state and
- 15 regulations of the department of natural resources.
- 16 3. Nothing in this section shall be construed as to limit the
- 17 planning and zoning authority of counties of the first classification as
- 18 provided to such counties in this chapter.

SB 364

3132

33

34

537.295. 1. No agricultural operation or any of its appurtenances shall be deemed to be a nuisance, private or public, or trespass, or be deemed in violation of any local ordinance, by any changed conditions in or about the locality thereof after the facility has been in operation for more than one year, when the facility was not a nuisance at the time the operation began. An agricultural operation protected pursuant to the provisions of this section may reasonably expand, diversify, or modernize its operation [in terms of acres or animal units] without losing its protected status so long as all applicable 9 county, state, and federal environmental codes, laws, or regulations are met by the agricultural operation. Reasonable expansion, diversification, or 10 modernization shall not be deemed a public or private nuisance, trespass, or 11 ordinance violation provided the [expansion] changed condition does not 12create a substantially adverse effect upon the environment or [creates] create 13 a hazard to public health and safety, or [creates] create a measurably significant 14difference in environmental pressures upon existing and surrounding neighbors because of increased pollution. Reasonable expansion, diversification, or 16 modernization shall not include complete relocation of a farming operation by 17 the owner within or without the present boundaries of the farming operation; 18 however, reasonable expansion of like kind that presently exists, may occur. If 20 a poultry or livestock operation is to maintain its protected status following a 21reasonable expansion, diversification, or modernization, the operation must 22ensure that its waste handling capabilities and facilities meet or exceed minimum 23 recommendations of the University of Missouri extension service for storage, processing, or removal of animal waste. The protected status of an agricultural 24operation, once acquired, shall be assignable, alienable, and inheritable. The 25 26 protected status of an agricultural operation, once acquired, shall not be waived by the temporary cessation of farming or by diminishing the size of the 27operation. [The provisions of this section shall not apply whenever a nuisance 28 29 results from the negligent or improper operation of any such agricultural 30 operation or its appurtenances.]

2

- 2. As used in this section the term "agricultural operation and its appurtenances" includes, but is not limited to, any facility used in the production [or], processing, or storage for commercial purposes of crops, livestock, equine, swine, poultry, livestock products, swine products or poultry products.
- 35 3. The provisions of this section shall not affect or defeat the right of any person, firm or corporation to recover damages for any injuries sustained by it as

SB 364 3

50

51

5253

54

55

56

5758

62

63

64

65

a result of the pollution or other change in the quantity or quality of water used by that person, firm or corporation for private or commercial purposes, or as a result of any overflow of land owned by or in the possession of any such person, firm or corporation.

- 4. The provisions of this section shall not apply to any nuisance, 42 **trespass, or ordinance violation** resulting from an agricultural operation 43 located within the limits of any city, town or village on August 13, 1982.
- 5. In any [nuisance] action brought in which an agricultural operation is alleged to be a nuisance, **trespass**, **or in violation of an ordinance** and which is found to be frivolous by the court, the defendant shall recover the aggregate amount of costs and expenses determined by the court to have been reasonably incurred in his behalf in connection with the defense of such action, together with a reasonable amount for attorneys fees.
 - 6. No agricultural operation or any of its appurtenances shall be deemed a nuisance, private or public, or trespass, for conditions associated with any farming-related activities conducted by the agricultural operation or any of its appurtenances. For purposes of this section, "farming-related activities" shall include, but not be limited to, planting, cultivating, harvesting, mowing, application of authorized pesticides or herbicides, animal husbandry practices and activities, land clearing, or the construction of roads, lakes, and ponds associated with a farming operation.
- 7. The provisions of this section shall not apply whenever a nuisance or trespass results from negligent conduct in relation to any agricultural operation or its appurtenances.
 - 8. If any provision of sections 537.293 and 537.295 or the application thereof to anyone or to any circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of those sections and the application of such provisions to others or other circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

/